

National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report: Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA

Report Date: September 21, 2021
Effective Date: September 3, 2021

Prepared for:



Sabre Gold Mines Corp.
18 King Street East, Suite 902
Toronto, Ontario Canada ON M5C 1C4

Prepared by:



Hard Rock Consulting, LLC
7114 W. Jefferson Avenue Suite 308
Lakewood, CO 80235
HRC Project Number: 21-AZG-01

Endorsed by QP(s):

J. J. Brown, P.G. (HRC), SME-RM (No. 4168244RM)
Jeff Choquette, P.E., MMSA-QP (No. 01425QP)
Richard Schwering, P.G. (HRC), SME-RM (No. 4332152RM)

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This report was prepared as a National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report for Sabre Gold Mines Corporation (“SGLD”) by Hard Rock Consulting, LLC (“HRC”). The quality of information, conclusions, and estimates contained herein is consistent with the scope of HRC’s services based on: i) information available at the time of preparation, ii) data supplied by outside sources, and iii) the assumptions, conditions, and qualifications set forth in this report. This report is intended for use by SGLD subject to the terms and conditions of their contract with HRC, which permits SGLD to file this report with Canadian Securities Regulatory Authorities pursuant to National Instrument 43-101, Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects. Except for the purposes legislated under provincial securities law, any other use of this report by any third party is at that party’s sole risk.

CERTIFICATES OF QUALIFIED PERSONS

I, Richard A. Schwering, P.G., SME-RM, do hereby certify that:

1. I am currently employed as Principal Resource Geologist by:
Hard Rock Consulting, LLC
7114 W. Jefferson Ave., Ste. 313
Lakewood, Colorado 80235 U.S.A.
2. I am a graduate of the University of Colorado, Boulder with a Bachelor of Arts in Geology, in 2009 and have practiced my profession continuously since 2013.
2.1 I am a Registered member of the Society of Mining and Metallurgy and Exploration (No. 4223152RM) and a Licensed Professional Geologist in the State of Wyoming (PG-4086)
3. I have worked as a Geologist for 11 years and as a Resource Geologist for a total of 7 years since my graduation from university; as an employee of a junior exploration company, as an independent consultant, and as an employee of various consulting firms with experience in structurally controlled precious and base metal deposits.
4. I have read the definition of “qualified person” set out in National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”) and certify that by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in NI 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfill the requirements to be a “qualified person” for the purposes of NI 43-101.
5. I am responsible for the preparation of this report, titled “*National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report, Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA*”, dated September 21, 2021 with an effective date of September 3, 2021, and I take specific responsibility for report Sections 1, 9 through 12, 14, 18, and 19.
6. I personally inspected the Copperstone Project on March 1 through 5, 2021 and was previously involved in preparation of the *NI 43-101 Technical Report: Preliminary Feasibility Study for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA*, with an effective date of April 1, 2018.
7. As of the date of this certificate and as of the effective date of the Technical Report, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the Technical Report contains all scientific and technical information required to be disclosed to make the report not misleading.
8. I am independent of the Issuer, vendor, and property applying all of the tests in section 1.5 of NI 43-101.
9. I have read National Instrument 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and submit that this Technical Report has been prepared in accordance with that instrument and form.

Dated this 21st day of September 2021

Richard A. Schwering



Signature of Qualified Person

Richard A. Schwering; SME-RM

Printed name of Qualified Person

CERTIFICATES OF QUALIFIED PERSONS

I, Jennifer J. Brown, P.G., do hereby certify that:

1. I am currently employed as Principal Geologist by:
Hard Rock Consulting, LLC
7114 W. Jefferson Ave., Ste. 308
Lakewood, Colorado 80235 U.S.A.
2. I am a graduate of the University of Montana and received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Geology in 1996.
3. I am a Licensed Professional Geologist in the State of Wyoming (PG-3719), a Registered Professional Geologist in the State of Idaho (PGL-1414), and a Registered Member in good standing of the Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Inc. (4168244RM).
4. I have worked as a geologist for a total of 25 years since graduation from the University of Montana, as an employee of various engineering and consulting firms and the U.S.D.A. Forest Service. I have more than 10 collective years of experience directly related to mining and or economic and saleable minerals exploration and resource development, including geotechnical exploration, geologic analysis and interpretation, resource evaluation, and technical reporting.
5. I have read the definition of “qualified person” set out in National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”) and certify that by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in NI 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfill the requirements to be a “qualified person” for the purposes of NI 43-101.
6. I personally inspected the Copperstone Project on October 31 through November 2, 2017, and was previously involved in preparation of the *NI 43-101 Technical Report: Preliminary Feasibility Study for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA*, with an effective date of April 1, 2018.
7. I am responsible for the preparation of this report, titled “*National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report, Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA*,” dated September 21, 2021, with an effective date of September 3, 2021, and I take specific responsibility for report Sections 2 through 8 and 15 through 17.
8. As of the date of this certificate and as of the effective date of the Technical Report, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the Technical Report contains all scientific and technical information required to be disclosed to make the report not misleading.
9. I am independent of the issuer applying all of the tests in section 1.5 of NI 43-101.
10. I have read National Instrument 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and submit that this Technical Report has been prepared in accordance with that instrument and form.

Dated this 21st day of September, 2021

“Signed” Jennifer J. (J.J.) Brown



Jennifer J. (J.J.) Brown, SME-RM
Printed name of Qualified Person


CERTIFICATES OF QUALIFIED PERSONS

I, Jeffery W. Choquette, P.E., do hereby certify that:

1. I am currently employed as Principal Engineer by:
Hard Rock Consulting, LLC
7114 W. Jefferson Ave., Ste. 308
Lakewood, Colorado 80235 U.S.A.
2. I am a graduate of Montana College of Mineral Science and Technology and received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mining Engineering in 1995
3. I am a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Montana (No. 12265) and a QP Member in Mining and Ore Reserves in good standing of the Mining and Metallurgical Society of America (No. 01425QP).
4. I have practiced my profession continuously since 1996. I have experience in project development, resource and reserve modeling, mine operations, mine engineering, project evaluation, and financial analysis. I have worked for mining and exploration companies for 15 years and as a consulting engineer for seven years. I have been involved in industrial minerals, base metals and precious metal mining projects in the United States, Canada, Mexico, Asia and South America.
5. I have read the definition of “qualified person” set out in National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”) and certify that by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in NI 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfill the requirements to be a “qualified person” for the purposes of NI 43-101.
6. I am responsible for the preparation of this report, titled “*National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report, Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA,*” dated September 21, 2021, with an effective date of September 3, 2021, and I take specific responsibility for report Section 13.
7. I was previously involved in preparation of the *NI 43-101 Technical Report: Preliminary Feasibility Study for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA,* with an effective date of April 1, 2018.
8. As of the date of this certificate and as of the effective date of the Technical Report, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the Technical Report contains all scientific and technical information required to be disclosed to make the report not misleading.
9. I am independent of the issuer applying all of the tests in section 1.5 of NI 43-101.
10. I have read National Instrument 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and the Technical Report has been prepared in accordance with that instrument and form.

Dated this 21st day of September 2021

“Signed” Jeffery W. Choquette



Jeffery W. Choquette, P.E.

Printed name of Qualified Person



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND OWNERSHIP	1
1.3 GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION.....	2
1.4 HISTORY AND EXPLORATION	3
1.5 MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE.....	5
1.6 CONCLUSIONS	7
1.7 RECOMMENDATIONS	8
1.7.1 <i>Drillhole Database</i>	8
1.7.2 <i>Maximum Mined Out Pit Extent</i>	8
1.7.3 <i>Structural Understanding</i>	9
1.7.4 <i>Additional Drilling</i>	9
Infill Drilling	9
Step Out Drilling	9
Exploration Drilling.....	10
1.7.5 <i>Metallurgy</i>	10
1.7.6 <i>Mining</i>	10
2. INTRODUCTION	12
2.1 ISSUER AND TERMS OF REFERENCE	12
2.2 SOURCES OF INFORMATION.....	12
2.3 QUALIFIED PERSONS AND PERSONAL INSPECTION	13
2.4 UNITS OF MEASURE.....	14
3. RELIANCE ON OTHER EXPERTS.....	15
4. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION	16
4.1 PROJECT LOCATION	16
4.2 PROPERTY OWNERSHIP, MINERAL TENURE, AGREEMENTS AND ENCUMBRANCES	17
4.3 PERMITS AND ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES.....	18
5. ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY	20
5.1 ACCESS AND CLIMATE	20
5.2 LOCAL RESOURCES AND INFRASTRUCTURE.....	20
5.3 PHYSIOGRAPHY.....	20
6. HISTORY	22
6.1 HISTORICAL OWNERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT.....	22
6.2 HISTORICAL PRODUCTION.....	23
6.3 HISTORICAL MINERAL RESOURCE AND MINERAL RESERVE ESTIMATES	23
7. GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERALIZATION.....	29
7.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY.....	29
7.2 LOCAL AND PROPERTY GEOLOGY.....	31
7.2.1 <i>Lithology</i>	32
Triassic Phyllite.....	33
Triassic Metasediments.....	33

Jurassic Quartz Latite Porphyry	34
Miocene Sediments.....	34
Olivine Basalt.....	34
7.2.2 Mineralization.....	35
7.2.3 A, B, and C Zones.....	36
D-Zone.....	36
Chlorite Alteration.....	37
Magnetite Mineralization.....	37
Copper Oxide Mineralization.....	38
8. DEPOSIT TYPES	39
9. EXPLORATION	41
9.1 EXPLORATION CONDUCTED ON BEHALF OF SGLD	41
9.2 EXPLORATION CONDUCTED BY PREVIOUS OPERATORS.....	41
10. DRILLING	45
10.1 HISTORIC DRILLING EXPLORATION	45
10.1.1 Cyprus 1984 – 1988	46
10.1.2 Santa Fe Gold 1993.....	47
10.1.3 Royal Oak 1995 – 1997.....	47
10.1.4 Asia Minerals 1998 – 2001	47
10.1.5 American Bonanza 2003 – 2013.....	48
Surface Footwall Zone Drilling.....	49
Surface Down Dip Extensional Drilling	49
Surface Exploration Drilling	49
Underground D Zone Drilling 2003 – 2005.....	50
Underground D Zone Drilling 2012 – 2013.....	50
10.2 2015-2021 ARIZONA GOLD CORP. (KERR) DRILLING EXPLORATION	50
2015 Surface Drilling	50
2017 Surface and Underground Drilling	51
10.3 2019 UNDERGROUND DRILLING.....	56
10.4 2020-2021 SURFACE RC DRILLING	61
10.5 2020-2021 UNDERGROUND CORE DRILLING	63
10.6 2021 UNDERGROUND CORE INFILL DRILLING	67
10.7 SGLD DRILLING AND CONTINUING EXPLORATION.....	71
11. SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSIS AND SECURITY	72
11.1 AZG SAMPLE HANDLING	72
11.1.1 Sample Preparation.....	72
11.1.2 Sample Analysis.....	74
11.1.3 Homogeneity and QAQC Analysis.....	76
11.1.5 Sample Security	95
11.2 CYPRUS.....	95
11.2.1 Sample Preparation.....	95
11.2.2 Analysis.....	95
11.2.3 QAQC	95
11.2.4 Security.....	96
11.3 SANTA FE	96
11.4 ROYAL OAK.....	96

11.4.1	<i>Sample Preparation</i>	96
11.4.2	<i>Analysis</i>	96
11.4.3	<i>QAQC</i>	96
11.4.4	<i>Security</i>	97
11.5	ASIA MINERALS	97
11.5.1	<i>Sample Preparation (MDA, 2000)</i>	98
11.5.2	<i>Sample Analysis (MDA, 2000)</i>	99
11.5.3	<i>Homogeneity and QAQC Analysis</i>	100
11.5.4	<i>Sample Security (MDA, 2000)</i>	102
11.6	AMERICAN BONANZA	103
11.6.1	<i>Sample Preparation</i>	103
11.6.2	<i>Analysis</i>	104
11.6.3	<i>QAQC</i>	104
11.6.4	<i>Security</i>	106
12.	DATA VERIFICATION	107
12.1	SITE INVESTIGATION	107
12.2	DATABASE AUDIT	108
12.2.1	<i>Mechanical Audit</i>	108
12.2.2	<i>Manual Audit</i>	110
12.3	ADEQUACY OF DATA	111
13.	MINERAL PROCESSING AND METALLURGICAL TESTING	112
13.1	HAZEN RESEARCH (1986) – ORIGINAL OPEN PIT HEAP AND AGITATED LEACH TEST WORK	112
13.2	HAZEN RESEARCH (1995)	112
13.3	RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT INC (1999)	113
13.4	McCLELLAND LABORATORIES (2000)	113
13.5	ECHO BAY MINERALS (2001)	114
13.6	McCLELLAND LABORATORIES (2005)	115
13.6.1	<i>Phase 1</i>	115
13.6.2	<i>Phase 2</i>	117
13.7	CAMP (CENTER FOR ADVANCED MINERAL AND METALLURGICAL PROCESSING) (2009)	118
13.8	RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT INC (2017)	119
13.9	RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT INC (2021)	125
13.9.1	<i>Sample Preparation and Characterization</i>	125
Bond Mill Work Index and Grind Study	126	
Particle Size Optimization Leach Testing	127	
13.9.2	<i>Cyanide Optimization Leach Testing</i>	128
13.9.3	<i>High Intensity Cyanide Leach Testing of High Soluble Copper Samples</i>	128
13.9.4	<i>Thickening and Rheology Testing</i>	129
13.10	CONCLUSIONS	129
14.	MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE	131
14.1	DRILLHOLE DATABASE	131
14.2	CONSTRUCTION OF ORIGINAL TOPOGRAPHIC SURFACE	133
14.3	GEOLOGIC MODEL	133
14.3.1	<i>Structure</i>	134
Northwest Trending Faults/Shear:	134	
Northwest Trending Listric Faults:	134	

Northeast Trending Strike Slip Faults:	134
14.3.2 Stratigraphy.....	136
14.4 MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATION	141
14.4.1 Estimation Domains	141
A/B Zone.....	143
C Zone.....	143
D Zone	152
Footwall Zone.....	152
South Zone	152
Upper Fracture Zone	152
14.4.2 Validation	153
14.4.3 Block Model Setup	156
14.4.4 Compositing.....	158
14.4.5 Outlier Composite Handling	158
14.4.6 Variography.....	161
14.4.7 Estimation Parameters.....	167
14.4.8 Validation	168
Visual Inspection	168
Statistical Validation.....	174
14.4.9 Density.....	182
14.4.10 Mineral Resource Classification.....	183
14.4.11 Removal of Mined Out Blocks.....	184
14.4.12 Sensitivity.....	184
14.4.13 Mineral Resources Statement.....	185
15. ADJACENT PROPERTIES	187
16. OTHER RELEVANT DATA AND INFORMATION.....	188
17. INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS.....	189
17.1 GEOLOGY AND MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE	189
17.2 INFRASTRUCTURE AND PERMITTING	189
17.3 METALLURGICAL TESTING.....	190
18. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	192
18.1 DRILLHOLE DATABASE.....	192
18.2 MAXIMUM MINED OUT PIT EXTENT.....	192
18.3 STRUCTURAL UNDERSTANDING	194
18.4 ADDITIONAL DRILLING.....	194
18.4.1 Infill Drilling	194
18.4.2 Step Out Drilling	196
18.4.3 Exploration Drilling.....	196
18.5 METALLURGY	196
18.6 MINING	197
19. REFERENCES	199

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 4-1 COPPERSTONE PROJECT LOCATION	16
FIGURE 4-2 COPPERSTONE PROJECT CLAIM AREA	17
FIGURE 7-1 REGIONAL GEOLOGIC SETTING OF THE COPPERSTONE PROJECT (PAWLOWSKI, 2005)	30
FIGURE 7-2 GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE COPPERSTONE PROJECT (SALEM, 1983)	32
FIGURE 7-3 MINERALIZED ZONES WITHIN THE COPPERSTONE PROJECT AREA	36
FIGURE 10-1 2019 UNDERGROUND DRILL STATION LOCATIONS	57
FIGURE 10-2 2020, 2021 UNDERGROUND DRILL STATION LOCATIONS.....	64
FIGURE 10-3 PLAN VIEW OF SURFACE DRILLING FOR THE PROPERTY	68
FIGURE 10-4 SURFACE DRILLHOLE LOCATIONS IN THE AREA OF THE OPEN PIT.....	69
FIGURE 10-5 UNDERGROUND DRILLHOLE LOCATIONS.....	70
FIGURE 11-1 COMPARISON OF Y-SPLIT AND STRAIGHT SPLIT RIG DUPLICATE METHODS	73
FIGURE 11-2 COMPARISON OF 1AT WITH 2AT CHARGES.....	75
FIGURE 11-3 COMPARISON OF SKYLINE WITH AMERICAN 1AT ASSAYS, FOR 2017 PROGRAM PULPS	77
FIGURE 11-4 COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL PULP ANALYSIS BY AAL AND SKYLINE ON AAL COARSE REJECTS.....	78
FIGURE 11-5 COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL ASSAY WITH METALLIC SCREEN ANALYSIS OF COARSE REJECT, BOTH BY AAL.....	79
FIGURE 11-6 COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL ASSAY WITH ASSAY OF 500 G PULP FROM COARSE REJECT	80
FIGURE 11-7 ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CONTROL SAMPLE MSL, ALL 2017 DRILLING.....	81
FIGURE 11-8 ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CONTROL SAMPLE MSM, ALL 2017 DRILLING.....	82
FIGURE 11-9 ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CONTROL SAMPLE MS, ALL 2017 DRILLING	83
FIGURE 11-10 ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CONTROL SAMPLE MSH, ALL 2017 DRILLING.....	84
FIGURE 11-11 ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CONTROL SAMPLE MH, ALL 2017 DRILLING.....	85
FIGURE 11-12 ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CONTROL SAMPLE L, ALL 2017 DRILLING.....	86
FIGURE 11-13 ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CONTROL SAMPLE W, ALL 2017 DRILLING	87
FIGURE 11-14 ANALYSIS OF CRUSHER DUPLICATES	89
FIGURE 11-15 ANALYSIS OF PULP DUPLICATE	89
FIGURE 11-16 ANALYSIS OF BLANK MATERIAL	90
FIGURE 11-17 ANALYSIS OF CDN-GS-P4G	90
FIGURE 11-18 ANALYSIS OF CDN-GS-7G	91
FIGURE 11-19 ANALYSIS OF DUPLICATES	92
FIGURE 11-20 ANALYSIS OF BLANK RESULTS.....	93
FIGURE 11-21 ANALYSIS OF CDN-GS-P4.....	93
FIGURE 11-22 ANALYSIS OF CDNGS-7G.....	94
FIGURE 11-23 ANALYSIS OF OXP50	94
FIGURE 13-1 WHOLE ORE LEACH PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM.....	124
FIGURE 14-1 PLAN VIEW OF MODELED FAULTS AND STRUCTURAL DOMAINS	135
FIGURE 14-2 CROSS SECTION A-A' OF MODELED STRATIGRAPHY THROUGH THE A/B ZONE.....	138
FIGURE 14-3 CROSS SECTION C-C' OF MODELED STRATIGRAPHY THROUGH THE C ZONE.....	139
FIGURE 14-4 CROSS SECTION D-D' OF MODELED STRATIGRAPHY THROUGH THE D ZONE.....	140
FIGURE 14-5 MIP OF GOLD GRADES SHOWING INTERPRETATIONS MAJOR STRUCTURAL CONTROLS	141
FIGURE 14-6 CROSS SECTION C-C', SHOWING CUVN DOMAIN AND GOLD ASSAY INTERVALS.....	142
FIGURE 14-7 CROSS SECTION C-C', SHOWING INTERVAL SELECTIONS, AND FOOTWALL AND HANGING WALL DETERMINATIONS.....	143
FIGURE 14-8 3D VIEW OF MODELED A/B ZONE ESTIMATION DOMAINS.....	145
FIGURE 14-9 3D VIEW OF MODELED C ZONE ESTIMATION DOMAINS	146

FIGURE 14-10 3D VIEW OF MODELED C ZONE ESTIMATION DOMAINS	147
FIGURE 14-11 3D VIEW OF MODELED D ZONE ESTIMATION DOMAINS	148
FIGURE 14-12 3D VIEW OF MODELED FOOTWALL ZONE ESTIMATION DOMAINS.....	149
FIGURE 14-13 3D VIEW OF MODELED SOUTH ZONE ESTIMATION DOMAINS	150
FIGURE 14-14 3D VIEW OF MODELED UPPER FRACTURE ZONE ESTIMATION DOMAINS.....	151
FIGURE 14-15 ALL MODELED ESTIMATION DOMAINS SHOWN IN SAME VIEW ORIENTATION AS MIP FIGURE 14-5	153
FIGURE 14-16 CONTACT PLOT FOR THE CUVNA DOMAIN SHOWING AVERAGED GOLD GRADE	154
FIGURE 14-17 CONTACT PLOT FOR THE CUVNB DOMAIN SHOWING AVERAGED GOLD GRADE.....	154
FIGURE 14-18 CONTACT PLOT FOR THE CUVN DOMAIN SHOWING AVERAGED GOLD GRADE.....	155
FIGURE 14-19 CONTACT PLOT FOR THE CUVND DOMAIN SHOWING AVERAGED GOLD GRADE	155
FIGURE 14-20 MAJOR AND SEMI-MAJOR AXIS VARIOGRAMS AND RADIAL PLOT FOR CUVNA DOMAIN.....	163
FIGURE 14-21 MAJOR AND SEMI MAJOR AXIS VARIOGRAMS AND RADIAL PLOT FOR CUVNB DOMAIN.....	164
FIGURE 14-22 MAJOR AND SEMI MAJOR AXIS VARIOGRAMS AND RADIAL PLOT FOR CUVN DOMAIN.....	165
FIGURE 14-23 MAJOR AND SEMI MAJOR AXIS VARIOGRAMS AND RADIAL PLOT FOR CUVN DOMAIN.....	166
FIGURE 14-24 ORTHOGRAPHIC 3D VIEW OF THE OK GOLD ESTIMATE FOR THE COPPERSTONE PROPERTY SHOWING GOLD GRADES ABOVE 0.05 OZ/TON	169
FIGURE 14-25 CROSS SECTION SHOWING THE OK GOLD ESTIMATE GRADES VS. COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES FOR THE SOUTH ZONE	170
FIGURE 14-26 CROSS SECTION SHOWING THE OK GOLD ESTIMATE GRADES VS. COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES IN THE FOOTWALL AND A/B ZONES.....	171
FIGURE 14-27 CROSS SECTION SHOWING THE OK GOLD ESTIMATE GRADES VS. COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES IN THE C ZONE, FOOTWALL ZONE, AND UPPER FRACTURE ZONE	172
FIGURE 14-28 CROSS SECTION SHOWING THE OK GOLD ESTIMATE GRADES VS. COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES IN THE D ZONE	173
FIGURE 14-29 SWATH PLOT FROM NORTHWEST TO SOUTHEAST (ALONG STRIKE) FOR ALL ESTIMATED DOMAINS.....	181
FIGURE 14-30 SWATH PLOT FROM SOUTHWEST TO NORTHEAST (DOWN DIP) FOR ALL ESTIMATED DOMAINS	181
FIGURE 14-31 SWATH PLOT FROM TOP TO BOTTOM (DOWN THICKNESS) FOR ALL ESTIMATED DOMAINS.....	182
FIGURE 14-32 GOLD SENSITIVITY CHART	185
FIGURE 18-1 INTERPRETATION OF SEISMIC LINE FROM 1989 SURVEY.....	193
FIGURE 18-2 BLOCK MODEL SHOWING DOMAINS WITH CLASSIFICATION INFILL DRILLING SHOULD BE TARGETED TO BLUE AND GREEN AREAS TO CONVERT CLASSIFICATIONS	195

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1-1 MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT FOR THE COPPERSTONE PROJECT, LA PAZ COUNTY, ARIZONA, U.S.A.,	7
TABLE 1-2 RECOMMENDED SCOPE OF WORK COST FOR THE COPPERSTONE PROJECT	11
TABLE 4-1 COPPERSTONE MINE PERMIT SUMMARY	19
TABLE 6-1 COPPERSTONE MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE, MRDI (1999)	25
TABLE 6-2 COPPERSTONE GOLD RESOURCE AT 0.05 OZ/TON AU CUTOFF, AMEC (2006)	26
TABLE 6-3 COPPERSTONE GOLD RESOURCE AT 0.15 OZ/TON AU CUTOFF, AMEC (2006)	26
TABLE 6-4 COPPERSTONE GOLD RESOURCE AT 0.20 OZ/TON AU CUTOFF, AMEC (2006)	26
TABLE 6-5 GOLD RESOURCES AT COPPERSTONE AT 0.150 OZ/TON AU CUTOFF (TELESTO, 2010)	28
TABLE 10-1 DRILLING CARRIED OUT BY PREVIOUS OPERATORS.....	45
TABLE 10-2 SUMMARY OF DRILLING CONDUCTED BY PREVIOUS OPERATORS BY TYPE AND BY YEAR.....	46
TABLE 10-3 DRILLING TOTALS FOR AZG	50
TABLE 10-4 SIGNIFICANT INTERCEPTS FOR 2015 DRILLING BY KERR	51

TABLE 10-5 SIGNIFICANT INTERCEPTS FOR 2017 FOOTWALL ZONE DRILLING FROM SURFACE	52
TABLE 10-6 SIGNIFICANT INTERCEPTS FOR 2017 A/B ZONE DRILLING FROM SURFACE	53
TABLE 10-7 SIGNIFICANT INTERCEPTS FOR 2017 D ZONE CONFIRMATION AND INFILL DRILLING FROM UNDERGROUND	54
TABLE 10-8 SIGNIFICANT INTERCEPTS FOR 2017 D ZONE UP DIP CONTINUITY AND EXTENSION FROM UNDERGROUND	55
TABLE 10-9 SIGNIFICANT INTERCEPTS FOR 2017 D ZONE DOWN DIP CONTINUITY AND EXTENSION FROM UNDERGROUND	56
TABLE 10-10 SIGNIFICANT DRILLHOLE INTERCEPTS TARGETING THE C ZONE	58
TABLE 10-11 SIGNIFICANT DRILLHOLE INTERCEPTS TARGETING THE DOWN DIP EXTENTS OF THE C ZONE	59
TABLE 10-12 SIGNIFICANT DRILLHOLE INTERCEPTS TARGETING THE UP DIP EXTENTS OF THE C ZONE	59
TABLE 10-13 SIGNIFICANT DRILLHOLE INTERCEPTS TARGETING THE D ZONE	60
TABLE 10-14 SIGNIFICANT DRILLHOLE INTERCEPTS TARGETING THE DOWN DIP EXTENTS OF THE D ZONE	61
TABLE 10-15 SURFACE RC DRILLHOLES BY CONTRACTOR	62
TABLE 10-16 SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FROM THE 2020, 2021 SURFACE RC DRILLING	63
TABLE 10-17 SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FROM THE 2021 UNDERGROUND CORE DRILLING IN D ZONE UP DIP	65
TABLE 10-18 SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FROM THE 2020, 2021 UNDERGROUND CORE DRILLING IN D ZONE DOWN DIP	66
TABLE 10-19 SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FROM THE 2021 UNDERGROUND CORE DRILLING IN VARIOUS TARGETS.....	66
TABLE 10-20 SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FROM THE 2021 UNDERGROUND INFILL CORE DRILLING	67
TABLE 11-1 ALS ELEMENT ANALYSIS BY DRILLHOLE	76
TABLE 11-2 LISTING OF REFERENCE SAMPLES FOR THE 2017 COPPERSTONE DRILLING CAMPAIGN	81
TABLE 12-1 DRILLHOLES EXCLUDED FROM THE MODEL BY THE MECHANICAL AUDIT.....	109
TABLE 12-2 ISSUES WITHIN THE SURVEY TABLE IDENTIFIED BY THE MECHANICAL AUDIT.....	109
TABLE 12-3 ISSUES WITHIN THE ASSAY TABLE IDENTIFIED BY THE MECHANICAL AUDIT.....	109
TABLE 12-4 ISSUES WITHIN THE LITHOLOGY TABLE IDENTIFIED BY THE MECHANICAL AUDIT.....	109
TABLE 12-5 ISSUES WITHIN THE ALTERATION TABLE IDENTIFIED BY THE MECHANICAL AUDIT.....	110
TABLE 12-6 ISSUES WITHIN THE GEOTECHNICAL TABLE IDENTIFIED BY THE MECHANICAL AUDIT.....	110
TABLE 12-7 ISSUES WITHIN THE SPECIFIC GRAVITY TABLE IDENTIFIED BY THE MECHANICAL AUDIT.....	110
TABLE 13-1 RDI - 1999 CYANIDE LEACHING RESULTS.....	113
TABLE 13-2 McCLELLAND - 2000 CYANIDATION AND FLOTATION TEST RESULTS.....	114
TABLE 13-3 McCoy/Cove - 2001 FLOTATION TEST RESULTS	115
TABLE 13-4 McCLELLAND - 2005 METALLURGICAL TEST RESULTS	118
TABLE 13-5 CAMP - 2009 METALLURGICAL TEST RESULTS	119
TABLE 13-6 HEAD ASSAYS OF ZONE SAMPLES TESTED	120
TABLE 13-7 MASTER COMPOSITE FLOTATION TEST RESULTS.....	121
TABLE 13-8 WOL RESULTS - COMPOSITE SAMPLES	122
TABLE 13-9 WOL RESULTS – MASTER COMPOSITE	122
TABLE 13-10 PERCENT MINERALOGY OF COMPOSITE B AND MASTER COMPOSITE	123
TABLE 13-11 HEAD ANALYSIS OF 2021 COMPOSITE SAMPLES	126
TABLE 13-12 2021 BOND BALL WORK INDEX RESULTS.....	127
TABLE 13-13 CYANIDATION LEACH TEST RESULTS - ZONE B HIGH CN SOLUBLE COPPER.....	129
TABLE 14-1 DRILLHOLES EXCLUDED FROM THE MODEL BY THE MECHANICAL AUDIT.....	132
TABLE 14-2 AMERICAN BONANZA 2013 UNDERGROUND DRILLING EXCLUDED FROM THE MODEL	132
TABLE 14-3 DRILLHOLES OUTSIDE THE GEOLOGIC MODEL EXTENT.....	133
TABLE 14-4 GEOLOGIC MODEL EXTENTS.....	134
TABLE 14-5 COMPARISON OF MODELED STRATIGRAPHY VOLUMES TO LOGGED INTERVAL LENGTHS.....	137
TABLE 14-6 DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION FOR MODELED ESTIMATION DOMAINS.....	144
TABLE 14-7 BLOCK MODEL PARAMETERS	156

TABLE 14-8 VOLUME COMPARISON BETWEEN BLOCK MODEL AND MODELED SOLIDS	157
TABLE 14-9 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF GOLD GRADES (OZ/TON) FOR COMPOSITES BY DOMAIN	159
TABLE 14-10 CAPPING VALUES APPLIED BY DOMAIN	160
TABLE 14-11 SUMMARY OF VARIOGRAM PARAMETERS	162
TABLE 14-12 SUMMARY OF ESTIMATION PARAMETERS USED TO ESTIMATE GOLD GRADES AT COPPERSTONE	168
TABLE 14-13 GLOBAL DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS COMPARING COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES AGAINST MODELED GOLD GRADES	174
TABLE 14-14 A/B ZONE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS COMPARING COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES AGAINST MODELED GOLD GRADES	175
TABLE 14-15 C ZONE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS COMPARING COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES AGAINST MODELED GOLD GRADES	176
TABLE 14-16 D ZONE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS COMPARING COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES AGAINST MODELED GOLD GRADES	177
TABLE 14-17 FOOTWALL ZONE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS COMPARING COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES AGAINST MODELED GOLD GRADES	178
TABLE 14-18 SOUTH ZONE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS COMPARING COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES AGAINST MODELED GOLD GRADES	179
TABLE 14-19 UPPER FRACTURE ZONE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS COMPARING COMPOSITE GOLD GRADES AGAINST MODELED GOLD GRADES	180
TABLE 14-20 SUMMARY OF DENSITIES APPLIED TO BLOCKS WITHIN THE COPPERSTONE MODEL	182
TABLE 14-21 CLASSIFICATION ALLOWANCE BY ESTIMATION DOMAIN	183
TABLE 14-22 MINERAL RESOURCE CUTOFF PARAMETERS	186
TABLE 14-23 MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT FOR THE COPPERSTONE PROJECT,	186
TABLE 18-1 RECOMMENDED SCOPE OF WORK COST FOR THE COPPERSTONE PROJECT	198

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – COPPERSTONE PROJECT UNPATENTED CLAIMS SUMMARY	203
APPENDIX B – DRILLHOLE COLLAR LOCATIONS BY YEAR	276

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AAL	American Assay Laboratory	PFS	Preliminary Feasibility Study
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	PG	Professional Geologist
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	ppm	Parts per million
ADWR	Arizona Department of Water Resources	PRJ	Pearson, deRidder and Johnson, Inc.
ADWR	Arizona Department of Water Resources	QAQC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
Ag	Silver	QP	Qualified Person
ALS	ALS Laboratories	RBF	Radial Basis Function
AMEC	Association of Mining and Exploration Companies	RC	Reverse Circulation
ARD	Absolute relative difference	RDI	Resource Development Inc.
ATF	Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms	RMR	Rock mass rating
Au	Gold	RQD	Rock Quality Data
AZG	Arizona Gold Corporation	SAMS	Shallow Angle Mining System
BDL	Below detection	SGLD	Sabre Gold Mines Corporation
BLM	Bureau of Land Management	SME-RM	Society of Mining and Metallurgy and Exploration – Registered Member
BWI	Bond Work Index	SRM	Standard Reference Material
CDH	Copperstone Drillhole	tpd	Tones per day
CIL	Carbon-in-leach	tph	Tones per hour
CIM	Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum	TSF	Tailings storage facility
CRF	Cemented rock fill	TSX	Toronto Stock Exchange
CRIRSCO	Committee of Mineral Reserves International Reporting Standards	UCS	Unconfined Compressive Strength
CS	Copperstone Sample	USGS	United States Geological Survey
Cu	Copper	VLF	Very low frequency
CV	Coefficient of variation	WOL	Whole Ore Leach
DCU	Drillhole Copperstone		
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
FW	Footwall		
g/L	Grams per litre		
g/mt	Grams per metric tonne		
HRC	Hard Rock Consulting		
HW	Hanging wall		
ID	Inverse distance		
IDS	International Directional Services		
IP	Induced Polarization		
ISO	International Standards Organization		
JORC	Joint Ore Reserves Committee		
MDA	Mine Development Associates		
MIP	Maximum intensity projections		
MPO	Mine Plan of Operations		
MRDI	Mineral Resources Development Inc		
NaCN	Sodium Cyanide		
NBM	Nevada Bureau of Mines		
NI 43-101	National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report		
NN	Nearest neighbor		
OK	Ordinary Kriging		
PAX	Potassium amyl xanthate		
PbO	Lead Oxide		

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Introduction

Sabre Gold Mines Corp. (“SGLD”) (TSX:SGLD) is a North American gold exploration company headquartered in Toronto, Canada. SGLD was formed in August of 2021 with the merger of Arizona Gold Corp. (“AZG”) and Golden Predator Mining Corp. (“Golden Predator”). AZG was previously known as Kerr Mines, Inc. (“Kerr”) and completed the name change to AZG in December of 2020. Kerr acquired the Copperstone Project (the “Project”), a historically productive high-grade gold mine located in La Paz County, Arizona in 2014. The mine is fully permitted with significant mining infrastructure, mineral resources and processing infrastructure in place. The Copperstone Project was previously mined by Cyprus Minerals Corporation, producing in excess of 500,000 ounces of gold (from 5.6 million tons of ore grading 0.089 oz/ton Au) from the open pit between 1987 and 1993. Cyprus successfully recovered the gold through a combination of whole ore and heap leaching, with no known associated environmental liabilities resulting from the process. The results of ongoing exploration at the Project indicate that gold and copper mineralization with mineable potential exist at the site. In 2011 American Bonanza constructed a 450 tpd flotation mill on site and in 2012 started underground mining from two declines which were previously developed in the bottom of the open pit. American Bonanza’s mining focused on the D zone which is to the north of the Cyprus open pit. From January 2012 to July 2013 American Bonanza produced approximately 16,900 oz of gold from 163,000 t of ore grading 0.104 oz/t of gold. In late 2017, Kerr completed a combined surface and underground drilling exploration program including the addition of 800 ft. of additional underground access.

SGLD has retained Hard Rock Consulting (“HRC”) to prepare an updated mineral resource estimate for the Copperstone Project. This report presents the results of the updated mineral resource estimate and is intended to fulfill the Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects according to Canadian National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”).

This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements and guidelines set forth in NI 43-101 Companion Policy 43-101CP and Form 43-101F1 (June 2011), and the mineral resources and reserves presented herein are classified according to Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (“CIM”) Definition Standards - For Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council on November 19, 2019. The mineral resource reported here are based on all available technical data and information as of June 16, 2021. The effective date of this report in full is September 3rd, 2021.

1.2 Property Description and Ownership

The Copperstone Project encompasses approximately 13.8 square miles of surface area and mineral rights in La Paz County, County, Arizona, roughly 19 miles north of the town of Quartzsite. The Project is wholly owned by SGLD, which controls the 546 federal unpatented mining claims and two Arizona state mineral leases which together comprise the Copperstone Project area. The Project area covers all or portions of Sections 6 through 10 and 15 through 23, T6NR19W; Sections 1, 2, 10 through 14 and 22 through 27, T6NR20W; and Section 19, T7NR19W, Gila and Salt River Meridian. The federal claims cover approximately

10,920 acres (4,419 hectares) while the state mineral leases total approximately 1,338 acres (542 hectares). The approximate geographic center of the Project area lies at 33°52'6" N latitude, 114°17'42" W longitude.

The current Copperstone Project is an underground gold mining/mill project. A PFS (Prefeasibility Study) was completed by HRC in April of 2018 which identified the availability of approximately 884,000 tons of gold bearing material, with an estimated project life of approximately 4.4 years. The schedule estimated a mill through-put of approximately 600 tons per day, which translates into an annual mill through-put of approximately 210,000 tons per year. A majority of the construction crews were expected to come from the local areas; however, some may also come from other areas depending on skill levels available in the local communities.

All facilities were planned to be placed on currently disturbed ground. No new surface disturbance was contemplated or expected. All non-ore bearing rock excavated in the underground mine was planned to be used to back fill newly created openings from mining ore bearing material in the underground mine. The facility was designed as a zero-discharge facility with all mine water created from pumping of the underground mine either used in the mill, for dust suppression on roads or directed to an evaporation/infiltration gallery. Existing wells supplied any make-up water and non-potable water for buildings.

Mining of the ore was planned to be conducted through conventional underground mining techniques. Waste rock was to be blasted, transported by haul truck and placed into newly mined areas underground. Ore was transported by haul truck from the pit area to the mill for crushing and processing. Ore was to be processed by crushing, grinding and tank leaching. Gold doré bars were planned to be produced and shipped off site to a refinery. Tailings generated by the new mining activities was impounded in the existing, lined tailings storage facility (TSF). Water from the TSF was allowed to flow to a lined water collection facility to be re-used in the processing of gold bearing ores at the mill.

1.3 Geology and Mineralization

The Copperstone Project is situated at the northern tip of the Moon Mountains in west-central Arizona, regionally within the Basin and Range geo-physiographic province, and within the westernmost extent of the Whipple-Buckskin-Rawhide detachment system. The Whipple-Buckskin-Rawhide detachment system is centrally located within the Maria fold and thrust belt (Reynolds et al., 1986), which extends from southeastern California to central Arizona. Mid-Tertiary low-angle normal faults (detachment faults) are recognized as significant regional structures in this portion of the Basin and Range, where major detachment faults are associated with mylonitization of lower-plate rocks and brittle faulting and rotation of upper-plate rocks. In general, mylonitic foliations are low-dipping and contain well-developed northeast-plunging mineral lineations. Upper plate rocks as young as mid-Tertiary dip moderately to the southwest and are cut by northeast-dipping normal faults.

In the vicinity of the Copperstone Project, the Moon Mountain detachment fault carries sedimentary and volcanic rocks of Paleozoic, Mesozoic, and Tertiary age over a ductilely deformed footwall consisting primarily of granitic intrusive rocks. The top of the granitic lower plate rocks are marked by the brecciated Copper Peak granite, which is exposed over an area of roughly 2 km² surrounding and to the south of Copper

Peak, in the northeastern part of the Moon Mountains. The northern margin of this unit is truncated by the Moon Mountain detachment fault. A weakly to strongly developed tectonic fabric is present over much of the exposed extent of the granite and is characterized by flattened and stretched quartz grains and deformed potassium feldspar.

The primary lithologic units within the Copperstone Project area are Precambrian to Tertiary amphibolite metasediments, volcanics, and granitic intrusive rocks, with lesser amounts of sedimentary and volcanic supracrustal lithologies. Brecciated granite along the plane of the low-angle detachment separates the lower plate mid-Tertiary granitic rocks from upper plate rocks, which consist (from bottom to top) of Triassic phyllites and metasediments, Jurassic quartz latite porphyry, and Miocene sediments and olivine basalt. The basal unit encountered is described as a chlorite phyllite to calcareous chlorite phyllite, with a maximum known thickness of up to 230 to 300 ft.

Gold mineralization at Copperstone occurs in the hanging wall of the Moon Mountain detachment fault, which has not been penetrated in drilling to date. Gold mineralization is largely restricted to the immediate vicinity of the Copperstone fault (also referred to as the Copperstone shear or the Copperstone structure), a moderately northeast-dipping, semi-planar zone of shear which is interpreted as a listric splay of the Moon Mountain detachment, and which has hosted the bulk of the gold historically produced from the Copperstone mine. The Copperstone fault strikes about N30° to 60°W and dips from 20° to 50° to the northeast. The associated brecciated fault zone ranges from 45 ft. to 180 ft. in width with characteristic fault gouge, multi-phase breccia textures, shear fabric, and intense fracture sets across this width.

SGLD's current conceptual geologic model interprets the Copperstone structure as part of a detachment fault system related to regional mid-Miocene extension. More recently, Strickland et al. (2017) have recognized late Laramide detachment related to magmatism and the denudation of a Cretaceous subduction complex found across southern Arizona and California. Regardless of the age of the deformation, detachment faulting with an upper-plate-to-the-east sense of motion is presently considered the primary control/conduit for mineralization. The mineralized Copperstone fault is continuously present across the pit area from the A, B and C zones and may extend even farther south across the sparsely drilled South zone. It appears to break down or splay upon entering the D zone and there are indications of some up-dip flattening in the northern C zone.

1.4 History and Exploration

The first recorded commercial interest in the Copperstone property was as a copper prospect in 1968. Charles Ellis of the Southwest Silver Company ("Southwest Silver") controlled the Continental Silver claim group from 1968-1980. Newmont Gold Company ("Newmont") leased the property in 1975. A geophysical survey was conducted and one drillhole completed in an attempt to verify porphyry copper mineralization. The attempt was unsuccessful.

In 1980, Southwest Silver drilled six rotary holes with unknown results and then dropped the claims. In late 1980, Dan Patch staked 63 Copperstone claims and leased the property to Cyprus-Amoco. Cyprus then purchased the Iron Reef Claim group from W. Rhea. Additional claims were subsequently added, and the claim block expanded to 284 claims. Cyprus identified the Copperstone property as a gold target and

undertook a drilling campaign from 1980 to 1986. Cyprus began baseline, financial and metallurgical studies that led to mine design, initial construction and a partially completed decline in 1986.

In 1987, Cyprus commissioned construction of a 2,500 ton/day carbon-in-pulp mill and started open-pit mining. The mine was designed, constructed and operated as a zero-discharge facility (Miller et al., 1994). Mining continued until 1993 when the pit neared the groundwater table, which was the limit of the original mining permits. Ackerman (1998) reported production by Cyprus at Copperstone of 514,000 oz of gold from 5,600,000 Mt of ore grading 0.089 oz/t of gold.

Santa Fe Pacific Gold Corporation (“Santa Fe”) leased the property in 1993, while reclamation activities were underway. Santa Fe completed 12,500 ft. (3,810 m) of RC drilling on seven exploration targets. Gold mineralization was encountered in one hole in the footwall of the Copperstone Fault.

Royal Oak Mines (“Royal Oak”) leased the property from the Patch Living Trust in 1995. Royal Oak drilled a total of 28,413.5’ (8,660 m) in 34 holes between 1995 and 1997. Several high-grade gold intercepts to the north and east of the open pit showed potential for underground mining.

Asia Minerals entered into a joint venture with Arctic Precious Metals Inc., a subsidiary of Royal Oak in August 1998. Asia Minerals drilled 15 holes (A98-1 to 15) in November 1998 for a total of about 10,979’ (3,346 m). Each hole was drilled with RC methods from the surface to a predetermined depth and then core drilled through the target interval. The drilling program was designed to explore the C and D zones (MRDI, 1999). Golder Associates and MRDI Canada completed a scoping level study after the 1998 drilling program was completed.

Asia Minerals drilled 11 more holes in early 2000. Total footage was 8,609’ (2,624 m). Holes were designed to test the strike length of the D zone, with the best intercept in hole A00-10 which assayed 0.943 oz/ton Au over 10.5’ (3.2 m). On July 7, 2000, the BLM approved an application from Asia Minerals to construct a 2,000-foot (610 m) decline (Mine Development Associates, 2000). The purpose of the decline was to explore high-grade gold mineralization which had been discovered during surface drilling (AMEC, 2006). On July 26, 2000, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality approved the proposed underground activity and granted Asia Minerals an exemption from an Aquifer Protection Permit (Mine Development Associates, 2000).

Asia Minerals began a joint venture with Centennial Development Corp. of Salt Lake City in September 2000 (AMEC, 2006). The permitted decline was started from the north end of the pit in a northward direction. It provided a platform for further exploration drilling and allowed for the removal of bulk sample material for metallurgical and milling tests. To that end, a 64-lb high-grade sample was sent to McClelland Labs in Sparks, Nevada. It was during this time that Asia Minerals changed its name to American Bonanza Gold Mining Corp. to better reflect the geographic, metal and grade focus of the company.

On March 4, 2002, American Bonanza announced that it had gained control of a 100% equity interest in Copperstone subject only to the royalty schedule payable to the Patch Living Trust. They also announced an agreement with Trilon Securities whereby Trilon would arrange a US\$1.1 million secured credit facility for the company. In November 2002, American Bonanza selected Merritt Construction of Kingman, Arizona to

expand the underground development. American Bonanza announced on May 5, 2003 that significant high-grade gold mineralization was sampled in the decline in the D zone. In June 2003, an underground drill station was completed. Drilling began in July, and by May 17, 2004, American Bonanza had drilled 33 underground core holes in the D zone for a total of 9,234' (2,815 m).

American Bonanza continued drilling in 2004, including underground drilling from a drill bay in the exploration decline. The company retained certain specialized firms to assist it with collecting environmental, geotechnical, hydrological and metallurgical baseline data in 2004, and in 2005, submitted a Mine Plan of Operations ("MPO") to the BLM. Additional drilling was completed in 2006 and 2007. A variety of studies and reports were commissioned by American Bonanza between 2007 and 2010, culminating in a feasibility study, including an updated mineral resource estimate, completed in 2010. In 2011 American Bonanza constructed a 450 tpd floatation mill on site and in 2012 started underground mining from two declines that were previously developed in the bottom of the open pit. American Bonanza's mining focused on the D zone which is to the north of the open pit. From January 2012 to July 2013 American Bonanza produced approximately 16,900 oz of gold from 163,000 t of ore grading 0.104 oz/t of gold. American Bonanza maintained control of the Copperstone Project until AZG's (as Kerr Mines, Inc.) acquisition in June of 2014.

1.5 Mineral Resource Estimate

HRC's Richard Schwering, P.G., SME-RM, is responsible for the mineral resource estimate presented herein. Mr. Schwering is a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and is independent of SGLD. HRC estimated the mineral resource for the Project based on drillhole data constrained by geologic boundaries with an Ordinary Kriging ("OK") algorithm. Gold is the metal of interest at the Project. The mineral resources estimate reported here was prepared in a manner consistent with the Committee of Mineral Reserves International Reporting Standards ("CRIRSCO"), of which both the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum ("CIM") and Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the "JORC Code") are members. The mineral resources are classified as Measured, Indicated, and Inferred in accordance with "CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves", prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council on May 10, 2014. Classification of the resources reflects the relative confidence of the grade estimates.

In total, 1,160 drillholes totaling 523,559 ft. were incorporated into the geologic model and resource estimate. Thirteen diamond core drillholes totaling 1,093 feet completed by AZG in April and May of 2021 were not incorporated into the Mineral Resource Estimate. The infill drilling was conducted from underground stations for the purpose of delineation gold mineralization within planned stopes as part of an initial ore control program.

The Copperstone deposit is a mid-Tertiary, detachment fault related gold deposit. Mineralization is predominantly controlled by the northwest trending shallow angle Copperstone fault and shear zone. These structures are not confined to any lithologic unit, although the majority of the mineralization is hosted in quartz latite porphyry. Breccia textures as well as chloritization, silicification, and hematite and specularite flooding are reliable indications of gold mineralization.

Gold grades were constrained within estimation domains modelled with 3D wireframe solids. Estimation domains follow the overall northwest, shallowly dipping structural trends, and were defined by drillhole interval selections of gold grades greater than or equal to 0.100 troy ounces per short ton, "oz/ton". Domains were reviewed in 3D to ensure the models agree with the overall geologic interpretation and maintained continuity along strike and down dip. Samples were composited inside estimation domains to a target length of 5 ft. Composite gold grades within each domain were reviewed for statistically high outliers, which were then constrained and capped. The capping analysis considered each domain separately and a global gold cap was not used. Semi-variograms from composites were used to inform the search ellipse. Densities were determined inside and outside estimation domains by lithology from drill core. The strike length of the deposit is approximately 4,000 ft. and mineralization has been encountered by drillholes to a depth of -330 ft. (approximately 1,200 ft. below surface). The geologic model was created using Leapfrog, and is comprised of four structural domains, six stratigraphic units, and 48 estimation domains.

The undiluted Copperstone project mineral resource statement is presented in Table 1-1 below. The results reported in the mineral resource have been rounded to reflect the approximation of grade and quantity which can be achieved at this level of resource estimation. Rounding may result in apparent differences when summing tons, grade and contained metal content. Tonnage and grade measurements are in imperial units. Mineral resources are quoted inclusive of mineral reserves. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability and may be materially affected by modifying factors including but not restricted to mining, processing, metallurgy, infrastructure, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental factors. Inferred mineral resources are that part of a mineral resource for which the grade or quality are estimated on the basis of limited geological evidence and sampling. Inferred mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability and may not be converted to a mineral reserve. It is reasonably expected, though not guaranteed, that the majority of Inferred mineral resources could be upgraded to Indicated mineral resources with continued exploration.

The mineral resources are confined to material exceeding the cut-off grade of 0.08 oz/ton within coherent wireframe models and meet the test of reasonable prospect for economic extraction. The cutoff is calculated based on the operating costs, royalties, recoveries and metal prices as presented in Table 14-22. The effective date of the mineral resource estimate is June 16, 2021.

**Table 1-1 Mineral Resource Statement for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, U.S.A.,
Hard Rock Consulting, LLC, June 16, 2021**

Classification	Mass		Gold		
	Tons	Tonnes	Troy Ounces	Average Grade	
				oz/ton	g/t
Measured	888,000	806,000	196,000	0.221	7.56
Indicated	554,000	502,000	110,000	0.198	6.77
Measured + Indicated	1,442,000	1,308,000	306,000	0.212	7.26
Inferred	1,238,000	1,123,000	212,000	0.171	5.85

1. The effective date of the mineral resource estimate is June 16, 2021. The QP for the estimate is Mr. Richard A. Schwering, P.G., SME-RM of Hard Rock Consulting, LLC. and is independent of Sabre Gold Mining Corp.
2. Inferred mineral resources are that part of a mineral resource for which the grade or quality are estimated on the basis of limited geological evidence and sampling. Inferred mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability and may not be converted to a mineral reserve. It is reasonably expected, though not guaranteed, that the majority of Inferred mineral resources could be upgraded to Indicated mineral resources with continued exploration.
3. The mineral resource is reported at an underground mining cut-off of 0.080 oz/ton (2.74 g/t) Au beneath the historic open pit and within coherent wireframe models. The cut-off is based on the following assumptions: a gold price of \$1,700/oz; assumed mining cost of \$75/ton (\$68.04/tonne), process costs of \$36/ton (\$32.66/tonne), general and administrative and property/severance tax costs of \$14/ton (\$12.70/tonne), refining costs of \$10.00/oz and metallurgical recovery for gold of 95%, and a 3.0% gross royalty.
4. Rounding may result in apparent differences when summing tons, grade and contained metal content. Tonnage and grade measurements are in imperial units. Grades are reported in troy ounces per short ton (oz/ton) and grams per tonne (g/t).

1.6 Conclusions

The updated mineral resource has been updated to include 12,900 meters of drilling in 152 drillholes since 2019. The mineral resource estimation was performed only for gold. Copper may be a by-product of mining economic gold ores and extracting the copper during the processing of the economic gold ore at the Copperstone mine is at the early stages of being evaluated. Further exploration drilling, assaying and modelling work of copper bearing gold ore is required. The amount of cyanide soluble copper in metallurgical test samples had a significant effect on the cyanide consumption. In addition, cyanide consumption generally increased slightly as the particle size decreased.

The amount of cyanide soluble copper should be incorporated into the mine plan and economic analysis. Currently, cyanide soluble copper assays make up 0.05% of the total gold assay database. When compared to gold assays greater than 0.05 oz/ton, cyanide soluble copper assays make up 13% of the database. There is reasonable spatial distribution of cyanide copper soluble assays along strike and down dip of available mineral resources. This information will be useful in determining the amount of cyanide that will be consumed and whether a SART plant will be beneficial in recovering copper which will lower the cyanide consumption levels and improve gold recoveries.

Detailed cyanide detoxification studies should also be completed. Copper and other base metals will accumulate in the leach solution if recycled and provision should be made in the design to bleed and destroy cyanide to remove this.

Factors that may affect the mineral resources include changes to geological or grade interpretations, including grade shell considerations; changes to the modelling method or approach; changes to metallurgical recovery assumptions; and changes to any of the social, political, economic, permitting, and environmental assumptions considered when evaluating reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction.

1.7 Recommendations

HRC recommends the following tasks be completed to advance and prepare the Project for development and operation.

1.7.1 Drillhole Database

The geologic information within the drillhole database is not in a standard, or consistent format. HRC recommends the following in order to standardize the geologic information contained in the database:

- Remove structural fabrics (such as breccia, faults, etc.), and alterations from the lithologic database. The lithologic database should represent the proto-lithology observed in the geologic logs. The lithologic database should then be simplified to only the most significant geologic types. Finally, the lithologic database should be reviewed for drillhole to drillhole inconsistencies in 3D and in section. Once inconsistencies are identified, geologists should review core or chip trays to determine the correct lithology.
- Structural fabrics identified in core, or RC cuttings, should be separated into its own table and entered into the database in a consistent format. The structural database should be simplified to only the most significant structural types. The structural database should be reviewed for drillhole-to-drillhole inconsistencies in 3D and in section. Once inconsistencies are identified, geologists should review core or chip trays to determine the correct structure.
- Alteration and mineralization should be separated into its own table and entered into the database in a consistent format. The alteration database should be simplified to only the most significant alteration and mineralization types. The alteration database should be reviewed for drillhole-to-drillhole inconsistencies in 3D and in section. Once inconsistencies are identified, geologists should review core or chip trays to determine the correct alteration.

In 2017, Kerr commenced bringing lithology, alteration, mineralization, and structural information into a simplified and standardized format. SGLD is currently addressing, or has addressed the other recommendations above, and HRC encourages SGLD to continue these efforts by standardizing the geologic database, it is possible estimation domains could be developed independent of gold grades, ultimately improving the confidence of the geologic interpretation and model.

1.7.2 Maximum Mined Out Pit Extent

The maximum mined out pit extent is digitized from blast hole bench maps created by Cyprus. HRC recommends either drilling to bedrock in the backfilled portions of the pit, or a seismic survey to confirm the maximum extent of the pit. The contrast between backfill and quartz latite porphyry should be sufficient to resolve the contact. As an additional benefit, the seismic survey might be able to resolve some structural

features on the property. A limited seismic survey was conducted at Copperstone by Cooksley Geophysics, Inc in 1989. HRC's review of the interpretations show structures similar to those modeled by HRC.

1.7.3 Structural Understanding

HRC recommends that SGLD initiate a limited oriented core drilling program, testing up-dip and down-dip in known areas of mineralization, and penetrating the Copperstone and footwall zones. HRC recommends four holes in the AB zone, eight in the C zone, and four in the D zone.

If seismic survey is not conducted or fails to identify structures, structural mapping should be conducted inside the pit and underground to identify significant structures, such as the northeast trending offset faults, the Copperstone fault, and other structures determined to have significant impact on mineralization. Kerr might consider funding a thesis project to accomplish this task.

Geologic structural mapping at Copperstone is currently in progress. Additionally, SGLD plans to incorporate the use of down-hole Televiwer imagery. The imagery produces accurate in-situ structural measurements which can be linked to the geological and assay logs for modeling purposes. If successful, this technology can be used in lieu of oriented core.

1.7.4 Additional Drilling

Infill Drilling

HRC recommends continued infill drilling in the current Footwall zone and South zone to improve the understanding of geometry and orientation of mineralized structures. Figure 18-2 shows the block model for domains classified as Measured, Indicated, and Inferred resources. Areas colored dark blue and white space are areas for infill drilling in A/B, C, and D zones.

Step Out Drilling

HRC recommends testing the down dip continuity of Copperstone mineralization in the A/B, C and D zones. There are several drillhole intercepts to suggest these zones are still open down dip.

Anomalous gold grades have been intersected by drilling northeast of the C zone. Drillhole C96-15 has two intercepts greater 0.1 oz/ton gold (810'-815' 0.185 oz/ton Au, 855'-860' 0.112 oz/ton Au). Additionally, hole C96-16 encountered two intervals of greater than 0.1 oz/ton Au (1135'-1140' 0.182 oz/ton Au; 1205'-1210' 0.192 oz/ton Au). C96-16 is 283 ft. northwest from C96-15. Drillhole C96-14 is 287 ft. southeast of C96-15 but returned no significant gold values. No drilling has been completed to the northeast or southwest of C96-15. The intercepts could represent either the down dip extension of the C zone, or the expression of a new mineralization zone. HRC recommends 2 drillholes to test between C96-15 & C96-14, and C96-15 & C96-16; 2 drillholes testing up dip between C96-16 & H4-63 on approximately 200' centers; and a step out drillhole 100' northeast of C96-15 to test down dip.

Drillhole H5-108 encountered significant grade grades intercepts at depth (1084'-1088' 0.3 oz/ton Au; 1121'-1124' 0.64 oz/ton Au; 1124'-1129' 0.35 oz/ton Au). The hole ended in low grade gold. These intercepts could be the expression of the Footwall zone beneath the A/B zone. HRC recommends follow up drilling

surrounding this intercept to test the extent and continuity of mineralization, as well as its relation to South zone.

Exploration Drilling

HRC recommends the following targets for exploration drilling:

- Test for expression of Footwall zone mineralization at depth below D zone;
- Testing around CS-266 which had a 5 ft. intercept of 0.1 oz/ton Au from 780' to 790'. The intercept is approximately 1,000 ft. southwest from the Copperstone pit, and is not currently incorporated into any known zone of mineralization; and
- Expansion of the Southwest target, located approximately 2,500 ft. southwest of the Copperstone mine, to determine mineralization extent.

1.7.5 Metallurgy

HRC recommends the following based on the preliminary results of the on-going metallurgical program:

- Optimize the WOL process to reduce operating cost.
- Characterize the deposit with respect to copper minerals.
- Evaluate the sulfidization, acidification, recycling and thickening process (SART) process to potentially recover copper as a by-product and recycle cyanide to reduce operating costs.
- Complete a detailed design of the required plant modifications.

1.7.6 Mining

Based on the favorable results of the 2018 PFS, HRC recommends that the mine design and mine plan be advanced to a feasibility or detailed design level prior to a production decision. The following areas are recommended for further study during the next phase of work:

- Optimize the mine design, including number of access points, internal raises to improve ventilation, stope height and width;
- Review the use of a lower cutoff grade in the operational mining plan to take advantage of the high gold price to increase to amount of gold recovered from the resource;
- Review to see if marginal grade material can be added to the stopes if the stope access cost is excluded due to the stope already being developed;
- Further investigate contract mining versus owner mining;
- Further investigate possible mining methods including the Mining utilizing the Shallow Angle Mining System ("SAMS™");
- Hire key underground technical and management staff on a priority basis to facilitate the detailed design phase;
- Optimize the ventilation, water management, and electrical power systems; and
- Carry out detailed geotechnical studies and backfill binder quantity requirement studies.

Estimated costs for the recommendations are shown below in Table 1-2:

Table 1-2 Recommended Scope of Work Cost for the Copperstone Project

Recommendation	Estimate
Drillhole Database	\$10,000
Confirm Mined out Pit Extent	\$25,000
Structural Understanding	\$30,000
Additional Drilling (up to 15,000 meters)	\$4,000,000
Metallurgy	
Optimize the WOL process	\$25,000
Characterize the deposit re Cu minerals	\$45,000
Evaluate the SART process for Cu recovery	<u>\$65,000</u>
Total Metallurgical	\$135,000
Mining	
Optimize the mine design	\$25,000
Review use of lower cutoff grades	\$5,000
Marginal grade analysis	\$5,000
Contract Mining vs Owner Mining analysis	\$8,000
Alternative Mining Method analysis	\$25,000
Key underground mining staff	\$100,000
Optimize Ventilation, Water, and Power systems	\$25,000
Geotechnical and CHF/CRF studies	<u>\$50,000</u>
Total Mining	\$243,000
Feasibility Study	\$1,500,000
Total Budget	\$5,943,000

2. INTRODUCTION

2.1 Issuer and Terms of Reference

Sabre Gold Mines Corp. (“SGLD”) (TSX:SGLD) is a North American gold exploration company headquartered in Toronto, Canada. SGLD was formed in August of 2021 with the merger of Arizona Gold Corp. (“AZG”) and Golden Predator Mining Corp. (“Golden Predator”). AZG was previously known as Kerr Mines, Inc. (“Kerr”) and completed the name change to AZG in December of 2020. Kerr acquired the Copperstone Project (the “Project”), a historically productive high-grade gold mine located in La Paz County, Arizona in 2014. The mine is fully permitted with significant mining infrastructure, mineral resources and processing infrastructure in place. The Copperstone Project was previously mined by Cyprus Minerals Corporation, producing in excess of 500,000 ounces of gold (from 5.6 million tons of ore grading 0.089 oz/ton Au) from the open pit between 1987 and 1993. Cyprus successfully recovered the gold through a combination of whole ore and heap leaching, with no known associated environmental liabilities resulting from the process. The results of ongoing exploration at the Project indicate that gold and copper mineralization with mineable potential exist at the site. In 2011 American Bonanza constructed a 450 tpd flotation mill on site and in 2012 started underground mining from two declines which were previously developed in the bottom of the open pit. American Bonanza’s mining focused on the D zone which is to the north of the Cyprus open pit. From January 2012 to July 2013 American Bonanza produced approximately 16,900 oz of gold from 163,000 t of ore grading 0.104 oz/t of gold. In late 2017, Kerr completed a combined surface and underground drilling exploration program including the addition of 800 ft. of additional underground access.

SGLD, the Issuer of this report, has retained Hard Rock Consulting (“HRC”) to prepare an updated mineral resource estimate for the Copperstone Project. This report presents the results of the updated mineral resource estimate and is intended to fulfill the Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects according to Canadian National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”).

This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements and guidelines set forth in NI 43-101 Companion Policy 43-101CP and Form 43-101F1 (June 2011), and the mineral resources and reserves presented herein are classified according to Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (“CIM”) Definition Standards - For Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council on November 29, 2019. The mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates reported here are based on all available technical data and information as of June 16, 2021. The effective date of this report in full is September 3, 2021.

2.2 Sources of Information

A portion of the information and technical data for this study was obtained from the following previously filed NI43-101 Technical Reports:

AMEC Americas Limited, 2006. *NI-43-101 Technical Report, Copperstone Property, La Paz, Arizona*; prepared for American Bonanza Gold Corp., March 27, 2006.

Continental Metallurgical Services, 2010. *NI 43-101 Technical Feasibility Report, Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona*; prepared for American Bonanza Gold Corp., January 10, 2011.

Hard Rock Consulting LLC, 2018. *National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report: Preliminary Feasibility Study for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA*; prepared for Kerr Mines Inc., May 18, 2018.

Mine Development Associates, 2000. *Geological Report for the Copperstone Gold Property, La Paz County, Arizona U.S.A.*; prepared for American Bonanza Gold Corp., October 26, 2000.

Telesto Nevada, Inc., 2010. *NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona*; prepared for American Bonanza Gold Corp., February 11, 2010.

The information contained in current report Sections 4 through 8 was largely presented in, and in some cases is excerpted directly from, the technical reports listed above. HRC has reviewed this material in detail, and finds the information contained herein to be factual and appropriate with regard to guidance provided by NI 43-101 and associated Form NI 43-101F1.

Additional information was requested from and provided by SGLD. With respect to Sections 9 through 13 of this report, the authors have sourced information in part from historical documents, including exploration reports, technical papers, sample descriptions, assay results, and maps and drill logs generated by previous operators and associated third party consultants. Historical documents and data sources used during the preparation of this report are cited in the text, as appropriate, and are summarized in report Section 19.

2.3 Qualified Persons and Personal Inspection

This report is endorsed by the following Qualified Persons, as defined by NI 43-101: Ms. J.J. Brown, P.G., Mr. Richard Schwering, P.G., and Mr. Jeff Choquette, P.E., all of HRC.

Ms. Brown, P.G., SME-RM, has 25 years of professional experience as a consulting geologist and has contributed to numerous mineral resource projects, including more than twenty gold, silver, and polymetallic resources throughout the southwestern United States and South America over the past five years. Ms. Brown is specifically responsible for report Sections 2 through 8, and 15 through 17.

Mr. Schwering has over 10 years of combined experience in mineral exploration and geologic consulting, including a variety of project work specifically related to structurally controlled gold and silver resources and reserves. Mr. Schwering is specifically responsible for report Sections 1, 8 through 12, 14, 18 and 19.

Mr. Choquette, P.E., is a professional mining engineer with more than 25 years of domestic and international experience in mine operations, mine engineering, project evaluation and financial analysis. Mr. Choquette has been involved in industrial minerals, base metals and precious metal mining projects around the world and is responsible for the current report Section 13.

HRC representative and QP J.J. Brown, P.G., conducted an on-site inspection of the Copperstone Project on October 31 through November 2, 2017. Ms. Brown spent three full days at Project site accompanied by Kerr Mines Director of Exploration and Geology Brad Atkinson. While on site, Ms. Brown conducted general site and geologic field reconnaissance, including inspection of on-site facilities, examination of surface and underground bedrock exposures, and ground-truthing of reported drill collar locations. Ms. Brown also examined select core intervals from historic and recent drilling, obtained a variety of duplicate samples for

independent check sampling, and reviewed with SGLD geology staff the conceptual geologic model, data entry and document management protocols, and drilling and sampling procedures and the associated quality assurance and quality control (“QA/QC”) methods presently employed.

Richard A. Schwering, P.G., SME-RM, of HRC conducted a follow-up site visit of the Copperstone Project between March 1st and March 5th, 2021. During the site visit, Mr. Schwering reviewed the following information with Mr. Mike Smith and Mr. Andrew Eubanks of AZG:

- Core and RC sample preparation during the 2020/2021 drilling campaign;
- Review of selected Core and RC chips;
- Site Tour of Underground, Open Pit; and
- Review of records, particularly downhole surveys, for the project.

2.4 Units of Measure

Unless otherwise stated, all measurements reported here are Imperial, and currencies are expressed in constant 2021 U.S. dollars. Gold grades are presented in Troy ounces per short ton (oz/ton or oz/T), unless otherwise indicated.

3. RELIANCE ON OTHER EXPERTS

HRC has fully relied upon SGLD for information regarding property ownership, mineral tenure, and royalties or other agreements and encumbrances. Such information is presented in report Section 4, and was provided to HRC via the following source documents:

- *Amended and Restated Copperstone Mining Lease Between and Among Angie Patch Survivor's Trust, Daniel L. Patch Credit Trust and Bonanza Explorations, Inc.*, dated January 4, 2017
- *Memorandum of Royalty Interest Between Bonanza Explorations, Inc. and Trans Oceanic Mineral Co.*, dated January 4, 2017
- *Kerr Mines, Inc., Annual Information Form*, dated October 6, 2017
- *Bonanza Explorations Inc. and Trans Oceanic Mineral Company Ltd., Amended and Restated Royalty Purchase Agreement Relating to Copperstone Property*, dated February 23, 2021

HRC has not reviewed the permitting requirements nor independently verified the permitting status or environmental liabilities associated with the Project and disclaims responsibility for that information. Environmental information presented in report Section 4 was provided to HRC via the following documents:

- *Draft Biological Evaluation for Proposed Exploratory Drilling Activities at Copperstone Mine*; Internal report prepared for Kerr Mines, Inc. by Logan Simpson, September 2017.
- *Mine Plan of Operations, Copperstone Mine, La Paz County, Arizona*; BLM submittal prepared for Kerr Mines, Inc., and Bonanza Explorations, Inc., by Karen Johnson, November 2017.
- *Environmental Permit Review, Copperstone Mine, La Paz County, Arizona*; Internal report prepared for Kerr Mines, Inc., by David Abranovic of Environmental Resources Management, June 2017.

4. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

4.1 Project Location

The Copperstone Project encompasses approximately 13.8 square miles of surface area and mineral rights in La Paz County, County, Arizona, roughly 19 miles north of the town of Quartzsite. The Project area covers all or portions of Sections 6 through 10 and 15 through 23, T6NR19W; Sections 1, 2, 10 through 14 and 22 through 27, T6NR20W; and Section 19, T7NR19W, Gila and Salt River Meridian. The approximate geographic center of the Project area lies at 33°52'6"N latitude, 114°17'42"W longitude. Map coverage of the Project area is provided by the 1:24,000-scale, Moon Mountain SE and Moon Mountain NE, U.S.G.S. 7.5-minute topographic quadrangles.




Figure 4-1 Copperstone Project Location

SGLD controls 546 federal unpatented mining claims and two Arizona state mineral leases which together comprise the Copperstone Project area. The federal claims cover approximately 10,920 acres (4,419 hectares) while the state mineral leases total approximately 1,338 acres (542 hectares). The claim blocks are presented in plan view in Figure 4-2, and a summary list of claim details is presented in Appendix A.




Figure 4-2 Copperstone Project Claim Area

4.2 Property Ownership, Mineral Tenure, Agreements and Encumbrances

The Copperstone Project is wholly owned by SGLD via SGLD's 100% ownership of American Bonanza. On June 27, 2014, SGLD (as Kerr) announced the acquisition of all issued and outstanding common shares of American Bonanza by way of plan of arrangement under the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia). The arrangement was approved by Kerr shareholders by written consent, by American Bonanza shareholders at its annual general and special meeting of shareholder meeting held on June 20, 2014, and by the Supreme Court of British Columbia on June 25, 2014.

SGLD holds a 100 percent leasehold interest in the Copperstone Project. The landlord is the Trustee of the Angie Patch Survivor's Trust and the Trustee of Daniel L. Patch Credit Trust "The Patch Living Trust" and the lease was for a 10-year term starting June 12, 1995, was renewed on June 12, 2005 for a 10-year term and renewed on June 12, 2015 for a further 10-year term. The lease is renewable for one or more ten-year terms at the option of SGLD under the same terms and conditions. SGLD is obligated to pay for all permitting and state lease bonding, insurance, taxes, and to pay the leaseholder a 1.5 percent production gross royalty with a minimum advance royalty per year of US\$40,000.

In November of 2020 SGLD (formerly Kerr Mines) announced a gold purchase and sale agreement ("Streaming Agreement") with Star Royalties for an advanced payment of US\$18 million. The advance payment under the Streaming Agreement is being provided in three equal installments, with the first two US\$6 million installments having been received by SGLD. The final US\$6 million installment was payable on or before April 30, 2021 but has been delayed given the current spending profile of the project, which remains back-end weighted, Star Royalties and SGLD have agreed to revise the final US\$6 million instalment to be payable after the closing of the proposed merger. The advance payments are being allocated by SGLD to pay of promissory notes, advance detailed engineering, securing long-term lead equipment, performing preoperational mining activities and preparing the plant area for the installation of the new WOL processing equipment. In exchange for the advance payments Star Royalties will purchase from SGLD 9.9% of gold produced until accumulative 21,000 ounces are delivered, then 3.3% of gold produced until a cumulative 27,200 ounces are delivered, then 1.2% of gold produced thereafter for the life of mine. Star Royalties will provide a cash payment to Arizona Gold for each ounce of gold delivered equal to 25% of the average gold spot price for the five consecutive trading days prior to delivery.

In addition to the 1.5 percent royalty held by Angie Patch Survivor's Trust, Trans Oceanic Mineral Company Ltd. ("TOMCL") is the holder of an additional four and one-half percent (4.5%) production gross royalty pursuant to a royalty agreement dated January 4, 2017 between TOMCL and Bonanza. On February 24, 2021 Arizona Gold announced it had entered into an amended and restated royalty purchase agreement with TOMCL providing for the purchase of a three per cent (3%) gross production royalty on the Copperstone Project for US\$2.5 million. Upon completion, the purchase will reduce the aggregate gross royalties on the Copperstone Project from six per cent (6%) to three per cent (3%), consisting of a remaining one and a half percent (1.5%) royalty which will continue to be held by TOMCL and a one and a half percent (1.5%) royalty held by Angie Patch Survivor's Trust. Completion of the repurchase is subject to the satisfaction that all conditions to the advance by Star Royalties of the third US\$6 million installment under the Streaming Agreement which has been delayed to be payable after the closing of the proposed merger.

4.3 Permits and Environmental Liabilities

In January of 2020 the U.S. Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") issued a Decision of Record ("DOR") based on a Finding of No Significant Impact ("FONSI") formally approving Kerr's Mining Plan of Operation ("MPO") at the Copperstone Mine. Following Kerr's application in June of 2018, the BLM conducted an Environmental Assessment on the Copperstone Mine as required by National Environmental Policy Act. This process involved a number of independent studies to evaluate the effect of the project including cultural and biological resources, traffic, noise, water and air quality. Additionally, the BLM provided for public comment allowing

the public to review study results, discuss the proposed plans with Kerr’s representatives and submit formal comments to BLM. The following changes are approved under the new MPO for the Copperstone mine:

- Increase of gold ore production from the current allowable limit of 450 tons per day to 600 tons per day.
- Use of cyanide for recovery of gold from ore using captive steel tanks located in the gold ore processing facility.
- Storage of stabilized tailings produced from the ore processing facility.
- Construction and use of a water evaporation and infiltration basin to be used to manage surplus water generated from underground operations.

On February 5, 2019 the Company also announced the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (“ADEQ”) issued approval for the modification of the existing Air Permit (“Air Permit”) governing air quality. On September 19, 2019 the Company announced the ADEQ issued approval for the modification of the existing Aquifer Protection Permit (“Water Permit”). The Water Permit is effective for the life of mine and the Air Permit is valid for five years. Table 4-1 provides a summary of the state and federal environmental permits currently in place at the Copperstone mine.

In 2009 American Bonanza posted a reclamation bond of \$1.6 million. The Project is not subject to any other known environmental liabilities, and HRC knows of no other existing or potential future significant factors or risks (permitting, environmental, or otherwise) that might affect access, title, or the right or ability to perform work on the Project.

Table 4-1 Copperstone Mine Permit Summary

Permit	Approval/Permit #	Granting Agency
Mine Plan of Operations	Latest Revision Approved September 2019 to include cyanide usage, increased plant throughput to 600 tpd, and use of evaporation/infiltration basins.	Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Yuma District
Hazardous Waste RCRA	EPA ID AZD982500910, Number Issued for Life of Mine	EPA
Fuel Storage	Authorization for Life of Facility	EPA
Rights-of-Way Permits	AZA 32505 and AZA 32506, Issued to Angie Patch Survivor Trust, Patch Living Trust, and Patch Daniel L Credit Trust July 2018, for 20-year terms.	BLM
ATF Explosives Permit	Permit No. 9-AZ-012-20-0M-00394; Expires December 1, 2020	Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF)
AZPDES 2010 Multi-Sector General Permit	Permit No. AZMSG2010-003	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ)
Air Quality Control Permit	Registration No. 73215 as amended December 18, 2018.	ADEQ - Air Quality
Aquifer Protection Permit (APP)	Permit No. P106172 as amended September 18, 2019.	ADEQ - Groundwater Protection
Wastewater Treatment (Type IV APP - Septic)	Permit No. AZMSG2010-00	La Paz County
Well permits	Issued for Life of Mine	Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR)
International Cyanide Code Pre-Certification	Issued for Life of Mine	International Cyanide Management Institute
Tire disposal area	Authorized for Life of Facility	ADEQ - Waste Programs Division
Exploration Permit	April 2018/5 years/008-119806; 008- 119807	Arizona State Land Department

5. ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

5.1 Access and Climate

General access to the vicinity of the Copperstone Project is provided by Interstate I-10 out of Phoenix, Arizona, approximately 125 miles west to the city of Quartzsite. The primary Project access road, Cyprus Mine Road, is located roughly 13 miles north of Quartzsite on U.S. Highway 95. Cyprus Mine Road is a well maintained, gravel road which terminates 5.5 miles west of the highway at the Project entrance. Access to the Project area is attainable year-round.

The local climate is typical of a hot desert, with mild to warm “winter” weather occurring from November to March, and hot to extreme summer temperatures for the remainder of the year. In the middle of summer, Quartzsite is one of the hottest places in the United States, with recorded temperatures as high as 122 °F (July 1995). Average annual temperatures at Quartzsite range from a low of 59.3°F to a high of 89.5°F. Precipitation averages just ~3.5 inches annually, most of which occurs as rainfall during late summer and early winter months.

5.2 Local Resources and Infrastructure

The community nearest to the Project area is the town of Quartzsite, which hosts a population of roughly 4,000. Parker, the county seat of La Paz County, is located 20 miles to the north. Both Parker and Quartzsite offer standard municipal amenities such as lodging and services, as well as modest supplies of foodstuffs and hardware. Major supply centers and ample skilled and unskilled labor are available in Phoenix, 125 miles to the east of Quartzsite, and in Yuma, roughly 80 miles south of Quartzsite on U.S. Highway 95. Access to the Sante Fe rail line is available in Parker, and international air service and railway access (Union Pacific and BNSF) are both available in Phoenix.

Existing infrastructure at the Copperstone Project includes office facilities, warehouse, equipment maintenance shop and assay laboratory buildings, a change house, 10 trailer house hook-ups, a septic system, and a variety of shipping containers which provide for secure core storage. Incoming commercial 69 kV overhead electrical power is delivered to an on-site power substation. Water is currently delivered from three water wells to a 375,000-gallon storage tank in the mineral processing area. The right to extract and use groundwater from the aquifer within the La Posa Plain is authorized by the Arizona Department of Water Resources pursuant to A.R.S. Section 45-514. Potable water is delivered by truck. Mine communications are supported by cellular and satellite phone and internet service. Existing surface rights and right of ways are sufficient for all proposed exploration, mining, and processing activities, including tailings and waste storage and disposal areas.

5.3 Physiography

The Copperstone Project lies at the southern edge of the Basin and Range geo-physiographic province, which is typified by north-northeast trending mountain ranges separated by broad, flat, alluvium filled valleys. The Project is situated on the flat, sandy desert terrain of the La Posa Plain, at the northeastern end of the Dome

Rock Mountains, and is surrounded by a natural desert scrub environment. Vegetation is sparse, and consists primarily of ground hugging shrubs, short woody trees, and cactus. The soils are hyperthermic arid soils of the Superstition-Rositas Association, which is characterized by deep, coarse-textured, nearly level and undulating soils on terraces (Hendricks 1985). Surficial soils in the Project vicinity are classified as “gravelly loamy fine sand” and include aeolian (i.e., wind-blown sand) deposits in hummocks surrounding the many small shrubs. Elevations within the Project area range from 650 to 825 feet above mean sea level.

6. HISTORY

6.1 Historical Ownership and Development

The first recorded commercial interest in the Copperstone property was as a copper prospect in 1968. Charles Ellis of the Southwest Silver Company (“Southwest Silver”) controlled the Continental Silver claim group from 1968-1980. Newmont Gold Company (“Newmont”) leased the property in 1975. A geophysical survey was conducted and one drillhole completed in an attempt to verify porphyry copper mineralization. The attempt was unsuccessful.

In 1980, Southwest Silver drilled six rotary holes with unknown results and then dropped the claims. In late 1980, Dan Patch staked 63 Copperstone claims and leased the property to Cyprus-Amoco. Cyprus then purchased the Iron Reef Claim group from W. Rhea. Additional claims were subsequently added, and the claim block expanded to 284 claims. Cyprus identified the Copperstone property as a gold target and undertook a drilling campaign from 1980 to 1986, which resulted in 73 diamond drillholes and over 496 RC drillholes completed (Pawlowski, 2005). Cyprus began baseline, financial and metallurgical studies that led to mine design, initial construction and a partially completed decline in 1986.

In 1987, Cyprus commissioned construction of a 2,500 ton/day carbon-in-pulp mill and started open-pit mining. The mine was designed, constructed and operated as a zero-discharge facility (Miller et al., 1994). Mining continued until 1993 when the pit neared the groundwater table, which was the limit of the original mining permits. Cyprus terminated its lease at this time. Ackerman (1998) reported production by Cyprus at Copperstone of 514,000 oz of gold from 5,600,000 Mt of ore grading 0.089 oz/t of gold.

Santa Fe Pacific Gold Corporation (“Santa Fe”) leased the property in 1993, while reclamation activities were underway. Santa Fe completed 12,500 ft. (3,810 m) of RC drilling on seven exploration targets. Gold mineralization was encountered in one hole in the footwall of the Copperstone Fault.

Royal Oak Mines (“Royal Oak”) leased the property from the Patch Living Trust in 1995. Royal Oak drilled a total of 25,875’ (7,887 m) in 35 holes between 1995 and 1997. Several high-grade gold intercepts to the north and east of the open pit showed potential for underground mining.

Asia Minerals entered into a joint venture with Arctic Precious Metals Inc., a subsidiary of Royal Oak in August 1998. Asia Minerals drilled 15 holes (A98-1 to 15) in November 1998 for a total of about 10,050’ (3,063 m). Each hole was drilled with RC methods from the surface to a predetermined depth and then core drilled through the target interval. The drilling program was designed to explore the C and D zones (MRDI, 1999). Golder Associates and MRDI Canada completed a scoping level study after the 1998 drilling program was completed.

Asia Minerals drilled 11 more holes in early 2000. Total footage was 7,470’ (2,277 m). Holes were designed to test the strike length of the D zone, with the best intercept in hole A00-10 which assayed 0.943 oz/ton Au over 10.5’ (3.2 m). On July 7, 2000, the BLM approved an application from Asia Minerals to construct a 2,000-foot (610 m) decline (Mine Development Associates, 2000). The purpose of the decline was to explore high-grade gold mineralization which had been discovered during surface drilling (AMEC, 2006). On July 26,

2000, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality approved the proposed underground activity and granted Asia Minerals an exemption from an Aquifer Protection Permit (Mine Development Associates, 2000).

Asia Minerals began a joint venture with Centennial Development Corp. of Salt Lake City in September 2000 (AMEC, 2006). The permitted decline was started from the north end of the pit in a northward direction. It provided a platform for further exploration drilling and allowed for the removal of bulk sample material for metallurgical and milling tests. To that end, a 64-lb high grade sample was sent to McClelland Labs in Sparks, Nevada. It was during this time that Asia Minerals changed its name to American Bonanza Gold Mining Corp. to better reflect the geographic, metal and grade focus of the company.

On March 4, 2002, American Bonanza announced that it had gained control of a 100% equity interest in Copperstone subject only to the royalty schedule payable to the Patch Living Trust. They also announced an agreement with Trilon Securities whereby Trilon would arrange a US\$1.1 million secured credit facility for the company. In November 2002, American Bonanza selected Merritt Construction of Kingman, Arizona to expand the underground development. American Bonanza announced on May 5, 2003 that significant high-grade gold mineralization was sampled in the decline in the D zone. In June 2003, an underground drill station was completed. Drilling began in July, and by May 17, 2004, American Bonanza had drilled 33 underground core holes in the D zone for a total of 9,234' (2,815 m).

American Bonanza continued drilling in 2004, including underground drilling from a drill bay in the exploration decline. The company retained certain specialized firms to assist it with collecting environmental, geotechnical, hydrological and metallurgical baseline data in 2004, and in 2005, submitted a Mine Plan of Operations ("MPO") to the BLM. Additional drilling was completed in 2006 and 2007. A variety of studies and reports were commissioned by American Bonanza between 2007 and 2010, culminating in a feasibility study, including an updated mineral resource estimate, completed in 2010. American Bonanza maintained control of the Copperstone Project until SGLD (as Kerr) acquired the Project in June of 2014.

6.2 Historical Production

Ackerman (1998) reported production by Cyprus at Copperstone of 514,000 oz of gold from 6,200,000 t (5,600,000 Mt) of ore grading 0.089 oz/t of gold. This ore was produced via open pit surface mining methods, and the gold bearing ore was processed using heap leach and tank leach methods. In 2011 American Bonanza constructed a 450 tpd floatation mill on site and in 2012 started underground mining from two previously developed declines located in the bottom of the Cyprus open pit. American Bonanza's mining focused on the D zone which is to the north of the open pit. From January 2012 to July 2013 American Bonanza produced approximately 16,900 oz of gold from 163,000 t of ore grading 0.104 oz/t of gold. The authors are unaware of any other production information available for the Copperstone Project.

6.3 Historical Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Estimates

The mineral resource estimates described in the following paragraphs pre-date current NI 43-101 reporting requirements and associated CIM definition standards. The historic estimates are considered relevant as they were completed according to NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure in place at the time they were reported;

however, the authors caution that a qualified person has not done sufficient work to validate the historical estimates, and SGLD is not treating the historical estimates as current mineral resources or reserves. All historical mineral resource estimates are superseded by the mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates presented in Sections 14 and 15 of this report.

Three significant historic mineral resource estimates have been reported for the Copperstone Project since mining ceased in 1993. These estimates were generated to reflect the remaining in-situ resource, based in part on drilling completed after the mine closure.

MRDI (1999) estimated an Indicated resource of 892,200 tons averaging 0.32 oz/t gold and an Inferred resource of 1,193,700 tons averaging 0.354 oz/t gold. The total resource was separated into smaller individual resources (A, B, C and D) based on “grade, style, geometry and host stratigraphy”. The MRDI estimate only updated the C and D zones, whereas the A and B zones were not. The C zone is described as the NE down-dip extension of the Cyprus ore body in the NE lobe of the open-pit. Within the C zone, three sub-zones were identified: C1, C2A and C2B. The tabular C1 zone is described as having strike length of 1,150’, width of about 360’ and an average thickness of 15’. It dips NE at 20°–35°. Zone C1 is separated from the parallel and up dip C2 zone by a steep NW-SE fault. The tabular C2 zone strikes a distance of 1,000’, dips 20°–35° and is about 260’ wide. The C2A zone is separated from C2 by a waste zone of 15 – 40’ thickness. Zones C2 and C2A are well defined in the Cyprus blasthole assay plans for the lowest branches in the northeast lobe of the pit.

The D zone, which lies northwest of the Cyprus open pit, was discovered by Royal Oak in 1995. Like the C zone, the D is also subdivided. The sub-zones are distinct blocks which are created by the intersections of the Copperstone fault and other NWSE and NE-SW normal faults. The sub-zones are labeled D1, D1A, D1B, D2, D2A, D3 and D4.

The D1 zone is a tabular wedge of mineralization that extends over 350’ of strike length. It is known to have a down-plunge extent of 500’. The dip of this zone is 25° NE within the Copperstone fault, and the average thickness is about 15’. The up-plunge extent of D1 terminates against a NW-SE fault and zone D2 continues south of this fault. Zones D1A and D1B are minor splay zones in the hanging wall and footwall of D1.

Zone D2 is within a narrow, graben-like feature located between two NW-SE faults. Zone D3 occurs within the same fault block as D2, but the zones are separated by a NE-SW cross fault. The D4 zone is a west-dipping conjugate zone that lies northwest of the D2 and D3 zones.

MRDI generated a three-dimensional block model in MEDSYSTEM™ mine modeling software using a 15’ (4.6 m) by 35’ (10.7 m) by 5’ (1.5 m) block size. A tonnage factor of 10.7 ft³/ton and inverse distance weighting to the power of 3 (“IDW3”) were used for resource estimation. Gold grade was capped at 2.5 oz/ton in zone C and at 4.7 oz/ton in zone D. No cutoff grade was applied to the global geological resource estimate.

Resources were reported by each sub-zone in the MRDI report, but a summary of the results of the estimation of zones C and D are presented in Table 6-1. Only indicated and inferred resources were classified in this estimate; no measured resources were estimated.

Table 6-1 Copperstone Mineral Resource Estimate, MRDI (1999)

Zone	Tons	Au Grade (oz/ton)	Au Ounces
Indicated			
C	478,400	0.194	92,700
C	413,800	0.466	193,000
Total	892,200	0.320	285,700
Inferred			
C	696,700	0.323	225,000
D	497,000	0.398	198,000
Total	1,193,700	0.354	423,000

In April 2005, American Bonanza commissioned AMEC to update the mineral resource estimate with current drill data, prepare conceptual mining and processing plans, and develop preliminary economic analyses. After the MRDI report was completed in February 1999, subsequent drilling from 2003 and 2005 added 78 underground and 262 surface drillholes to the Copperstone Project database.

After verifying the drillhole database supplied by American Bonanza, AMEC capped high-grade assays prior to compositing to, "...limit undue influence of high-grade assays on the resource...". Assay histograms and probability plots were reviewed to determine the outlier population, which was determined to be 4.0 oz/ton Au.

Silver and copper grades were not capped prior to compositing. Bench composites of 6 ft. were generated for the purposes of grade interpolation. Average density was determined for 262 samples from five American Bonanza diamond drillholes. The 262 samples represented eleven different rock types. Nine other rock types plus alluvium were not tested, but the nine untested rock units represented only 2.25% of the material. The eleven rock types were grouped into similar lithologies, and a weighted average was calculated to assign densities to the various lithology groups.

Modeling was done using MineSight® software. Cell dimensions were 18 ft. (5.5 m) down-dip, 12 ft. (3.7 m) along-strike, and 6 ft. (1.8 m) high. Gold, silver and copper grades were estimated with ordinary kriging, but only gold grades were reported. Grade was only estimated in blocks which fell within a 0.03 oz/ton Au shell which was generated from polygons on successive 70-ft. (21.3 m) cross sections.

Resources were calculated at two different cutoff grades, 0.05 oz/ton Au and 0.15 oz/ton Au (Tables 6-2 and 6-3). Believing that expansion of the existing open pit as the most likely scenario for resumption of mining at Copperstone, AMEC emphasized the results at 0.05 oz/ton cutoff because it, "...represents mineralization that may have reasonable prospects for economic extraction at higher gold prices, economies of scale and the potential for extraction of mineralization from expansion of the existing open pit." In the same report, AMEC also offered an estimate at a cutoff grade of 0.15 oz/ton from a preliminary estimate of underground operating costs and a \$425/ounce gold price.

Table 6-2 Copperstone Gold Resource at 0.05 oz/ton Au Cutoff, Amec (2006)

Zone	Classification	Tons	Au Grade (oz/ton)	Cont. Ounces
A, B, C and D	Measured	17,200	0.426	7,333
A, B, C and D	Indicated	2,654,900	0.162	429,563
A, B, C and D	Measured + Indicated	2,672,100	0.164	436,896
A, B, C and D	Inferred	587,300	0.152	89,445

Note: Composites were capped at 4.0 oz/ton prior to grade modeling
Adapted from Table 1-1 AMEC, 2006.

Table 6-3 Copperstone Gold Resource at 0.15 oz/ton Au Cutoff, Amec (2006)

Zone	Classification	Tons	Au Grade (oz/ton)	Cont. Ounces
A, B, C and D	Measured	11,500	0.610	7,005
A, B, C and D	Indicated	1,058,000	0.310	327,924
A, B, C and D	Measured + Indicated	1,070,000	0.313	334,929
A, B, C and D	Inferred	209,000	0.317	66,266

Note: Composites were capped at 4.0 oz/ton prior to grade modeling
Adapted from Table 17-8 AMEC, 2006.

Subsequent to the reporting of the two initial grade cutoffs (0.05 oz/ton and 0.15 oz/ton), a preliminary mineable resource was also reported at a 0.20 oz/ton Au cutoff using the following preliminary estimates of mining costs applied to the resource:

Mining costs	\$40.52/t
Processing costs	\$29.56/t
General & administrative costs	\$12.95/t
Recovery	90%
Basis metal price	\$450/oz Au

Dilution and mining extraction parameters were also assumed and applied to the estimate. American Bonanza disclosed the mineral resource estimate, as shown in Table 6-4, in a press release dated February 8, 2006.

Table 6-4 Copperstone Gold Resource at 0.20 oz/ton Au Cutoff, Amec (2006)

Zone	Classification	Tons	Au Grade (oz/ton)	Cont. Ounces
A, B, C and D	Measured	10,300	0.394	4,028
A, B, C and D	Indicated	362,500	0.366	132,807
A, B, C and D	Measured + Indicated	372,800	0.367	136,835
A, B, C and D	Inferred	3,700	0.299	1,113

Note: Composites were capped at 4.0 oz/ton prior to grade modeling
Adapted from Table 1-2 AMEC, 2006.

In February 2010, Telesto estimated a total base case mineral resource by tabulating all mineralization within the 0.03 oz Au/t grade shell and above a cutoff grade of 0.15 oz Au/t, representing mineralization with reasonable prospects for economic extraction at base case gold prices. The resource was modeled using mean density values assigned by rock type. Density values ranged from 1.72 tons/yd³ for alluvial overburden to 3.08 tons/yd³ for ironstone and ironstone breccia. Gold values were carried in troy ounces (“oz”) per short ton.

The raw data used during Telesto’s modeling effort was provided by American Bonanza, largely consisting of RC and core drilling data provided in the form of a digital database. All subsequent modeling was performed using MicroMODEL mining software. Telesto was also provided with TINs which outline the limits of the previously listed geologic units as interpreted by American Bonanza staff. The TINs were used to assign rock types to the drillhole composites that were used for the resource estimates and to restrict the block model. The number of drillholes used in the model totals 986 holes with 99,919 sampled intervals, and the average sampling interval is approximately 5 feet or 1.52 meters.

The geologic model was constructed using the three-dimensional TINs supplied by American Bonanza. Block centroids within the TINs were assigned a lithology code based on the TIN in which it resides. Likewise, the drillhole composites were assigned lithology codes based on their location within a particular TIN. The model used only the revised mineralized (Copperstone Fault) TIN, and all other rock codes were unassigned.

An extensive review was undertaken to determine a standard method of classifying measured and indicated resources. The first level of separation was a variogram range of approximately 115 feet (19.8 meters) by 115 feet (19.8 meters) by 30 feet (9.1 meters) vertical. This range was interpreted from variography and was used for determining Measured and Indicated resources. A secondary containment on the Measured resource is that the resource is located inside the mineralized Copperstone fault zone.

Secondarily, range of influence polygons were constructed using the constraint of the range of the Project’s variograms. Those polygons measured 215 feet (35.1 meters) by 215 feet (35.1 meters) by 30 feet (9.1 meters) vertical. A secondary containment on the Indicated resource is that the resource is located inside the mineralized Copperstone fault zone.

Inferred resources are outside the mineralized (Copperstone fault) TIN. The same modeling parameters were applied to the areas of unassigned blocks but because there was no geologic definition, the resulting mineralization is assigned to the inferred category.

Telesto used gold cutoff grades established by AMEC (2006) of 0.200 oz/ton (6.86 g/tonne) Au, 0.150 oz/ton (5.14 g/tonne) Au, and 0.050 oz/ton (1.71 g/tonne) Au to report resource quantities for the Copperstone Project. Table 6-5 presents the results of Telesto’s mineral resource estimate at a cutoff grade of 0.0150 oz/ton Au, which represents the base case scenario.

Table 6-5 Gold Resources at Copperstone at 0.150 oz/ton Au Cutoff (Telesto, 2010)

IMPERIAL	Cutoff Grade (Au oz/ton)	Tonnage (tons)	Au (oz)	Avg Grade (oz/ton)
Measured	0.150	1,029,000	311,083	0.302
Indicated	0.150	9,000	2,101	0.230
Measured + Indicated	0.150	1,038,000	313,183	0.302
Inferred				
South Pit Extension	0.150	407,000	144,892	0.356
METRIC	Cutoff Grade (Au g/tonne)	Tonnage (tonnes)	Au (g)	Avg Grade (g/tonne)
Measured	5.14	933,000	9,675,755	10.37
Indicated	5.14	8,000	65,338	7.88
Measured + Indicated	5.14	941,000	9,741,093	10.35
Inferred				
South Pit Extension	5.14	369,000	4,506,648	12.21
Note: Rounding of tons as required by Form 43-101F1 reporting guidelines (Item 19 results in apparent differences between tons, grade and contained ounces of gold in the mineral resource.)				

7. GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERALIZATION

Much of the following text is modified and/or directly excerpted from PhD dissertations prepared by Knapp (1989) and Salem (1993). The QP has reviewed this information and available supporting data and documentation in detail, and finds the discussions and interpretations presented here to be reasonable and suitable for use in this report.

7.1 Regional Geology

As well described by Knapp (1989), the region of west-central Arizona records a complex history of deformation, metamorphism, and magmatism along the southwestern edge of the North American craton. This area was the site of extensive basement-involved folding and thrusting in the Maria fold and thrust belt during Late Cretaceous time. Episodes of southeast and south-directed folding and thrusting were followed by phases of north-vergent deformation, and syntectonic metamorphism was characterized by greenschist to lower amphibolite facies. Lower crustal levels experienced partial melting due to this crustal thickening, and large volumes of granitic plutons were intruded at higher crustal levels. Subsequently, large portions of middle crustal rocks were exposed in middle Tertiary time as the result of major crustal extension in the regional Whipple-Buckskin-Rawhide detachment terrain. This deformation was typically accompanied by greenschist facies, retrograde metamorphism, basin formation, and both basaltic and granitic magmatism.

The Copperstone Project is situated at the northern tip of the Moon Mountains in west-central Arizona (Figure 7-1), regionally within the Basin and Range geo-physiographic province, and within the westernmost extent of the Whipple-Buckskin-Rawhide detachment system. The Whipple-Buckskin-Rawhide detachment system is centrally located within the Maria fold and thrust belt (Reynolds et al., 1986), which extends from southeastern California to central Arizona. Mid-Tertiary low-angle normal faults (detachment faults) are recognized as significant regional structures in this portion of the Basin and Range, where major detachment faults are associated with mylonitization of lower-plate rocks and brittle faulting and rotation of upper-plate rocks. In general, mylonitic foliations are low-dipping and contain well-developed northeast-plunging mineral lineations. Upper plate rocks as young as mid-Tertiary dip moderately to the southwest and are cut by northeast-dipping normal faults.




Figure 7-1 Regional Geologic Setting of the Copperstone Project (Pawlowski, 2005)

Both Mesozoic thrust faulting and Tertiary detachment faulting are documented in the Moon Mountains and demonstrate that thrust faulting and associated amphibolite facies metamorphism occurred in Late Cretaceous time, based on U-Pb zircon geochronology. The Moon Mountain detachment fault is exposed in the northern Moon Mountains roughly 1.5 miles south of the Copperstone Project area. The Moon Mountain and correlative Copper Peak detachment faults in the northern Moon Mountains constitute the western limit of the Whipple-Buckskin-Rawhide detachment system at this latitude. Ductile Tertiary fabrics below the Moon Mountain and Copper Peak detachment structures indicate that footwall rocks were exhumed from considerable depths. Granitic rocks from the footwall of the Copper Peak detachment constrain the termination of ductile fabric development to -21 Ma (Knapp, 1989).

The major structure in the southern Moon Mountains is the Mesozoic Valenzuela thrust system, which dips moderately southeast. Movement on the thrust was multi-staged, with apparent evidence of south and north directed phases of movement (Knapp, 1989). Late Cretaceous thrusting of the Valenzuela resulted in Jurassic quartz syenites and Precambrian gneisses/schists overlying deformed Paleozoic sediments metamorphosed to the lower amphibolite facies. Basement-involved thrusting, as evidenced by the Valenzuela system, and crustal thickening in the Maria fold and thrust belt was broadly coeval with arc magmatism associated with subduction of the Farallon Plate in Late Cretaceous time. Thrusting may have been active as early as 80-90 Ma (Reynolds et al., 1986), but appears to have ended by 70 Ma. Arc magmatism made an eastern sweep through the southwestern Cordillera of North America during Cretaceous time, and was probably at the longitude of present-day western Arizona during the Late Cretaceous (Coney and Reynolds, 1977). On a local scale, thrusting does not appear to have been controlled by thermal anomalies due to arc magmatism. Much of the magmatic activity in the Maria fold and thrust belt appears to be syn- to post-kinematic and may represent a response to crustal thickening.

Igneous rocks of the Moon Mountains are representative of Jurassic, Late Cretaceous, and mid-Tertiary magmatism, and help to constrain the timing and significance of events in the crustal evolution of the region. Significantly, the locus of deformation does not appear to be strongly controlled by the presence of magmatism in the Maria fold and thrust belt. Per Knapp (1989), magmatism is better interpreted as a post-tectonic response to crustal thickening. The presence of magmatic activity during the early stages of crustal extension implies that heat was being introduced to the crust during this time and may have been integrally related to the cause of extension.

7.2 Local and Property Geology

In the vicinity of the Copperstone Project, the Moon Mountain detachment fault carries sedimentary and volcanic rocks of Paleozoic, Mesozoic, and Tertiary age over a ductilely deformed footwall consisting primarily of granitic intrusive rocks. The top of the granitic lower plate rocks is marked by the brecciated Copper Peak granite, which is exposed over an area of roughly 2 km² surrounding and to the south of Copper Peak, in the northeastern part of the Moon Mountains. The northern margin of this unit is truncated by the Moon Mountain detachment fault. A weakly to strongly developed tectonic fabric is present over much of the exposed extent of the granite and is characterized by flattened and stretched quartz grains and deformed potassium feldspar (Knapp, 1989).

The age of the Copper Peak granite is inferred by Knapp to be younger than Jurassic, based on intrusive relations with the Jurassic quartz porphyry, and Tertiary, based on compositional and textural similarity to other biotite-bearing granites in the Moon Mountain area. A small stock of porphyritic biotite granite intrudes the Copper Peak granite and is differentiated from it by higher biotite content and consequent dark color. This granite carries a locally developed foliation consisting of flattened quartz grains, but the rock is generally not foliated, and is interpreted by Knapp to be late- to post- tectonic with respect to the development of mylonites in the footwall of the Moon Mountain detachment fault.

The hanging wall rocks are made up of metamorphosed Jurassic quartz latite porphyry (138 to 205 m.y., Spencer et al., 1988) as fault bounded slivers. The quartz latite porphyry is intruded by the Tertiary granitic lower plate rocks (Knapp, 1989). Limited outcrops of Jurassic quartz latite porphyry along the hanging wall

of the Moon Mountain detachment fault were recorded by Knapp (1989), who infers that this unit overlies the metasediments. The sedimentary rocks and sediments that form the Moon Mountains range in age from Precambrian to Recent and are represented by carbonate, quartzite, conglomerate, sandstone, and unconsolidated gravel that occurs in the Copper Peak area as small outcrops in the hanging wall of the Moon Mountain detachment fault, where they are variably faulted, tilted, and brecciated (Knapp, 1989).

7.2.1 Lithology

The primary lithologic units within the Copperstone Project area are Precambrian to Tertiary amphibolite metasediments, volcanics, and granitic intrusive rocks, with lesser amounts of sedimentary and volcanic supracrustal lithologies. Brecciated granite along the plane of the low-angle detachment separates the lower plate mid-Tertiary granitic rocks from upper plate rocks, which consists (from bottom to top) of Triassic phyllites and metasediments, Jurassic quartz latite porphyry, and Miocene sediments and olivine basalt. The basal unit encountered is described as a chlorite phyllite to calcareous chlorite phyllite, with a maximum known thickness of up to 75 to 90 m (Salem, 1993). At the Copperstone mine, only upper plate rocks are exposed (Figure 7-2).




Figure 7-2 Geologic Map of the Copperstone Project (Salem, 1983)

The following detailed lithologic descriptions are modified from Salem (1993):

Triassic Phyllite

This rock is correlated with the lower unit of the Triassic Buckskin Formation of the Rawhide-Buckskin Mountains (Reynolds and Spencer, 1989). This unit is exposed only at the bottoms of drillholes on the northwest side of the pit underneath the Triassic chlorite schist, quartzite, and marble. Its thickness from drillhole data is 250 - 295 ft., but its lower contacts are unknown. The phyllite is fine-grained quartz and chlorite with elongate oriented crystals or narrow aggregated lenses. Feldspar also occurs as porphyroblasts, but mainly of plagioclase and less orthoclase, with variations in size from 0.008 to 0.047 in. Chlorite is well developed in this rock as parallel flakes and sometimes as pods that segregate to green (chlorite) and white (quartz and feldspar) bands. Two types of chlorite occur in this unit, clinocllore and pennine. The characteristic textural features in this unit are the ellipsoidal or lensoid shape of quartz and feldspar in a fine-grained matrix that is brecciated and sheared to an augen texture. Pseudomorphs of hematite after pyrite are well identified in this rock as disseminated fine cubic grains, ranging in size from 0.002 - 0.008 in. Phyllite rock is replaced in part by carbonates.

Triassic Metasediments

Triassic metasedimentary rocks are interlayered units of marble, quartzite, and chlorite schist that attain a maximum known thickness of up to 108 ft.

Marble consists predominantly of calcite with minor amounts of siderite-ankerite. Calcite ranges from 0.002 - 0.016 in and is arranged in an equigranular granoblastic mosaic. Grains have irregular margins and tend to form interlocking to complexly sutured aggregates. Calcite shows twin lamellae and rhombohedral cleavage in thin sections. The grain size varies from very fine-grained to coarse-grained with anhedral to subhedral form and high birefringence. Quartz occurs in the matrix as very fine to fine grains and as quartz veinlets. Along the contact between quartz veinlets and calcite is recrystallized coarse-grained calcite. Quartz-specularite veins are also well represented in this rock as replacements; specularite is crystalline to lath-like, mostly altered to earthy hematite. Brecciated carbonate rocks display open-space fillings by quartz-specularite, along with hematite and limonite.

Quartzite is predominantly composed of quartz with minor minerals such as biotite and chlorite. Quartz grains range in size from 0.23 to 0.024 in, are equigranular, granoblastic, and only slightly interlocking with equant grains. Some grains are coarser, anhedral, or as clusters that are scattered through a fine-grained matrix. Quartzose schists interbedded in the chlorite schist have a distinct foliation and parallelism marked by thin parallel films of sericite, crystalline muscovite, biotite, or chlorite. Chlorite forms individual flakes, and also appears in platy, radial aggregates. Undulatory extinction that indicates cataclastic effects is also found. This rock is locally replaced by quartz-specularite mineralization. The specularite is crystalline, with lath-like form, and ranges in size from 0.001 to 0.005. Reynolds and Spencer (1989) break out a Quartzite Member of the Buckskin Formation that appears to correlate well with this unit at Copperstone.

The chlorite schist is composed of chlorite as the principal micaceous mineral with quartz. Muscovite and biotite are also present up to a maximum of 2%. The rock is made up of segregated bands formed by chlorite,

magnetite, and quartz. Chlorite is green, slightly pleochroic from yellowish green to green, as flakes, and with a greenish brown birefringence. The species clinocllore, a magnesium-rich chlorite, is identified by X-ray diffraction along with anomalous birefringence and positive sign of elongation. Quartz is anhedral, granular, ranges in size from 0.002 to 0.008 in, and has wavy extinction. Zircon and magnetite occur as accessory minerals. Zircon is characterized by its high relief, sub rounded form, and high birefringence: it ranges in size from .007 to 0.05 in and is altered along grain borders to hematite. Biotite is partially to completely altered to chlorite. Small-scale folding of the original schistosity in which the micaceous minerals were aligned has produced a crenulation cleavage formed by chlorite-muscovite-biotite minerals. Carbonate-veinlets and quartz specularite occur as replacement and open-space fillings, and earthy hematite has replaced specularite. This chlorite schist is correlated with the lower member chlorite schist of the Triassic Buckskin Formation in the Planet-Swansea area (Reynolds and Spencer, 1989).

Jurassic Quartz Latite Porphyry

Quartz latite occurs as a series of weakly metamorphosed flows that vary structurally from massive to laminated. This unit represents the main host rock to the gold of the Copperstone deposit especially where it is brecciated along fault planes and shear zones. Microscopically, the quartz latites are holocrystalline rocks with porphyritic or seriate textures. Quartz, K-feldspar, plagioclase, biotite, and magnetite are the phenocryst phases, commonly in glomero-porphyritic texture. Quartz varies in size from 0.04 – 0.40 in, is granular, and shows wavy extinction. Embayed quartz is also found, which indicates a magmatic origin. Very fine-grained quartz, sericite, and hematite partly to completely replace the quartz. Orthoclase and microcline phenocrysts range in size from 0.02 – 0.31 in.

Miocene Sediments

Spencer et al. (1988) indicates the presence of monolithologic sedimentary breccias derived from the Jurassic quartz latite porphyry adjacent to Copper Peak: such breccias also occur at Copperstone. These terrigenous rocks were associated with subaerial basin deposition during the development of the regional detachment system of west-central Arizona and southeastern California. Tertiary age is inferred from lithology and clast composition and comparison with sediments of known Tertiary age (Spencer et al., 1988; Knapp, 1989). The breccias are composed of angular to subangular rock fragments varying in size from millimeter up to cobble sizes, set in a normally subordinate matrix made up of smaller rock pieces, mineral fragments, and powder. Strong hematitization that occurs as earthy hematite along fractures and as open-space fillings as well as hematite-carbonate mineralization is well displayed. The breccia represents one of the main host rocks to gold deposition at Copperstone as open space fillings along the hanging wall of the Copperstone listric fault.

Olivine Basalt

Tertiary basalt is dark reddish-brown to black in color. Its thickness reported from the drillholes is up to 490 ft., and it is mainly restricted to the southeastern part of the mine, extending to the northeastern part of the Copper Peak area in the Moon Mountains. Knapp (1989) could not find a relationship between the basalt and the surrounding bedrock, but according to Cyprus' data from drilling, basalt is in contact with Jurassic quartz latite porphyry and its derived breccias. The proposed age by Knapp for the basalt is late Oligocene to mid Miocene. These lavas have a range in composition from 43.2 to 53.4% SiO₂, and they consequently exhibit variable mineralogical characteristics although most specimens are texturally remarkably uniform. The

highest percent of silica is in basalts with quartz cavity fillings. The rocks are hypo- to holocrystalline fine-grained rocks with intersertal or intergranular textures. The dominant phenocryst phases are plagioclase, olivine, clinopyroxene, hornblende, and magnetite. Euhedral to subhedral zoned plagioclase laths form an interlocking framework packed with granular olivine, augite, and magnetite. The plagioclase phenocrysts range in size from 0.012 – 0.024 in and show lamellar twinning. Augite commonly occurs as discrete subhedral short prisms or granules that range from 0.001 – 0.012 in. with slight pleochroism from colorless to greenish. The basalts in the mine occur with varying degrees of oxidation and alteration which give a reddish color to the rocks in hand specimen.

7.2.2 Mineralization

Gold mineralization at Copperstone occurs in the hanging wall of the Moon Mountain detachment fault, which has not been penetrated in drilling to date. Gold mineralization is largely restricted to the immediate vicinity of the Copperstone fault (also referred to as the Copperstone shear or the Copperstone structure), a moderately northeast-dipping, semi-planar zone of shear which is interpreted as a listric splay of the Moon Mountain detachment, and which has hosted the bulk of the gold historically produced from the Copperstone mine. The Copperstone fault strikes about N30° to 60°W and dips from 20° to 50° to the northeast. The associated brecciated fault zone ranges from 45 ft. to 180 ft. in width with characteristic fault gouge, multi-phase breccia textures, shear fabric, and intense fracture sets across this width (MDA, 2000).

The Copperstone fault appears to be a brittle deformation feature situated within the extremely deformed upper plate volcanic sequence. The fault presents strong evidence of shearing, with schistose textures and conjugate sets of planar and curved faults indicated by fault gouge. Brecciation is observable in the open pit, as are steeply northeast dipping, northwest-striking fractures and narrow shear zones. Mineralization is known to occur in association with both the primary Copperstone listric fault as well as high-angle, secondary fault structures. All mineralization appears to be cut off at the southeastern edge of the pit by a northeast-striking fault that dips to the southeast. Most of the fractures in the volcanic sequence are highly irregular and discontinuous, but the Copperstone structure has remained a dependable target for exploration and mining.

Sabre's current conceptual geologic model interprets the Copperstone structure as part of a detachment fault system related to regional mid-Miocene extension. More recently, Strickland et al. (2017) have recognized late Laramide detachment related to magmatism and the denudation of a Cretaceous subduction complex found across southern Arizona and California. Regardless of the age of the deformation, detachment faulting with an upper-plate-to-the-east sense of motion is presently considered the primary control/conduit for mineralization. The mineralized Copperstone fault is continuously present across the pit area from the A, B and C zones and may extend even farther south across the sparsely drilled South zone. It appears to break down or splay upon entering the D zone and there are indications of some up-dip flattening in the northern C zone. The distribution of mineralization within the Project area, as represented by the A, B, C, and D zones, is shown in plan view on Figure 7-3.




Figure 7-3 Mineralized Zones within the Copperstone Project Area

7.2.3 A, B, and C Zones

Mineralization in the A, B, and C zones occurs along the primary Copperstone fault as well secondary structures within the zone of shear. Underground mapping has shown a number of steeper northwest-trending faults and fractures that localize alteration and mineralization in and around quartz-Fe oxide +/-Cu oxide veins. Observations show that where such high-angle structures intersect the low-angle (Copperstone fault) structures, a favorable site is prepared. Where the Copperstone listric fault is disrupted, a dilatant zone may occur, resulting in higher grade and thickness of the gold mineralization.

Similarly, there are northeast-trending faults which appear to offset and localize mineralization, resulting in mineralized shoots at intersections with the Copperstone fault. These northeast-trending faults occur as thin to wide crushed zones that may capture a drill string resulting in exaggerated mineralization widths. This can especially be the case where the drill pattern is based on a southwest drillhole orientation. Long intervals of elevated gold values in quartz latite, without continuity to nearby holes, suggest such high-angle controls.

D-Zone

The D zone contains large imbricate slices of interbedded limestone and sandstone, of which the limestones have been largely replaced by specularite, earthy hematite and silica. In many drillholes, silica-magnetite-specularite-chlorite replacement bodies occur in two limestone layers of variable thickness, but generally no more than 5-10 ft. In some locations iron oxides form a matrix in silicified limestone but nearby there may be evidence for direct replacement of limestone by iron oxides. It is possible that some of the silicified limestone is actually a pure white quartzite that has been brecciated. This would mean that silicification does not precede iron-oxide introduction.

Elevated gold grades are associated with the limestone replacement bodies over areas of significant size, likely due to the extreme distortion and reactivity of the limestone. The slices of this sedimentary package have dimensions of up to tens to hundreds of feet in strike length and tens of feet of thickness. The imbricate slices are conformable to the Copperstone shear, having been caught up in the shearing with local rotation, tension gashes and associated deformation. This sedimentary package is located between hanging wall volcanic rocks and footwall phyllite. These sedimentary rocks are absent to the southeast in the Copperstone C, B and A zones.

The upper and lower contacts of the limestone replacement bodies are almost always tectonic. The upper contact with the volcanic rocks is also mostly tectonic but, in some locations, there is no evidence of shearing. The age of the limestone is also in doubt. It has been assumed as Triassic previously, but some are now suggesting that it is Permian in age and related to the Kaibab Limestone on the Colorado Plateau to the north. The interbedded nature of limestone with quartzite supports this idea, but the relationship to the adjacent phyllites is problematic.

Immediately north of the D zone is a northeast-trending fault zone which apparently terminates the Copperstone fault and associated secondary structures. Whether the Copperstone fault is offset or actually terminated by this fault is presently unknown, but it appears that the sedimentary sequence thickens considerably and may not be penetrated by the Copperstone fault.

Chlorite Alteration

A 2004 petrographic report by Larson (2004) shows a strong association of gold with chlorite. In the D zone, there are significant streaks and blebs of dark green chlorite that blend in with the dark-colored iron oxide masses. It is reported that these chlorites are iron-rich. The current explanation is that the chlorite forms later in a cross-cutting phase that includes gold, and its composition is influenced by the amount of iron in the pre-existing rock. Larson (2004) further reports that chlorite occurs in other settings at Copperstone, such as in the chlorite schist and phyllite, where it is not associated with gold. Other forms of chlorite have also been noted as low-grade alteration on fractures in the volcanics and as thin selvages along some quartz veins in the volcanics that are not associated with significant gold mineralization.

Magnetite Mineralization

Magnetite shows some correlation with gold grades in the D zone. As a visual guide to ore, the presence of magnetite has worked in logging, but there are significant deviations. Hole KER-17U-77 intersected a magnetite replacement body that had depleted gold and copper values, well below the average waste rocks at Copperstone. A more detailed review showed that two samples, while still silicified contained a significant degree of remnant calcium carbonate. It also contained more manganese oxides than normal and even had (relatively) anomalous values of some epithermal elements such as thallium. Preliminary conclusions are that, while magnetite may be favorable for the deposition of gold, it is not a sufficient condition. It is assumed to be early in the sequence of events but is not necessarily directly related to gold.

Copper Oxide Mineralization

Copper mineralization has been intersected within both the hanging wall and footwall zones of Copperstone. The majority of copper mineralization intersected by drilling is of oxide state and is understood to be primary. Oxide copper occurrence in drilling intercepts ranges from moderately coarse vein fill within structural zones to residual staining along thin margins. Predominate copper mineral occurrence is identified as malachite and chrysocolla. Intercepts containing native copper do occur at Copperstone as infill within veins and within dilational shears. Sulfide copper mineralization such as bornite and chalcopyrite are found at Copperstone but in lower occurrence relative to both oxide and native speciation. Recent metallurgical testing of historic samples from B zone materials did identify a small area containing anomalous concentration of sulfidic copper. Copper assay data was not typically collected during historic drilling and sampling programs. Kerr incorporated copper assays into the 2017 exploration program and plans to continue collecting copper assay data during future drilling and sampling.

A direct association of gold with copper is not established even though both occur in economic concentrations. Virtually every dataset shows no correlation between the two metals. While they may occur within the same vicinity of a drillhole, they seldom occur in significant grades in a single body.

The geochemistry of the Copperstone deposit may be amenable to a factor analysis treatment to discover elemental associations that are not visible to the casual eye. As more multi-element data is obtained, this should be carried out on a domanian basis by the recognized zones.

8. DEPOSIT TYPES

The Copperstone deposit is presently best described as a mid-Tertiary, detachment-fault-related gold deposit. Detachment faults are low-angle (up to 30°) normal faults of regional extent that have accommodated significant regional extension by upward movement of the footwall (lower-plate) producing horizontal displacements on the order of tens of kilometers. Common features of these faults are supracrustal rocks in the upper-plate on top of lower-plate rocks that were once at middle and lower crustal depths, mylonitization in lower-plate rocks that are cut by the brittle detachment fault, and listric and planar normal faults bounding half-graben basins in the upper plate (Davis and Lister, 1988).

The term ‘detachment-fault-related’ intentionally implies that mineralization is strongly controlled by detachment-fault structures, but also that it is apparently related to the formation of detachment faults themselves (Roddy et al., 1988). Early chloritic alteration and associated sulfide mineralization appears to result from retrograde metamorphism as hot lower-plate rocks are brought up to shallower depths. Potassium feldspar alteration and oxide mineralization appear to be related to the upward circulation of saline brines derived from syntectonic basins along the detachment fault into more steeply dipping upper-plate normal fault (Long, 1992). This fluid movement may have been driven by heat derived either from lower-plate rocks or from syntectonic microdiorite to rhyolite intrusives (Reynolds and Lister, 1987).

Features of detachment-fault-related mineralization that distinguish it from other deposit types, as first presented by Long (1992), are listed below. Further details are available in Spencer and Welty (1986), Roddy et al. (1988), and Spencer and Reynolds (1989).

- Deposits are controlled by structures formed during detachment faulting. These include the low-angle, detachment-fault system, high-angle faults in the lower-plate just below the detachment fault, and low- to high-angle normal faults in the upper-plate.
- Deposits are often brecciated or deformed by movement along or above the detachment fault.
- Chlorite-epidote-calcite alteration occurs along and below the detachment fault. These altered zones sometimes contain base-metal sulfides and barite.
- There is massive potassium feldspar replacement of upper-plate rocks. This alteration appears to generally precede ore formation and is not always spatially associated with mineralization.
- Weak sericite-silica alteration of wall rock is sometimes present around barite-fluorite veins.
- Most mineralization consists of iron and copper oxides, principally specular to earthy hematite and chrysocolla. Common gangue minerals are chalcedonic to amethystine quartz, ferrous to manganiferous calcite, barite, fluorite and manganese oxides. Distal barite-fluorite veins consist of variable proportions of barite, fluorite, and manganese oxides. Common gangue minerals are quartz and manganiferous calcite.
- Fluid inclusions have moderate homogenization temperatures (150 to 350 °C) and salinities (10 to 23 equivalent weight percent NaCl), compatible with precipitation from connate brines. Fluid inclusions from barite-fluorite veins have lower homogenization temperatures (90 to 200 °C) and are somewhat less saline (6 to 20 equivalent weight percent NaCl), compatible with precipitation from variably cooled and diluted connate brines.

- Host rocks are enriched in Cu, Pb, Zn, Au, Ag, and Ba and are depleted in Mn, Sr, Ni, and Rb. Elements characteristic of epithermal environments, such as As, Sb, Hg, and Tl, occur in very low, background-level concentrations.

Salem (1993) suggests that the Copperstone deposit might be further classified as a new sub-set of volcanic-hosted epithermal precious-metal deposits, postulating that Copperstone was created during a late stage of detachment faulting, and that localization of gold deposition was controlled by boiling. Gold deposition was, according to Mahmoud's well-presented interpretation, related to the circulation of brine fluids driven by hot mid-Tertiary granitic lower plate rocks that may have also contributed water and or metals, causing ascending brines to move along the N- to NE- dipping Moon Mountain detachment fault at the Copper Peak area to the south. The fluids continued to ascend along the Copperstone listric fault and a series of high angle NE and NW faults that crosscut the Copperstone listric fault. This structure acted as conduit that was kept open by ongoing faulting without deposition until the fluids reached the boiling stage, perhaps as a result of decompression. The boiling fluid mixed with another less saline fluid within the ore horizon, a mixing that led to the precipitation of gold mainly along the brecciated hanging wall and footwall of the Copperstone listric fault, as open space-fillings and replacement mineralization (Salem, 1993).

At Copperstone, alteration associated with gold mineralization is mainly chloritization, silicification, and potassic alteration (Salem, 1993). Hypogene mineralization can be divided into 3 paragenetic stages: early amethyst-quartz-chlorite-specularite-hematite-AuO; late fine-grained euhedral quartz adularia-chrysocolla ± malachite ± magnetite ± chalcopyrite-pink fluorite-barite-ankerite-calcite-AuO; and barren quartz-pale green fluorite-barite-hematite. Gold occurs as free particles or as encapsulations in amethyst and late fine-grained quartz. Electron microprobe microscopy has revealed the composition of chlorites associated with the gold deposits in quartz-chlorite-specularite-Au veins as iron rich chlorite with Fe:Mg ratios of 5:1, along with the presence of Cu incorporated in its structure. Fluid inclusion studies of amethyst, late fine-grained quartz, and fluorite indicate that gold was deposited at an average temperature of 290°C from boiling fluids of apparent salinities ranging from 11.7 to 19.9 wt% NaCl equivalent, ending with 190°C and 25.5 wt% NaCl equivalent (Salem, 1993).

9. EXPLORATION

9.1 Exploration Conducted on behalf of SGLD

HRC is not aware of any exploration activity, other than drilling, that has been carried out by or on behalf of SGLD.

9.2 Exploration Conducted by Previous Operators

There were early, unsuccessful attempts to use geochemistry for exploration, including a soil gas survey. The post mineral cover, which has been transported to the Copperstone area, coupled with few mobile trace elements associated with the gold mineralization, quickly eliminated geochemical methods available in the 1980's and 1990's as viable exploration tools (Telesto, 2011). HRC has not received or vetted the results of the geochemical analysis, but accedes that Telesto's conclusions are reasonable.

Cyprus conducted the following geophysical surveys at Copperstone:

- Ground Magnetics;
- MAXMIN Electromagnetics;
- VLF Electromagnetics;
- Resistivity and Induced Polarization; and
- Gravity.

In September 1981, four ground magnetic test lines were run over gold bearing breccia units previously identified by drilling. These lines utilized 100-ft. station spacings which were shortened when anomalous responses spatially correlated with likely subcrop of gold mineralization. Test results were encouraging, and the magnetic grid was expanded to cover the full extent of the claim block at the time, which covered an area of about 3 square miles. All data were taken from lines spaced 300 ft. apart with a 100-ft. station spacing, which again was shortened when anomalous readings were recognized. The lines, oriented N50E, were run perpendicular to two base lines oriented N40W. The gravity survey was completed and read by February 1982.

Results from this survey emphasized subsurface basalt plugs and indicated potential gold breccia targets. Based on poor correlation between lines and station spacing suspected of being too broad, the entire grid was re-read by pacing in stations at 10-ft. station intervals. Additional lines were read in the central drilling area. The main grid was re-read by the end of September 1982, and the additional lines were read during March and February of 1983.

Results from the re-read ground magnetic survey identified gold breccias as magnetic lows, while quartz veining in the southeast gave strong high frequency responses. Basalt plugs and flows were detected near the reservation fence to the northwest and to the southwest. Additionally, several apparent offsets in magnetic trends were interpreted to be related to faulting.

In February-March 1982, Maxmin electromagnetics were used to identify the contrast between clay altered breccia material and surrounding rock types. Test lines were run across the central drilling area using the

magnetics grid, and readings were taken from both 400- and 800-ft. separations between transmitter and receiver. Observed responses did not correlate to known geology or gold mineralization. Erratic responses have been postulated to represent fault zones identified by the magnetic survey.

Very low frequency (“VLF”) electromagnetics were run in the central drilling area to in the hopes of identifying faults postulated to be responsible for lateral offsets in the magnetics data. Results were not definitive enough to expand the survey.

Resistivity was used in conjunction with Induced Polarization (“IP”) to look directly for mineralized breccia zone subcrops. The gradient electrode array was chosen for rapid coverage, and the transmitter electrode spacings were 6,000 ft. with the receiver potential electrode separation at 100 ft. A test survey in the central drilling area was run in December 1982, with the rest of the survey area read during February and March 1983. Gold mineralization responded as IP and resistivity highs, though IP was more useful.

Targets were identified from the electromagnetic surveys by combining low magnetics, and high IP and resistivity responses. Follow up drilling did not identify any new gold mineralized areas.

Mining Geophysical Surveys Inc. conducted a gravity survey to determine the depth to bedrock in the main drilling area to exclude regions too deep for practical mining interests, and regionally identify shallow pediment areas for further exploration. Four east-west traverses were made from the reservation fence on the west to the outcropping range to the east. North-south traverses consisted of a long line along the reservation fence and several short lines near the Copperstone deposit. Field work was completed in December 1982.

The regional survey identified two deep basins, one between Mesquite Mountain and the Plomosa Mountains on the east with a thickness of about 7,000 ft., and another between Plomosa Mountains on the east and the Dome Mountains on the west with a thickness of about 5,400 ft. Overburden thickness between the drilling area and Mesquite Mountain to the north was determined to be between 1,300 and 2,600 ft.

Several results emerged within the central drilling area including a basement fault scarp positioned just east of the southeast basalt plug, overburden depths were found to increase north of the drilling, and thin cover was identified between the drilling area and the Dome Mountains to the southwest (Sandburg, 1984).

HRC is aware of a series of IP and resistivity interpretations dated March 1987, from Mining Geophysical Services Inc., but is not aware of any additional supporting documentation on which to base further discussion.

In 1989, at least one northwest oriented seismic line was run in the main drilling area by Cooksley Geophysics, Inc (Cooksley 1989). The preliminary report does not go into detail on station spacing or data collection, however, the proposal outlines the spacing of stations at 33 ft., using dynamite as the source with shots spaced at 66 ft. Telesto (2011) noted that results from seismic testing were inconclusive. HRC’s review of preliminary interpretations suggests structures with orientations similar to those observed at Copperstone were picked up by the seismic survey.

In October 1990, Cyprus conducted another IP and resistivity survey using Mining Geophysical Services Inc. Ten lines were surveyed using a dipole-dipole electrode configuration with a dipole size of 200 ft. Eight lines were surveyed with a dipole size of 400 ft. The base line was oriented S60E from the Reservation fence. The geophysical lines were run parallel to the reservation boundary (N18E) at 400-ft. intervals. The IP survey detected anomalous responses, “associated with high resistivity trends believed to reflect sulfide mineralization at depth in a bedrock assemblage” in the northwest half of the property. Resistivity identified apparent effects of a fault contact with granitoid rocks in the north and metasediments to the south. Additionally, the resistivity survey identified high resistivity dike trends south of the fault in the metasediments that may reflect varying degrees of alteration or faulting.

HRC is not aware of any exploration activity, other than drilling, conducted from 1991 to 2000. In July 2000, surface structural mapping was conducted by Asia personnel within the Copperstone pit. The purpose of the mapping was to ascertain the thickness and configuration of the Copperstone fault, determine RQD characteristics in the footwall, and identify potential weak zones in the open pit high wall. The mapping allowed evaluation of alternative sites for constructing the portal for the underground development work described below (MDA, 2000).

In July 2000, channel sampling in the lower-level pit walls was initiated by Asia to determine the grade and thickness of the exposed portion of the C zone mineralization, and other structures thought to contain gold mineralization.

American Bonanza started driving an underground exploration decline into the C95-10 area and proximal to the high-grade intercepts of the D zone at the time of MDA technical report (MDA, 2000). Subsequent mapping and underground sampling were completed.

AMEC reviewed surface and underground mapping by American Bonanza and found them to be conducted in an industry standard manner (AMEC, 2006). HRC did not review the surface and underground sampling work conducted by American Bonanza, and no results were included in the resource model.

In 2004, CloudStreet acquired an ultralight-borne aeromagnetic survey, on behalf of Pearson, deRidder and Johnson, Inc (“PRJ”). The survey area, approximately 2 mi east-west by 3.5 mi north-south, is over and immediately surrounding the Copperstone Mine. East-west flight lines were at 50 ft. spacings, north-south tie lines were at 100 ft. spacings, and elevation did not exceed 150 ft. above the surface resulting in approximately 1,254-line miles of coverage. PRJ provided Total Magnetic Intensity (“TMI”) and Reduced to Pole TMI interpretations of the data (PRJ, 2004). A report discussing the results of the survey are not currently in HRCs possession.

In 2007, Zonge Geosciences, Inc. (“Zonge”) performed a GPS-based ground magnetic survey. Ground magnetics data were acquired on 38 lines oriented north-south, and 9 tie lines oriented east-west for a total distance of 40-line miles of data acquisition (Zonge, 2007). The survey was at a higher resolution than the earlier Cyprus/Amoco survey, in the attempt to detect magnetite associated with gold mineralization. The survey failed to define known areas of magnetite, but did find some smaller magnetic anomalies missed in the earlier surveys (Telesto, 2011).

Zonge staff have interpreted that the horizontal gradient of magnetic potential survey shows a direct correlation of a slow change in magnetic field and known gold mineralization. These anomalies are apparent in the Copperstone pit, and the southwest target. Subsequent drilling of the southwest target confirmed the presence of gold mineralization. Similar anomalies are present on the northeast part of the property, including the State Claim areas. These targets have not been tested by drilling, and may represent exploration potential.

In 2007, Zonge re-interpreted the Cyprus/Amoco IP data. The strong IP anomaly in the mine area appears associated with the Copperstone fault. A few drillholes have been drilled, along strike, with mixed results suggesting that some exploration potential may exist along strike of the fault to the south.

10. DRILLING

10.1 Historic Drilling Exploration

Drilling exploration has been carried out at Copperstone in various campaigns, but fairly consistently on an annual basis since 1975. Drilling carried out by previous operators is summarized in Table 10-1. No documentation or other information is available for drilling completed by Newmont and Southwest Silver; the drillholes from these campaigns are not included in the Project database nor are they discussed further here.

Table 10-1 Drilling Carried out by Previous Operators

Company	Year(s)	No. of Holes Drilled	Drilled Footage	Drilling Method
Newmont	1975	1	unknown	unknown
Southwest Silver	1980	6	unknown	RC
Cyprus	1980-1986	578	246,676	mostly RC
Sante Fe	1993	17	12,500	mostly RC
Royal Oak	1995-1997	34	28,414	mostly RC
Asia Minerals	1998-2000	37	20,482	Mostly DDH
Bonanza	2003-2005	262	171,125	Mostly DDH
Bonanza	2006-2008	59	57,641	DDH
Bonanza	2012-2013	162	14,247	-
Arizona Gold Corp. (Kerr)	2015-2021	252	73,080	DDH and RC

Historic drilling carried out by previous operators of the Copperstone Project accounts for all of the drillholes included in the Project database. The earliest drilling for which a reasonable amount of associated information is available was completed in 1984 by Cyprus, with various subsequent drilling campaigns completed prior to the merger between Arizona Gold Corp. and Golden Predator resulting in the formation of Sabre Gold. The historic drilling database contains 475 core holes totaling 251,191.40 feet, 746 RC holes totaling 342,679.8 feet, 18 drillholes with RC pre-collars continued with core drilling (“RC/Core”) totaling 16,045.0 feet, and 162 drillholes totaling 14,247.0 ft. drilled for production using unknown methods. Most historic drilling was oriented either vertically or angled to the southwest in an effort to perpendicularly intercept the mineralization. Underground drilling by American Bonanza from 2003-2005 is oriented down dip of the mineralization. Limited details are presently available regarding drilling contractors and procedures specific to each campaign. Table 10-2 summarizes historic drilling at the Copperstone Project by operator and by year.

Table 10-2 Summary of Drilling Conducted by Previous Operators by Type and by Year.

Operator	Year	Type	Prefix	Count	Total (ft.)
Cyprus	1984	Core	CSD-	13	3,406.00
		Core		55	17,209.40
	1985	RC	CS-, CR-, CSR-	504	222,425.00
		RC/Core	CSD-11	1	546
	1986	Core	CSD-	2	1,189.00
1988	Core	CSD-	3	1,900.30	
Santa Fe Gold	1993	RC	DCU-	17	12,500.00
Royal Oak	1995	Core	C95-	2	1,244.50
		RC		11	8,757.00
	1996	Core		4	4,269.00
		RC	C96-	1	958
		RC/Core		1	1,227.00
	1997	Core		11	8,636.00
		RC	C97-	2	1,820.00
RC/Core			2	1,502.00	
Asia Minerals	1998	Core	A98-	15	10,979.20
		Core		5	3,209.50
	2000	RC	A00-	6	5,400.00
2001	Core	CDH-	11	893	
American Bonanza	2003	Core	CRD-03-, CUDH-03-	26	9,808.30
		RC	CRD-03-	2	1,195.00
	2004	Core	CUDH-04-, DU4-, F4-, H4-	113	80,622.10
		RC/Core	CRD-04-	13	11,841.00
	2005	Core	DU5-, H4-, H5-	36	18,109.60
		RC	H5-	71	48,619.80
		RC/Core	H5-124	1	929
	2006	Core	06CS-	27	25,510.00
	2007	Core	07CS-	17	17,983.00
	2008	Core	08CS-	15	14,147.50
2012	Unknown	DZ-, DZ12-	8	800	
2013	Percussion	520-, 650W-, 654-, 654cc-, 690-,726-, 730-, 750-, 810-	154	13,447.00	
Arizona Gold Corp. (Kerr Mining Inc.)	2015	Core	KER-15-	4	3,045.50
		Core	KER-17S-, KER-17U-	72	19,380.50
	2017	RC	KER-17S-	11	7,360.00
		RC	18-	100	17,020.00
	2020	Core	AZG-20	3	1,063.00
		RC	AZG-20S, KRM-	20	16,060.00
	2021	Core	AZG-21	28	7,493.00
		RC	AZG-20S-	1	565.00
Infill Core		AZG-21-Pxx-	13	1,093.00	
Total Historic Drilling				1,401	624,163.20
Total Historic Core				475	251,191.40
Total Historic RC				746	342,679.80
Total Historic RC/Core				18	16,045.00
Total Historic - & Percussion				162	14,247.00

10.1.1 Cyprus 1984 – 1988

Drilling by Cyprus accounts for 35% of total drilling on Copperstone, with 73 core holes totaling 23,705 feet, 504 RC drillholes totaling 222,425 feet, and 1 RC/core drillhole totaling 546 feet. The drillhole database indicates only one drillhole was surveyed down-the-hole. Of the Cyprus drillholes, 547 are angled vertically, 2 are angled to the northwest, 4 are angled to the southeast, 8 are angled to the southwest, 2 are angled west, and 15 do not have survey information in the database and are presumed to be vertical. Drilling by Cyprus defined gold mineralization in the A/B zone and C zone, and provided the first indications of D zone, Footwall

zone, and South zone gold mineralization. Exploration drilling to the southwest and northeast did not return significant results.

10.1.2 Santa Fe Gold 1993

Santa Fe Gold completed 17 RC drillholes totaling 12,500 feet in 1993. No drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole. Ten drillholes, all angled 65 degrees southwest, tested the area southwest of the central portion of the pit and did not intersect significant mineralization, although some intervals of low-grade mineralization were encountered in DCU-2. One vertical drillhole (DCU-8) within the pit intersected Footwall zone mineralization with 10 ft. of 0.920 oz/ton gold. Two vertical drillholes near the pit to the northeast intersected low-grade gold mineralization. Four vertical exploration holes to the northeast did not intersect gold mineralization.

10.1.3 Royal Oak 1995 – 1997

Royal Oak completed 17 core drillholes totaling 14,149.5 feet, 14 RC drillholes totaling 11,535 feet, and 3 RC/core drillholes totaling 2,729 feet. A total of 10 core, 7 RC, and all three RC/core drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole using a single shot camera. The remaining 14 drillholes were not surveyed down-the-hole. Drilling targeted the down-dip extension of the A/B zone, C zone, and D zone gold mineralization to the northeast, with all but 5 oriented vertically. Those 5 angled drillholes were oriented southwest. The programs were successful in demonstrating continued gold mineralization down dip to the northeast. One drillhole, angled southwest on the southwest margin of the pit (C95-02) did not intersect gold mineralization.

10.1.4 Asia Minerals 1998 – 2001

Asia Minerals drilled 20 core drillholes totaling 14,188.7 feet, and six RC drillholes totaling 5,400 feet from surface drillhole locations.

The Lang Division of Boart Longyear drilling contractors, based in Salt Lake City, Utah drilled the RC holes. The RVC holes were 6.5 in (16.5 cm) in diameter, and samples were collected at 5-ft. (1.5 m) intervals. HQ size drill core (6.4 cm in diameter) was collected by standard diamond impregnated drill bits, metal alloy core barrel and wire-line methods.

Locations of drillholes were defined on the established Copperstone mine survey grid, using the original Cyprus benchmarks. Drillhole collar locations were surveyed by Bill Lemme, a professional engineer and Arizona registered surveyor. Mr. Lemme was formerly employed as a surveyor for the Cyprus mining operations and conducted surveys on the property for Royal Oak. Down-the-hole surveys using a standard single shot camera survey tool were completed at regular intervals for all but 8 vertically oriented surface drillholes.

Surface exploration intersected D zone gold mineralization with decreasing returns as drillholes testing the down dip extension of the D zone to the northeast. One drillhole (A00-10) intersected Footwall zone gold mineralization in the same area as DCU-8.

Asia drilled 11 core holes in 2001 for a total of 893 feet. Given the relatively shallow depth (<120 ft.), none of the underground drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole. The drilling was carried out from a single underground station in the D zone, near an interval of 25 ft. at 2.199 oz/ton gold in C95-10. Drilling was

oriented northeast to fan out radially between 35 – 125 degrees azimuth and inclined between 11 degrees below horizontal and 20 degrees above horizontal. Drilling at these orientations is not conducive to intersecting D zone gold mineralization. The drillholes run the risk of following mineralized structures down dip resulting in interval lengths not representative of true thickness or missing the mineralization altogether. Asia's underground drilling campaign only intersected a few sporadic intercepts of significant grade, indicating that the drillholes missed D zone gold mineralization.

10.1.5 American Bonanza 2003 – 2013

American Bonanza is responsible for 32.9% of the total footage drilled at Copperstone. The following details regarding American Bonanza's drilling efforts are summarized from AMEC (2006) and Telesto (2011).

Drill contractors for the 2003-2005 drilling included Ruen Drilling (diamond) of Clark Fork, Idaho, Layne-Christensen (diamond and RC) of Chandler, Arizona, and Diversified Drilling (RC) of Missoula, Montana. Diamond tools employed included HQ (63.5 mm) diameter tools for surface holes and NQ (47.6 mm) diameter tools for underground holes. American Bonanza drillhole collar locations were surveyed by American Bonanza geologists using a Trimble TSC-GPS system. Underground collar locations were surveyed by transit and chain from control points established by Lemme Engineering Inc., of Phoenix, Arizona.

During the 2003 to 2005 drill campaign, American Bonanza RC pre-collar drillholes were surveyed for dip with a single-shot camera within the drill steel at 100 ft. intervals. This was done to ensure that drillholes did not droop or rise beyond acceptable limits. RC drillholes deviating more than 3° were terminated and redrilled. Upon completion of the core tail, the RC pipe was removed and the entire drillhole (pre-collar plus core tail) was surveyed by Wel Nav of Tustin, California, using a gyroscopic multi-shot tool, which returned azimuth and dip readings at nominal 50 ft. intervals. Bonanza underground core holes during this campaign were surveyed using a single-shot camera at nominal 100 ft. intervals.

American Bonanza drill collar locations were surveyed by American Bonanza geologists using a Trimble TSC-GPS system. Cyprus established benchmarks provide survey control. Locations were surveyed in Arizona state plane coordinates, downloaded to computer at the site, and transferred in a spreadsheet to the American Bonanza office in Reno, Nevada for loading to the project database. Underground collar locations were surveyed by transit and chain from control points established by Lemme Engineering Inc., of Phoenix, Arizona.

During the 2006 to 2008 drill campaigns, American Bonanza RC pre-collar drillholes were surveyed for dip with a single-shot camera within the drill steel at 100 ft. intervals. This was done to ensure that drillholes did not droop or rise beyond acceptable limits. RC drillholes deviating more than 3° were terminated and redrilled. Upon completion of the core tail, the RC pipe was removed and the entire drillhole (pre-collar plus core tail) was surveyed by Wellbore Navigation Inc. (WELNAV) of Tustin, California, using a gyroscopic multi-shot tool, which returned azimuth and dip readings at nominal 50 ft. intervals. Bonanza underground core holes during this campaign were surveyed using a single-shot camera at nominal 100-ft. intervals.

The following holes were not surveyed:

- Bonanza RC holes CRD-03-01 to 13, nominal 600 ft. total depth
- Bonanza underground holes CUDH-03-01 to 15, nominal 300 ft. total depth (Telesto, 2011).
- Bonanza underground holes CUDH-04-16 to 25 and 27, nominal 300 ft. total depth.
- Bonanza Underground Drillholes DU4-49 (186 ft.) and DU4-55 (46 ft.).
- Bonanza Surface Drillhole F4-5, 880 ft. total depth.
- Thirteen RC Bonanza surface drillholes in 2005, nominal 300 ft. total depth.
- Ten Bonanza surface holes from the 2006 with total depth ranging from 540 ft. to 1,140 ft.
- Six Bonanza surface holes from 2007 with total depth ranging from 440 ft. to 1,200 ft.

Drilling results from American Bonanza's drilling campaigns are subdivided into the following discussions:

- Surface Footwall zone drilling,
- Surface down dip extensional drilling,
- Surface exploration,
- Underground D zone drilling 2003 - 2005, and
- Underground D zone drilling 2012 - 2013.

Surface Footwall Zone Drilling

American Bonanza drilled 37 core drillholes totaling 28,986 feet, and 6 RC drillholes totaling 2,505 feet. Twenty-one of the 43 drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole. Twenty-two drillholes were oriented vertically, 15 drillholes were steeply inclined and oriented in various directions, 5 drillholes were oriented to the southwest, and 1 drillhole was oriented to the northwest. Drilling in the Footwall zone centered around previous results in drillholes DCU-8, and A00-10, with continued success. Additionally, gold intercepts in H5-141, H5-147, H5-148, H5-163, and 06CS-17 demonstrated the potential for footwall zone gold mineralization to continue up-dip to the southwest. South zone gold mineralization was also intersected by American Bonanza.

Surface Down Dip Extensional Drilling

Eighty-three core drillholes totaling 77,034 feet, 56 RC drillholes totaling 36,798.8 feet, and 14 RC/Core drillholes totaling 12,770 feet tested D zone gold mineralization as well as the down dip extents of A/B zone, C zone, and D zone gold mineralization. One-hundred thirty-eight drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole, 4 drillholes were surveyed at the top and bottom of the drillholes, and the remaining 11 drillholes were not surveyed down-the-hole. One hundred drillholes have vertical orientations, 47 drillholes are angled to the southwest, and 6 drillholes are steeply inclined to the south. Results generally continued to demonstrate down dip continuity in the A/B, and C zones, however gold grades do tend to decrease down dip. Drilling in D zone mineralization did intersect favorable gold grades, but down dip extensional drilling in the D zone did not intersect significant gold mineralization.

Surface Exploration Drilling

Thirty-seven core drillholes totaling 37,488.5 feet and 11 RC drillholes totaling 10,511 feet constitute American Bonanzas exploration drilling. Thirty-seven drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole. All 48 drillholes were oriented vertically. In general, no significant gold mineralization was intersected by these drillholes.

However, nine drillholes approximately 2,500 ft. southwest of the Copperstone pit did intersect significant gold mineralization. These drillholes mark the location of the Southwest zone exploration target.

Underground D Zone Drilling 2003 – 2005

American Bonanza completed 77 core drillholes totaling 22,512 feet between 2003 and 2005 from two underground drilling stations, in order to define D zone gold mineralization. Down-the-hole surveys were completed for 49 of the 77 drillholes. Drilling was oriented northeast to fan out radially between 0 – 190 degrees azimuth, with three drillholes oriented at 345 degrees azimuth, and inclined between 27 degrees above horizontal and 22 degrees below horizontal. While results from this drilling show significant lengths of high-grade gold, drilling at these orientations is not conducive to intersecting D zone gold mineralization. The drillholes run the risk of following mineralized structures down dip resulting in interval lengths not representative of true thickness or missing the gold mineralization altogether.

Underground D Zone Drilling 2012 – 2013

American Bonanza drilled 162 drillholes totaling 14,247 feet for the purpose of production mining. The drillhole types are not presently known, however, all but one drillhole were surveyed at the top and bottom of the drillhole. For the most part, drilling was oriented to intersect mineralization appropriately, resulting in a multitude of bearings and inclination. The limited knowledge about these drillholes precludes them from meaningful discussions about their results and the drillholes were excluded from the mineral resource estimate.

10.2 2015-2021 Arizona Gold Corp. (Kerr) Drilling Exploration

Arizona Gold Corp. (“AZG”) formally Kerr Mines Inc. (“Kerr”), drilled 252 holes totaling 73,080.00 feet between 2015 and 2021. Drilling consisted of both RC and core (Table 10-3).

Table 10-3 Drilling Totals for AZG

Year	Type	Prefix	Count	Total (ft.)
2015	Core	KER-15-	4	3,045.50
2017	Core	KER-17S-, KER-17U-	72	19,380.50
	RC	KER-17S-	11	7,360.00
2019	RC	18-	100	17,020.00
2020	Core	AZG-20	3	1,063.00
	RC	AZG-20S, KRM-	20	16,060.00
2021	Core	AZG-21	28	7,493.00
	RC	AZG-20S-	1	565.00
	Infill Core	AZG-21-Pxx	13	1,093.00
Kerr Drilling Totals			252	73,080.00
Total Core			120	32,075.00
Total RC			132	41,005.00

2015 Surface Drilling

The Copperstone drill program in 2015 was designed to target the Footwall zone, located approximately 300 to 500 feet west and parallel to the main Copperstone zone. The drilling campaign consisted of four diamond drillholes with a total core length of 3,045.5 feet. Kerr contracted West Core Drilling, and Holman Drilling to

complete the program. Drillhole diameters included both HQ and NQ. KER-15-01 through KER-15-03 are oriented from a single station, starting vertical, then 65 degrees to the southwest, and finally 45 degrees to the southwest in sequence. These drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole on 50-foot intervals using a single shot camera. KER-15-04 is oriented vertically and is 370 ft. northwest from KER-15-01. KER-15-04 was not surveyed down-the-hole. Results from drilling in 2015 are summarized in Table 10-4. The program confirmed a parallel system west of the main Copperstone trend within the latite-phyllite contact. The contact was strongly altered/faulted with hematite and copper oxides present. Highlights from the drill program included 0.405 oz/ton Au over 6 feet and 0.324 oz/ton Au over 5 feet.

Table 10-4 Significant Intercepts for 2015 Drilling by Kerr

Drillhole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Length (ft.)	Gold (oz/ton)
KER-15-01	567	570	3	0.130
KER-15-02	635	641	6	0.405
KER-15-03	350	355	5	0.324
also	597	602	5	0.114
also	886	900	14	0.076
KER-15-04	No significant intercepts			

2017 Surface and Underground Drilling

Kerr contracted Godbe Drilling, LLC (“Godbe”) of Montrose CO, and American Drilling Corp. (“American”) of Spokane Valley WA, to complete 83 drillholes totaling 26,740.5 feet of core and RC drilling. Godbe used a Maxi 10 track mounted drill rig for surface core drilling operations, and a small electric powered skid mounted Versa KMB.8 drill for underground core drilling. Diamond core drilling utilized HQ (2.5 in or 63.5 mm diameter) wireline gauge. Core barrels of different lengths were used depending on recovery and ground conditions. For surface RC drilling, Godbe subcontracted DeLong Construction and Drilling, Inc. (“DeLong”) of Winnemucca, NV for use of a track mounted RC drilling platform. American deployed two skid mount electric powered Atlas Copco U8 drills underground for its underground operations.

Kerr contracted Registered Land Surveyor, 82Bravo LLC of Phoenix, Arizona, to survey all planned and as-built drillhole collars. Cyprus established benchmarks to provide survey control and all surveying produced values in Arizona state-plane NAD27. Most drillholes were set up by front- and back- sight, by a registered surveyor, but a few short holes were added to the program and laid out by Brunton compass. At the end of the program the American Drill started using a Reflex TN14 Rig Alignment instrument, making the process less time-consuming and avoiding the issue of losing paint marks on the ribs.

Underground collar locations were surveyed by Aftermath Engineering, an independent contract engineer & surveyor utilizing the pre-existing underground control points. Kerr utilized a multi-shot camera at 50 feet spacing, supplied to Godbe Drilling by International Directional Services (“IDS”), a drilling service company out of Chandler, Arizona. RC drillholes were surveyed directly at 50 feet intervals with a gyro instrument by IDS. In October, Kerr began to utilize a Devico gyro instrument, supplied by Minex of Virginia, Minnesota, for most new drillholes. The camera instrument was retained as a back-up and was often used on holes drilled upward from mine workings. Camera survey data was collected at nominal 50 ft. intervals as the drill tripped out of the hole after completion. The Devico instrument was utilized when high magnetite contents

were detected in D zone core. In this case, readings were collected every 10 feet from bottom to top of the hole.

While the overall core recovery for the 2017 drilling is over 95%, the recovery for zones with >4 ppm Au was 80%. Review of the RC drilling indicated good overall sample recovery.

Drilling from the surface had the primary objective of demonstrating Footwall zone gold mineralization along strike and down dip on approximately 200-foot fences. The drilling results in Table 10-5 confirm Footwall gold mineralization does occur along strike and down dip. The down dip extension of A/B sections of the Copperstone zone gold mineralization was also tested from surface. Two drillholes were successful in extending A/B zone gold mineralization down dip (Table 10-6). One drillhole, KER-17S-23, tested gold mineralization in the south extension of the footwall zone. This drillhole did not reach the intended target due to structure entrainment and poor sample return.

Table 10-5 Significant Intercepts for 2017 Footwall Zone Drilling from Surface

Drillhole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Length (ft.)	Au (oz/ton)
KER-17S-02	56.5	60.0	3.5	0.265
also	78.0	80.1	2.1	0.158
KER-17S-03	502.2	520.6	18.4	0.084
KER-17S-04	594.0	606.0	12.0	0.213
includes	603.3	606.0	2.7	0.939
KER-17S-06	415.0	425.0	10.0	0.034
KER-17S-07	494.0	497.0	3.0	0.121
KER-17S-08	drillhole abandoned before target depth reached			
KER-17S-08B	drillhole abandoned before target depth reached			
KER-17S-09	no significant intercepts			
KER-17S-10	776.5	801.0	24.5	0.108
includes	779.0	790.0	11.0	0.232
includes	782.0	790.0	8.0	0.318
includes	776.5	790.0	13.5	0.193
KER-17S-11	730.0	740.0	10.0	0.113
includes	735.0	740.0	5.0	0.148
KER-17S-12	no significant intercepts			
KER-17S-13	435.0	485.0	50.0	0.134
Includes	440.0	455.0	15.0	0.384
KER-17S-16	no significant intercepts			
KER-17S-17	567.0	597.5	30.5	0.105
includes	573.0	587.0	14.0	0.200
and	573.0	579.0	6.0	0.226
KER-17S-18	106.0	108.0	2.0	0.053
KER-17S-19	290.0	305.0	15.0	0.100
and	290.0	325.0	35.0	0.083
includes	320.0	330.0	10.0	0.134
KER-17S-20	no significant intercepts			
KER-17S-21	60.0	70.0	10.0	0.193
and	315.0	435.0	120.0	0.219
includes	320.0	330.0	10.0	0.146
and	400.0	425.0	25.0	0.911
includes	405.0	415.0	10.0	2.186

Table 10-6 Significant Intercepts for 2017 A/B Zone Drilling from Surface

Drillhole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Length (ft.)	Au (oz/ton)
KER-17S-01	381	398	17	0.140
includes	381	393	12	0.196
also	533	545.8	12.8	0.058
KER-17S-14	375	385	10	0.075
and	520	535	15	0.051
KER-17S-15	no significant intercepts			
KER-17S-22	no significant intercepts			

Kerr’s underground drilling campaign had two goals:

- Confirm historic results, and
- Provide a pathway for increasing gold mineral resources with future drilling programs.

These goals were achieved using the following approaches:

- Infill and confirmation drilling in D zone;
- D zone up dip continuity and extension; and
- D zone down dip continuity and extension.

Infill and confirmation drilling in the D zone was successful in confirming grades intersected by previous operators and drilling results are shown in Table 10-7. Drilling was conducted only from the available access in the existing underground workings. While the drillhole orientation does not intersect the D zone perpendicular to gold mineralization, the drillholes were oriented to drill through the footwall and hanging wall of the shallow angle D zone structures. As a result, the interval lengths reported in KER-17U-10, KER-17U-11, KER-17U-12, KER-17U-13, and KER-17U-17 do not reflect the true thickness of D zone gold mineralization.

Drillholes testing the up-dip extension and continuity of the D zone were designed based on previous interpretations. Drilling was oriented to intersect the D zone structures as close to perpendicular as underground access would allow and reported results are considered to approximate true thickness. Only four of the 17 drillholes targeting up-dip extension and continuity in the D zone returned significant grade intercepts (Table 10-8). Based on the current interpretation of the D zone, it is apparent that up-dip mineralization remains open below the current drilling.

Drillholes testing the down dip continuity of D zone gold mineralization were successful with 16 of 30 drillholes returning favorable results (Table 10-9). Drilling was oriented to intersect the D zone structures as close to perpendicular as underground access would allow and reported results are considered to approximate true thickness.

Table 10-7 Significant Intercepts for 2017 D Zone Confirmation and Infill Drilling from Underground

Drillhole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Length (ft.)	Au (oz/ton)
KER-17U-03	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-04	80.0	95.0	15.0	0.150
includes	84.5	95.0	10.5	0.166
KER-17U-05	112.0	122.0	10.0	0.232
includes	114.0	122.0	8.0	0.262
KER-17U-06	83.0	103.5	20.5	0.253
includes	83.0	95.5	12.5	0.378
and	147.5	168.5	21.0	0.091
includes	154.0	168.5	14.5	0.094
KER-17U-10	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-11	48.0	61.0	13.0	0.181
includes	48.0	58.5	10.5	0.223
and	197.5	206.5	9.0	0.158
includes	200.0	206.5	6.5	0.209
KER-17U-12	153.0	224.0	71.0	0.673
includes	153.0	213.5	60.5	0.789
includes	163.0	210.8	47.8	0.988
includes	178.0	191.0	13.0	2.626
also includes	185.0	213.5	28.5	1.143
KER-17U-13	44.0	80.0	36.0	0.092
includes	46.5	57.0	10.5	0.258
also	73.0	75.5	2.5	0.179
and	121.5	127.0	5.5	0.097
includes	124.0	127.0	3.0	0.150
KER-17U-14	34.0	81.0	47.0	0.052
includes	75.0	81.0	6.0	0.220
also	155.0	243.0	88.0	0.406
includes	178.0	206.8	28.8	1.127
also	217.0	226.0	9.0	0.164
KER-17U-15	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-16	48	153	105	0.116
includes	48	58	10	0.224
also	81.5	90	8.5	0.232
also	104.9	113	8.1	0.308
also	138.5	153	14.5	0.318
KER-17U-17	57.0	62.0	5.0	0.124

Table 10-8 Significant Intercepts for 2017 D Zone Up Dip Continuity and Extension from Underground

Drillhole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Length (ft.)	Au (oz/ton)
KER-17U-07	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-08	19.0	26.1	7.1	0.141
includes	19.0	23.0	4.0	0.235
KER-17U-09	11.5	12.5	1.0	0.164
KER-17U-18	drillhole abandoned			
KER-17U-18B	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-19	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-20	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-21B	42.0	53.5	11.5	0.594
includes	46.0	49.0	3.0	2.257
KER-17U-22	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-23	not sampled			
KER-17U-24	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-25	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-26	38.1	42.0	3.9	0.102
includes	38.1	40.0	1.9	0.206
and	112.7	118.3	5.6	0.158
includes	112.7	115.4	2.7	0.310
KER-17U-27	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-28	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-29	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-34	no significant intercepts			

Table 10-9 Significant Intercepts for 2017 D Zone Down Dip Continuity and Extension from Underground

Drillhole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Length (ft.)	Au (oz/ton)
KER-17U-01	53.0	57.4	4.4	0.131
KER-17U-02	56.0	64.5	8.5	0.095
KER-17U-50	69.0	93.0	24.0	2.998
KER-17U-51	56.0	79.9	23.9	0.167
includes	56.0	72.5	16.5	0.237
KER-17U-52	54.0	70.0	16.0	0.152
includes	54.0	64.5	10.5	0.227
KER-17U-53	52.0	72.0	20.0	0.156
includes	61.0	72.0	11.0	0.277
also	67.8	69.8	2.0	1.082
KER-17U-54	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-55	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-56	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-57	93.3	128.0	34.7	0.136
includes	101.9	111.0	9.1	0.223
KER-17U-58	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-59	90.5	111.5	21.0	0.090
includes	90.5	101.7	11.2	0.164
KER-17U-60	45.0	60.3	15.3	0.083
includes	55.0	60.3	5.3	0.169
KER-17U-61	134.0	147.3	13.3	0.096
includes	134.0	139.7	5.7	0.163
KER-17U-62	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-63	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-64	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-65	40.5	54.8	14.3	0.092
includes	43.0	54.8	11.8	0.111
KER-17U-66	59.0	74.0	15.0	0.113
includes	64.0	66.0	2.0	0.815
KER-17U-67	110.9	119.0	8.1	0.115
includes	110.9	113.0	2.1	0.267
KER-17U-68	70.5	82.0	11.5	0.180
KER-17U-69	not sampled			
KER-17U-70	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-71	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-72	87.5	97.0	9.5	0.032
includes	87.5	89.0	1.5	0.186
KER-17U-73	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-74	54.0	57.0	3.0	0.175
and	112.0	122.0	10.0	0.161
includes	112.0	116.8	4.8	0.325
KER-17U-75	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-76	no significant intercepts			
KER-17U-77	no significant intercepts			

10.3 2019 Underground Drilling

Between late January through March of 2019, AZG completed 100 drillholes totaling 17,030 feet from multiple underground stations. The purposes of the program were to test the margins of gold mineralization as understood at that time, and converting Inferred mineral resources into Measured and Indicated, in the D

zone and portions of the C zone. Major Drilling, out of Sault Lake City, Utah used an underground RC drill to complete the holes. All but four drillholes were surveyed down-the hole at nominal 50-foot intervals. Drillhole locations were limited by access to existing underground developments with acceptable ground conditions and infrastructure. As a result, drilling is oriented in multiple directions with the intent of intersecting gold mineralization as close to true thickness as practical. Review of drillhole logs, sample weights submitted to ALS, and conversations with AZG geologists did not indicate significant difficulties with drilling operations or recovery. Figure 10-1 shows the station locations, drillhole traces, target areas, and existing underground locations for the 2019 drilling program.




Figure 10-1 2019 Underground Drill Station Locations

Twenty-eight drillholes totaling 4,290 feet were targeted in the C zone. Significant gold intercepts are presented in Table 10-10. Only one drillhole, 18-08B-04, which was angled to explore for mineralization above currently modeled domains and was not successful in intersecting any gold mineralization. The drilling conducted out of stations 05, 05A, 05E, 08, and 08A were successful in intersecting broad zones of significant gold mineralization. While drilling did not intersect significant gold mineralization, drilling from stations 07, 08B, 17A, 17B, and 34 did intersect lower grade gold mineralization where expected, based on modeled domains.

Table 10-10 Significant Drillhole Intercepts Targeting the C Zone

Hole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
			ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
18-05-05	No Significant Intercepts							
18-05-06	30	50	20	6.1	0.11	3.71	14	4.3
includes	40	50	10	3.0	0.14	4.80	7	2.2
also	125	135	10	3.0	0.21	7.20	7	2.2
18-05-07	No Significant Intercepts							
18-05-08	50	65	15	4.6	0.13	4.52	10	3.2
includes	60	65	5	1.5	0.26	8.79	3	1.1
18-05A-01	55	80	25	7.6	0.15	5.15	15	4.6
includes	55	75	20	6.1	0.17	5.77	12	3.7
includes	55	60	5	1.5	0.38	12.85	3	0.9
18-05A-04	No Significant Intercepts							
18-05A-06	45	70	25	7.6	0.11	3.80	15	4.6
includes	45	60	15	4.6	0.15	5.16	9	2.8
and	55	60	5	1.5	0.28	9.54	3	0.9
also	120	145	25	7.6	0.23	7.71	16	4.9
includes	130	145	15	4.6	0.29	9.84	9	2.7
18-05E-01	40	65	25	7.6	0.10	3.27	24	7.4
includes	50	65	15	4.6	0.12	4.15	15	4.5
18-05E-07	65	80	15	4.6	0.28	9.48	15	4.5
includes	65	75	10	3.0	0.41	14.03	10	3.0
18-07-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-07-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-07-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-08-01	40	50	10	3.0	0.12	4.27	8	2.4
18-08-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-08A-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-08A-02	40	80	40	12.2	0.34	11.70	33	10.1
includes	60	80	20	6.1	0.60	20.67	17	5.2
includes	70	80	10	3.0	1.12	38.25	8	2.4
18-08A-03	35	90	55	16.8	0.24	8.25	53	16.2
includes	75	90	15	4.6	0.53	18.25	15	4.6
18-08B-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-08B-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-08B-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-08B-04	No Significant Intercepts							
18-17A-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-17B-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-34-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-34-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-34-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-34-04	No Significant Intercepts							
18-34-05	No Significant Intercepts							

* True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains.

Fourteen drillholes totaling 2,510 feet from stations 18, 18A, and 20 targeted the down dip extension of C zone gold mineralization. Drillholes from each station were successful in intersecting significant zones of gold mineralization. Drillhole 18-18-03A was terminated before target depth was reached. 18-20-01 and 18-20-06 were unsuccessful intersecting any gold mineralization and were targeting the eastern down dip extent of modeled domains. The remaining drillholes were successful in intersecting lower grade gold

mineralization. Results of the drilling for this target suggest while gold mineralization is still present, interval thickness is thinning down dip. Table 10-11 shows significant intercepts for down dip extension of the C zone.

Table 10-11 Significant Drillhole Intercepts Targeting the Down Dip Extents of the C Zone

Hole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
			ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
18-18-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-18-02	40	50	10	3.0	0.80	27.45	7	2.0
includes	40	45	5	1.5	1.53	52.30	3	1.0
18-18A-01	35	45	10	3.0	0.14	4.77	5	1.6
includes	35	40	5	1.5	0.26	8.87	3	0.8
18-18A-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-02	60	70	10	3.0	0.25	8.51	7	2.3
includes	65	70	5	1.5	0.34	11.60	4	1.1
18-20-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-06	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-08	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-10	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-11	80	90	10	3.0	0.37	12.82	4	1.1
includes	80	85	5	1.5	0.50	17.10	4	1.1
18-20-12	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-13	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-14	No Significant Intercepts							

* True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains.

The up-dip extension of C zone gold mineralization was tested with five drillholes totaling 1,300 feet from stations 01 and 01A. Only one drillhole, 18-01A-04 was successful in intersecting significant gold mineralization (Table 10-12). The remaining drillholes only intersected low grade gold mineralization suggesting C zone gold mineralization is thinning up dip.

Table 10-12 Significant Drillhole Intercepts Targeting the Up Dip Extents of the C Zone

Hole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
			ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
18-01-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-01-04	No Significant Intercepts							
18-01A-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-01A-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-01A-04	125	135	10	3.0	0.23	8.04	6	1.9
includes	130	135	5	1.5	0.44	15.20	3	1.0

*True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains.

Four drillholes totaling 1,000 feet targeted inferred gold mineralization above the C zone. While no significant gold mineralization was intersected by any of the drillholes, 18-05-01, 18-05-02, and 18-05-03 intersected gold mineralization greater than 1 g/t. 18-05-04 only intersected gold mineralization less than 1 g/t.

Twenty-four drillholes totaling 3,710 feet targeted D zone gold mineralization. Drilling from stations 04, 4A, and 36 were located in the center of the target and was successful in intersecting significant and lower grade gold mineralization. Two drillholes reaching west toward D zone from station 20 only intersected low grade

gold mineralization. Drillholes from stations 17A and 17B targeting the southeast extension of the D zone were successful in intersecting low grade gold mineralization in the D zone as well as some C zone intercepts. Drillholes from station 38 and 38A targeted the northwest extent of the D zone and intersected isolated low grade gold intercepts suggesting gold mineralization thins out towards the Terminator fault. Drillhole results for the D zone target are shown in Table 10-13.

Table 10-13 Significant Drillhole Intercepts Targeting the D Zone

Hole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
			ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
18-04-01	65	85	20	6.1	0.46	15.91	10	3.1
includes	65	80	15	4.6	0.61	21.02	7	2.2
18-04-02	75	85	10	3.0	0.13	4.32	5	1.5
18-04-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-04A-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-04A-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-04A-03	75	85	10	3.0	0.12	4.20	6	1.7
18-04A-04	No Significant Intercepts							
18-17A-04	No Significant Intercepts							
18-17B-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-17B-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-17B-04	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-05	No Significant Intercepts							
18-20-09	No Significant Intercepts							
18-36-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-36-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-36-03	110	135	25	7.6	0.16	5.50	16	5.0
includes	110	120	10	3.0	0.31	10.65	8	2.6
18-36-04	No Significant Intercepts							
18-36-05	No Significant Intercepts							
18-36-06	No Significant Intercepts							
18-38-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-38-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-38A-01	No Significant Intercepts							
18-38A-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-38A-03	No Significant Intercepts							

* True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains.

Eleven drillholes totaling 1,025 feet targeted the extent of gold mineralization defined by historic drillhole C95-10 (25 feet grading at 75.4 g/t Au), the underground drillholes completed by Asia minerals, and gold mineralization intersected by KER-17U-21B (3 feet grading at 76.4 g/t Au). None of drillholes from stations 03, 09, 10, and 12A intersected gold mineralization where anticipated indicating the interpretation of gold mineralization in this area at the time was incorrect. Only 18-09-03 and 18-10-05 intersected low grade D zone gold mineralization.

Fourteen drillholes totaling 3,185 feet from stations 21 and 21A targeted the down dip extension of the D zone. Drilling in this area represents some of the most significant results of the 2019 drilling campaign especially in drillholes 18-21-04, 18-21-06 and 18-21A-05 (Table 10-14). Drillholes 18-21-08, and 18-21-09 did not reach target depth and did not intersect gold mineralization. Drillhole 18-21-13 deflected away from the target and also did not intersect gold mineralization. The remaining drillholes intersected lower grade gold

mineralization. The results from this drilling suggest D zone gold mineralization is still open down dip to the north.

Table 10-14 Significant Drillhole Intercepts Targeting the Down Dip Extents of the D Zone

Hole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
			ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
18-21-02	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-03	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-04	210	245	35	10.7	0.51	17.49	17	5.1
includes	220	240	20	6.1	0.86	29.45	10	2.9
18-21-05	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-06	190	245	55	16.8	1.17	40.00	25	7.6
includes	210	220	10	3.0	2.87	98.26	5	1.4
and	230	240	10	3.0	0.97	33.19	5	1.4
18-21-07	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-08	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-08A	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-09	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-09A	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-10	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21-11	125	145	20	6.1	0.12	4.26	10	2.9
includes	135	145	10	3.0	0.18	6.15	5	1.4
18-21-13	No Significant Intercepts							
18-21A-05	45	65	20	6.1	0.44	15.02	18	5.5
includes	45	55	10	3.0	0.65	22.40	9	2.7

*True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains

10.4 2020-2021 Surface RC Drilling

AZG completed 21 drillholes totaling 16,625 feet from surface to define the Footwall zone, step out from gold mineralization in the C zone and A/B zone, and collect metallurgical samples in the A/B zone. Drilling started in September of 2020 and continued into January 2021. Two drilling contractors were used for the program. Alford Drilling, out of Elko Nevada, completed five drillholes totaling 4,025 feet using a Foremost 1500 track mounted RC drill. All holes were surveyed down the hole on nominal 100-foot intervals. National Drilling completed the remaining drillholes using an EDM-90 truck mounted RC rig. All drillholes were surveyed down the hole using a Reflex EZ-GYRO™ multi-shot tool on either 50-foot or 100-foot intervals. Table 10-15 summarizes drillhole IDs by contractor. Drilling was nominally oriented to the southwest, with some drilling angled at different orientations due to limited space within the pit. Collar locations were professionally surveyed by Darling Engineering following completion of the drilling program. Drillhole results are summarized in Table 10-16.

Table 10-15 Surface RC Drillholes by Contractor

Alford Drilling; 1500 Foremost			
BHID	Depth	BHID	Depth
AZG-20S-01	1,295	AZG-20S-08	700
AZG-20S-05	605	AZG-20S-09	800
AZG-20S-06	625		
Total Depth		4,025	
National Drilling; EDM-90			
BHID	Depth	BHID	Depth
AZG-20S-02	1,200	AZG-20S-14	850
AZG-20S-03	750	AZG-20S-15	750
AZG-20S-04	850	AZG-20S-16	1,000
AZG-20S-07	765	AZG-20S-17	700
AZG-20S-10	745	AZG-20S-18	950
AZG-20S-11	750	AZG-20S-21	700
AZG-20S-12	820	AZG-20S-22	480
AZG-20S-13	725	AZG-20S-23	565
Total Depth		12,600	

Four drillholes targeted the C zone. AZG-20S-01 successfully intersected the gold mineralization where projected down dip. AZG-20S-16 also intersected gold mineralization in an area where limited historic drilling had not shown significant gold mineralization. Additionally, a 5-foot intercept grading 2.7 g/t Au below the C zone indicates the Footwall zone continues down dip. No significant mineralization was intersected in the remaining two drillholes.

Two drillholes targeted the A/B zone. AZG-20S-07 did not intersect gold mineralization southeast of the A zone along strike. AZG-20S-02 targeted between the A and B zones where limited historic drilling had not previously shown significant gold mineralization. The drillhole intersected gold mineralization southeast of the B zone along strike indicating greater continuity between the A and B zones than previous interpretations had shown. Three drillholes, AZG-20S-21, AZG-20S-22, and AZG-20S-23, were drilled for purpose of collecting metallurgical samples in the B zone and confirmed gold mineralization intersected by historic drilling.

The remaining twelve drillholes all targeted the Footwall zone to determine the extent of gold mineralization along strike, down dip, and at depth. Only Drillholes AZG-20S-03 and AZG-20S-11 did not intersect Footwall zone gold mineralization. Both of these drillholes were testing the up-dip extent of the Footwall zone. The remaining drillholes all successfully intersected and further defined the extent and geometry of the Footwall zone.

Table 10-16 Significant Results from the 2020, 2021 Surface RC Drilling

Hole ID	Target	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
				ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
AZG-20S-01	C zone Down Dip	840	850	10	3.0	0.19	6.4	9	2.8
AZG-20S-15	C zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20S-16	C zone	730	760	30	9.1	0.06	2.0	27	8.1
includes	C zone	735	745	10	3.0	0.10	3.6	9	2.7
also	C zone	865	870	5	1.5	0.08	2.7	4	1.3
AZG-20S-18	C zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20S-02	A/B zone	840	850	10	3.0	0.07	2.3	9	2.7
includes	A/B zone	840	845	5	1.5	0.10	3.5	4	1.3
AZG-20S-07	A/B zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20S-21	A/B zone	505	525	20	6.1	0.14	4.9	15	4.5
includes	A/B zone	515	520	5	1.5	0.39	13.4	4	1.1
AZG-20S-22	A/B zone	280	310	30	9.1	0.18	6.3	28	8.4
includes	A/B zone	300	310	10	3.0	0.39	13.5	9	2.8
AZG-20S-23	A/B zone	360	410	50	15.2	0.10	3.3	44	13.5
includes	A/B zone	385	405	20	6.1	0.18	6.0	18	5.4
also	A/B zone	435	440	5	1.5	0.04	1.2	4	1.3
AZG-20S-03	FW zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20S-04	FW zone	270	280	10	3.0	0.20	7.0	9	2.9
includes	FW zone	270	275	5	1.5	0.38	12.9	5	1.4
also	FW zone	305	310	5	1.5	0.03	1.1	5	1.4
also	FW zone	565	570	5	1.5	0.06	2.1	5	1.4
AZG-20S-05	FW zone	70	95	25	7.6	0.15	5.0	25	7.6
includes	FW zone	85	95	10	3.0	0.31	10.5	10	3.0
also	FW zone	210	225	15	4.6	0.07	2.3	15	4.6
includes	FW zone	220	225	5	1.5	0.14	4.9	5	1.5
also	FW zone	510	515	5	1.5	0.03	1.2	5	1.5
AZG-20S-06	FW zone	85	90	5	1.5	0.20	6.9	5	1.5
also	FW zone	290	320	30	4.6	0.09	3.0	30	4.6
includes	FW zone	295	300	5	1.5	0.12	4.1	5	1.5
also	FW zone	335	380	45	4.6	0.08	2.8	45	4.6
AZG-20S-08	FW zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20S-09	FW zone	775	780	5	1.5	0.04	1.3	4	1.3
AZG-20S-10	FW zone	185	190	5	1.5	0.03	1.0	5	1.5
also	FW zone	495	500	5	1.5	0.18	6.3	5	1.5
AZG-20S-11	FW zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20S-12	FW zone	445	460	15	4.6	0.10	3.6	13	3.8
includes	FW zone	445	455	10	3.0	0.12	4.3	8	2.6
AZG-20S-13	FW zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20S-14	FW zone	295	300	5	1.5	0.03	1.1	4	1.3
also	FW zone	315	320	5	1.5	0.08	2.6	4	1.3
also	FW zone	330	335	5	1.5	0.06	1.9	4	1.3
also	FW zone	380	385	5	1.5	0.03	1.2	4	1.3
also	FW zone	765	770	5	1.5	0.22	7.5	4	1.3
also	FW zone	795	800	5	1.5	0.09	3.2	4	1.3
AZG-20S-17	FW zone	105	115	10	3.0	0.20	6.7	8	2.5
includes	FW zone	110	115	5	1.5	0.36	12.4	4	1.2
also	FW zone	345	350	5	1.5	0.08	2.8	4	1.2
also	FW zone	585	590	5	1.5	0.03	1.0	4	1.2

* True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains.

10.5 2020-2021 Underground Core Drilling

From November 20, 2020, through April 3, 2021 American Drilling Corporation was contracted by AZG and completed 31 NQ size core drillholes totaling 8,556 feet from multiple underground stations using a Hagby 1000 drill rig. The primary purpose of the drilling was to expand gold mineral resources in the D and C zones.

All the drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole using a Reflex EZ-GYRO™ continuous survey tool. Drillhole locations were limited by access to existing underground developments with acceptable ground conditions and infrastructure. As a result, drilling is oriented in multiple directions with the intent of intersecting gold mineralization as close to true thickness as practical. A review of approximately 50% of RQD logs show an average recovery of 70%, a median recovery of 80%, with an average RQD of 28%. Drillhole collar locations were professionally surveyed by Darling Engineering. Figure 10-2 shows the locations of the underground drilling stations used in 2020 and 2021.




Figure 10-2 2020, 2021 Underground Drill Station Locations

Twelve drillholes targeted the up-dip portions of the D zone from stations 10, 13, and 13A and results are summarized in Table 10-17. Drillholes AZG-21-10-17, AZG-21-13-07, AZG-21-13A-18, and AZG-21-13A-19 successfully extend known D zone gold mineralization up dip. Additionally, AZG-21-10-17 and AZG-21-13A-18 intersected significant gold grades above known D zone gold mineralization. AZG-21-10-09 did not intersect the D zone up dip. AZG-21-13-12 was angled back towards the D zone and demonstrated high grade continuity between two historic drillhole intercepts. The remaining drillholes explored for mineralization below the D zone and did not intersect significant gold mineralization.

Table 10-17 Significant Results from the 2021 Underground Core Drilling in D Zone Up Dip

Hole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
			ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
AZG-21-10-06	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-10-09	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-10-17	41.3	57.0	15.7	4.8	0.10	3.3	12	3.8
includes	41.3	44.3	2.9	0.9	0.21	7.1	2	0.7
includes	53.0	57.0	4.0	1.2	0.16	5.5	3	1.0
also	142.0	144.5	2.5	0.8	0.43	14.8	2	0.6
AZG-21-13-04	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-13-05	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-13-07	9.5	15.5	6.0	1.8	1.28	44.0	3	0.8
also	85.0	90.0	5.0	1.5	0.08	2.6	2	0.7
AZG-21-13-08	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-13-10	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-13-11	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-13-12	42.0	46.8	4.8	1.5	0.64	22.1	5	1.4
also	60.0	64.0	4.0	1.2	0.34	11.7	4	1.2
AZG-21-13A-18	7.2	9.7	2.5	0.8	0.03	1.1	2	0.6
also	149.0	164.5	15.5	4.7	0.07	2.3	12	3.5
includes	161.4	164.5	3.1	0.9	0.16	5.3	2	0.7
AZG-21-13A-19	61.6	69.6	8.0	2.4	0.19	6.4	3	1.0
includes	61.6	65.4	3.8	1.2	0.37	12.7	2	0.5

* True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains.

Ten holes were drilled off station 21 to extend the D zone down dip following up significant gold mineralization intersected in 2019. AZG-20-21-13 and AZG-20-21-15 were not oriented properly and missed the D zone. AZG-20-21-01, AZG-20-21-02, AZG-20-21-03, AZG-20-21-14, and AZG-20-21-16 also did not intersect gold mineralization where anticipated. AZG-21-21-22, AZG-20-21-23, and AZG-20-21-24 were angled more steeply and confirmed the 2019 drilling results and successfully intersected D zone gold mineralization at a lower elevation down dip from the 2019 drilling indicating gold mineralization is still present and open to the northeast.

Table 10-18 Significant Results from the 2020, 2021 Underground Core Drilling in D Zone Down Dip

Hole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
			ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
AZG-20-21-01	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20-21-02	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20-21-03	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20-21-13	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20-21-14	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20-21-15	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-20-21-16	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-21-22	161.0	205.8	44.8	13.7	0.14	4.8	20	6.1
includes	176.0	200.8	24.8	7.6	0.22	7.6	11	3.4
includes	198.5	200.8	2.3	0.7	0.81	27.8	1	0.3
also	264.8	270.2	5.4	1.6	0.14	4.7	2	0.8
AZG-21-21-23	163.0	168.0	5.0	1.5	0.12	4.1	2	0.7
also	189.0	212.6	23.6	7.2	0.10	3.5	10	3.1
includes	189.0	195.0	6.0	1.8	0.19	6.5	3	0.8
includes	207.6	212.6	5.0	1.5	0.21	7.1	2	0.7
also	281.6	293.0	11.4	3.5	0.13	4.5	5	1.5
AZG-21-21-24	215.0	225.0	10.0	3.0	0.55	18.7	4	1.2
includes	215.0	220.0	5.0	1.5	0.79	26.9	2	0.6
also	294.1	300.0	5.9	1.8	0.63	21.7	2	0.7
also	455.0	459.1	4.1	1.2	0.03	1.2	2	0.5
also	493.9	496.7	2.8	0.9	0.37	12.5	1	0.3

* True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains.

The nine remaining core drillholes targeted various zones in the Project. AZG-21-33-31 confirmed C zone gold mineralization. Drillholes intended to expand the C zone up dip did not intersect any significant mineralization, although AZG-21-01-21 did intersect low grade gold. Drillholes targeting the northwest flank of the D zone only intersected low grade gold mineralization. Two drillholes explored for the Footwall zone along strike to the northwest. Although AZ-21-02-27 did not intersect any significant gold mineralization, the intercept in AZG-21-37-36 represents the furthest northwest gold intercept of the Footwall zone greater than 1 g/t. Significant intercepts for these drillholes are presented in Table 10-19.

Table 10-19 Significant Results from the 2021 Underground Core Drilling in Various Targets

Hole ID	Target	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
				ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
AZG-21-30-30	C zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-33-31	C zone	48.6	56.5	7.9	2.4	0.13	4.5	6.2	1.9
includes	C zone	51.8	56.5	4.7	1.4	0.18	6.3	3.6	1.1
AZG-21-01-20	C zone Up Dip	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-01-21	C zone Up Dip	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-37-25	C zone Up Dip	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-12-28	D zone NW	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-12-29	D zone NW	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-02-27	FW zone	No Significant Intercepts							
AZG-21-37-26	FW zone	152.0	156.7	4.7	1.4	0.03	1.2	5	0.3

* True thickness is calculated using the angle of the drillhole intercept, and the dip and dip direction of the modeled domains.

10.6 2021 Underground Core Infill Drilling

Thirteen diamond core drillholes totaling 1,093 feet were completed by AZG in April and May of 2021. American Drilling Corporation was contracted to drill the holes using a Hagby 1000 drill rig. The core diameter was NQ size. Eleven of the thirteen drillholes were surveyed down-the-hole using a Reflex EZ-GYRO™ continuous survey tool. Drillhole collar locations were professionally surveyed by Darling Engineering. The holes were drilled from underground into targets of expected gold mineralization to support and guide follow up reverse circulation drilling on close-spaced centers, which will lead to final stope mine planning. Table 10-20 summarizes some significant intercepts from the infill core drilling program. The drillholes from the infill core drilling program were not included in the mineral resource estimate.

Table 10-20 Significant Results from the 2021 Underground Infill Core Drilling

Hole ID	From (ft.)	To (ft.)	Interval Length		Gold		True Thickness*	
			ft.	m	oz/ton	gram/tonne	ft.	m
AZG-21-P3A-02C	70.0	77.5	7.5	2.3	0.31	10.6	4.9	1.5
including	72.5	75.0	2.5	0.8	0.55	19.0	2.0	0.6
AZG-21-P3A-02C	52.5	90.0	37.5	11.4	0.23	7.7	24.6	7.5
including	55.0	57.3	2.3	0.7	1.43	49.1	1.6	0.5
and	87.2	90.0	2.8	0.9	0.81	27.9	2.0	0.6
AZG-21-P3B-04C	41.3	80.0	38.7	11.8	0.26	8.8	27.2	8.3
including	43.9	50.0	6.1	1.9	1.18	40.4	3.6	1.1
and	46.4	50.0	3.6	1.1	1.56	53.5	1.6	0.5
AZG-21-P2B-02C	39.0	50.0	11.0	3.4	0.33	11.4	9.8	3.0
including	39.0	42.5	3.5	1.1	0.52	17.9	3.6	1.1
and	45.0	47.5	2.5	0.8	0.50	17.3	1.6	0.5
AZG-21-P2C-03C	56.5	74.0	17.5	5.3	0.26	8.9	12.8	3.9
including	59.0	66.5	7.5	2.3	0.50	17.3	5.6	1.7
and	59.0	61.5	2.5	0.8	1.15	39.5	2.0	0.6
AZG-21-P2G-07C	15.0	25.5	10.5	3.2	0.33	11.3	10.2	3.1
including	17.5	23.0	5.5	1.7	0.59	20.3	5.2	1.6

*True Thickness estimated using perpendicular distance between footwall and hanging wall of the mineralized interval

Figures 10-3 through 10-5 show drillhole collar locations for all drilling campaigns except Newmont and Southwest Silver. Figure 10-3 shows surface drillhole collar locations for the entire property. Figure 10-4 shows surface drillhole collar locations for drilling in the area of the open pit. Figure 10-5 shows underground drillhole collar locations. A complete list of drillhole collar locations, orientations, type, year, and operator is available in Appendix B.




Figure 10-4 Surface Drillhole Locations in the Area of the Open Pit




Figure 10-5 Underground Drillhole Locations

10.7 SGLD Drilling and Continuing Exploration

As of the date of this report, SGLD has not completed any drilling on the Project.

11. SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSIS AND SECURITY

11.1 AZG Sample Handling

The following discussion on AZG's sample handling, analysis, and security procedures in 2017 relies heavily on the internal report "2017 QA/QC Procedures and Results, Copperstone Mine", dated March 13, 2018, and prepared under the direction of AZG's drilling program supervisor, Mr. R. Michael Smith, SME-RM. HRC has independently verified the results discussed herein. Review of sample handling procedural documents from the 2019 drilling as well as the 2020-2021 drilling are consistent with the following discussion. Units in this report section are metric in order to maintain consistency with historical reporting.

11.1.1 Sample Preparation

Drill core was placed directly into standard waxed cardboard core boxes by the drill helper at the drill site. Core run interval were marked on wood blocks and placed at the end of each core run. Drill core was then received daily by the project geologist and logged at the core shed where it is photographed and logged by site geologists, or technicians under the supervision of geologists. Geotechnical information, as well as lithology, mineral and alteration assemblages, and structural characteristics are captured in the logging process.

Core is then marked for sampling by the geologist on nominal two-foot intervals in visibly mineralized material and on nominal five-foot intervals in visibly un-mineralized material, usually at breaks in mineralization/alteration intensity or lithology. Marked intervals are sawn in half by a technician near the core shed, under supervision of the geologists. A geologist or technician then bags one-half of the core for assay and the other one-half is retained for further use, or future reference and third-party review. The samples are then stacked on a pallet and moved to a loading area where they are loaded into bins, or directly into a pickup truck. All of these activities take place in a fenced-in area at the mine under 24-hour security.

Reverse Circulation ("RC") samples are collected in five-foot intervals by drill helpers at the drill site, under the direct supervision of an experienced geologist. The geologist made changes as needed regarding utilization of the rotary splitter to assure quality and sample size. A representative sample of the RC chips was taken on every five-foot interval. In holes with thick alluvial overburden, no analytical samples were collected, though a chip-tray record was retained.

RC chip samples were collected in a micro-pore bag. The bag was placed in a five-gallon bucket under the secondary port of the cyclone & rotary splitter in order to direct proper sample delivery. Especially when drilling above the groundwater table, the splitter was adjusted so little overflow occurred on the sample bucket by placing lids or covers over the sample side of the rotary splitter. When large volumes of water were encountered, lids were added to the splitter, reducing the size of the collected sample. Even with the occasional reduction in sample size, the weights were still in the multiple kilogram range. The sampler adjusted the number of lids covering the sample side in order to maintain optimal sample size, but never in the middle of a 5-foot run. This number was then recorded on the sample bag sheet.

Drilling was paused at sampling intervals to ensure all sample from the interval is collected before continuing drilling into the next sample interval. Cyclone and sample splitters were cleared of buildup by spraying with high pressure water between samples. The drillhole was blown clean after each drill rod addition by lifting the rotating drill string off bottom and blowing until there is no return of cuttings.

At first during the 2017 drilling, duplicate samples were taken using a “Y”-splitter from the sample port. This method was soon changed into a “Straight Split” by placing the first sample under the sample port and the duplicate under the reject port. This is more appropriate as it tests the efficiency of the cyclone splitting samples.

Figure 11-1 shows the relationship between the two types of duplicates compared to the regular sample. In general, there is good correlation. However, the presence of two outliers suggests possible problem in collecting rig duplicates. Unfortunately, there are no samples of higher-grade material greater than 1.6 ppm in this sample set, so it is difficult to extrapolate into ore-grade material.




Figure 11-1 Comparison of Y-Split and Straight Split Rig Duplicate Methods

11.1.2 Sample Analysis

In 2017, Core and RC samples are submitted to American Assay Laboratory (AAL) in Reno, Nevada, which is ISO Certified. RC chips from the 2019 drilling were submitted to ALS in Tucson, Arizona (ISO Certified). Initially in 2020, RC samples from five drillholes were sent to ALS in Tucson, Arizona. The remaining core and RC samples were submitted to AAL in Reno, Nevada for the 2020 and 2021 drilling. Standard Reference Material (SRM) with gold mineralization, purchased from commercial providers, or blank standards are inserted every 20th sample as part of the normal sample number sequence. The geologists supervise the SRM and blank sample insertions to assure uniformity.

At AAL samples were prepared as follows:

- Samples are organized in sequence to check for duplicates and missing samples, a label is generated and applied to each sample, and after crushing and pulverizing rejects receive the sample label designation. Sample preparation is essentially the same for core and reverse circulation (RC) samples.
- Samples are oven dried, as necessary, for 8 to 48 hours.
- Entire samples are passed through a jaw crusher, achieving 70% passing 6 mesh, with weights recorded. River rock, considered a blank, is run through the jaw crusher prior to each job; the "waste" control sample is collected and run through assay procedure with the submitted samples, to check for contamination. The jaw crusher is air blast cleaned before each sample is crushed.
- Samples are then passed through a roll crusher, which reduces the sample 90% passing 10 mesh.
- Samples are then split through a Jones (riffle) Splitter, resulting in about 200 g of sample for pulverization. The splitter and collection pans are cleaned between each sample with air blast.
- Samples are then pulverized using a Ring Mill to obtain 90% passing 150 mesh. Ten percent of the pulverized samples are checked and recorded by screening to confirm results by the lab.
- Metallic Screen sample preparation is as above, but 1000 g. or all of the sample if less than 1kg. are pulverized. Of that 850 g are split out for screening to +/- 150 mesh separate Fire Assay. Weights are recorded to calculate weighted average grades for the sample and analyze Au distribution. A rotary splitter may be used to select the bulk 1000 g sample for this procedure, with air blast cleaning between samples.

At American Assay Laboratories (AAL), samples are fire assayed as follows:

- Fire assaying is the quantitative determination in which precious metals are separated from impurities by fusion. A sample of unknown concentration is combined with flux containing litharge (PbO) and various other compounds. The dense molten lead dissolves the precious metals and separates them from everything else that becomes dissolved, absorbed, or encapsulated into the less dense molten slag that floats on the lead. After the molten material has been poured and cooled, the lead (containing precious metals) can be separated out. Then when re-heated in a cupel, molten lead is absorbed by the cupel leaving behind a prill containing the desired precious metals for analysis.

- Samples are fired using typical procedures, in batches of 72. Each batch therefore has at least 3 QAQC control samples (1 in 20) inserted into the batch.
- The initial pass is to do a 1 assay ton (about 30 g) fire assay with acid digestion of the prill with ICP analysis for Au. If the resulting value is above 10 ppm Au, a second 2 assay ton fire assay (about 60 g) is done on remaining pulps, with a gravimetric finish. The results of 1 and 2 assay ton Au analyses were graphed, as below in Figure 11-2.

This information would be useful in any discussion regarding the necessity of routine 2AT assays for samples under 100 ppm Au.




Figure 11-2 Comparison of 1AT with 2AT Charges
Three pairs of assays are not presented due to original assays being reported as ">100 ppm"

In 2017, copper and silver were analyzed by AAL using a 0.5 g sample of the pulp, digested in nitric and hydrochloric acid, and heated to complete digestion. The concentration is measured with ICP finish. If the result was over 10,000 ppm Cu or 100 ppm Ag, a 2 g sample was assayed by the same process.

Samples collected in 2019 were submitted to ALS in Tucson, Arizona. Samples were prepared by first weighing the samples, logging them into the ALS global tracking system and then dried in ovens. Samples

are then crushed to 70% passing 2mm, split using a Boyd rotary splitter, and then the split is pulverized to 85% passing 75 microns. Samples were analyzed for gold by fire assay at ALS Reno, Nevada. If the resulting gold assay exceeded the 10 ppm, the sample was re-assayed with a gravimetric finish. 144 samples with gold assays greater than 1 ppm, were re-analyzed for gold and copper by cyanide leach at ALS Vancouver, British Columbia.

Five RC drillholes from the start of the 2020 drilling had samples submitted to ALS in Tucson, Arizona. Sample preparation and gold assay analysis was the same as describe for the 2019 samples. Three drillholes were analyzed for an additional 33 elements using four acid digestion. Table 11-1 summarizes what elements were analyzed by drillhole.


Table 11-1 ALS Element Analysis by Drillhole

BHID	Analysis
AZG-20S-01	Au, Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, S, Sb, Sc, Sr, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Zn
AZG-20S-05	Au, Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, S, Sb, Sc, Sr, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Zn
AZG-20S-06	Au, Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, S, Sb, Sc, Sr, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Zn
AZG-20S-08	Au
AZG-20S-09	Au

The remaining RC and core samples from the 2020 and 2021 drilling were submitted to AAL, in Reno Nevada. Samples were prepared and analyzed for gold as described for the 2017 drilling above. Metallurgical holes AZG-20S-21, and AZG-20S-22 were analyzed for an additional 35 elements (see Table 11-1 plus Ce, and Y) using four-acid digestion. 123 samples were selected for copper by cyanide leach analysis.

11.1.3 Homogeneity and QAQC Analysis

In 2017, one hundred two American Assay pulps were selected and compared to a second certified assay laboratory, Skyline Laboratories (ISO certified). The samples were mostly random, but it was specified that ore-grade samples are somewhat over-represented. Skyline conducted a 1AT/AA assay for gold, followed by a 2AT/GR finish for samples over 10 ppm Au. With the exception of one sample the assays compare favorably. The data show that AAL is in reasonably good agreement with the third-party lab on the initial 1AT assays (Figure 11-3).



**Figure 11-3 Comparison of Skyline with American 1AT Assays, for 2017 Program Pulps
Three pairs of assays are not presented due to original assays being reported as ">100 ppm"**

Thirty-eight samples of coarse rejects prepared by American Assay were selected for duplicate analysis at Skyline Laboratories. The entire coarse reject was utilized. An approximate 250 g sample was split utilizing a Jones riffle splitter. The resulting sample was pulped to 85% passing 200 mesh. A 1AT Au fire assay was done as a first pass, with AA finish. Skyline produced both AA and Gravimetric finishes for their work. Results appear to be reasonably comparable (Figure 11-4).




Figure 11-4 Comparison of Original Pulp Analysis by AAL and Skyline on AAL Coarse Rejects

An initial 50 samples were selected for metallic screen assaying, done by AAL. Samples were selected with Au grade ranges from nil to high grade. The weighted average metallic screen assay is plotted against the accepted (average of repeat assays, where applicable) results, to analyze heterogeneity. Results depicted below (Figure 11-5) show that except for two samples, there is excellent correlation.




Figure 11-5 Comparison of Original Assay with Metallic Screen Analysis of Coarse Reject, both by AAL

Thirty-two rejects were sent to AAL to produce a bulk pulp (500 g) which was assayed for consistency with the original 250 g pulp. The selected grades covered the entire span of Au grades from 1 ppm Au to 100 ppm Au. The results are summarized in Figure 11-6.




Figure 11-6 Comparison of Original Assay with Assay of 500 g Pulp from Coarse Reject

Graphical analysis of QAQC Reference Standard results were done on every sample assay batch supplied, as seen below. If a result was outside of two standard deviations, based on values provided by the vendor of the standard, the surrounding 10 samples were re-assayed. The results were reviewed for consistency and potential assay problems.

Reference samples were inserted into the sample stream as every 20th sample. The basic pattern started with a 'waste' sample followed by a randomly selected material (Table 11-2). On occasion, the order was altered, and sometimes an extra sample was inserted, especially on small batches of samples so as to have at least two controls. This pattern results in a minimum 5% of all samples being control samples. Analysis of final reports shows that the actual percentage of controls was 6.1% for the 2017 drilling program. Codes names, as shown in Table 11-2 were used for ease in designation, but no identifiers were allowed to show on the bags for the control samples except a sample number. In reverse circulation drilling, control samples were inserted at the same frequency, but on a random basis, and more of the W samples were utilized. Results for each of the materials are presented in Figures 11-7 through 11-13.

Table 11-2 Listing of Reference Samples for the 2017 Copperstone Drilling Campaign

Code	Type	Reference ID	Source Company	Expected Au (ppm)	Std Dev	Au Certified
MSL	Pulp	S107009x	MEG	4.7340	0.1940	Yes
MSM	Pulp	S107010x	MEG	6.4050	0.3020	Yes
MS	Pulp	S107011x	MEG	9.2840	0.4340	Yes
MSH	Pulp	S107012x	MEG	16.5030	0.6260	Yes
MH	Pulp	OxP50	Rocklabs	14.8900	0.4930	Yes
L	Pulp	17.02	MEG	0.5110	0.0300	Yes
Blank	Pulp	11.04	MEG	0.0015	-	Yes
W	Rock	Waste	Kerr	0.0050	-	No




Figure 11-7 Analytical Results for Control Sample MSL, All 2017 Drilling




Figure 11-8 Analytical Results for Control Sample MSM, All 2017 Drilling




Figure 11-9 Analytical Results for Control Sample MS, All 2017 Drilling




Figure 11-10 Analytical Results for Control Sample MSH, All 2017 Drilling




Figure 11-11 Analytical Results for Control Sample MH, All 2017 Drilling




Figure 11-12 Analytical Results for Control Sample L, All 2017 Drilling




Figure 11-13 Analytical Results for Control Sample W, All 2017 Drilling

All but one assay of MSL, by AAL, have been within ± 2 STDEV of accepted value at 0.525 ppm Au. That sample and 10 surrounding samples were rerun. The core samples returned repeatable values and the control repeated outside of the range again (0.517 ppm Au). This was deemed a bad control and the other results were accepted.

All but one assay of MSM, by AAL, have been within ± 2 STDEV of accepted value and no actions have been taken related to concern about assay results. That sample and included interval were rerun with additional controls added because the original was insufficient for another analysis. All samples were within limits.

There were two incidents of the L standard being out of range. In one case (0.701 ppm Au), a re-assay of the sample (0.539 ppm Au) and surrounding core samples came back in within range and included samples also acceptable. In the second case a low value was nearly repeated very near the lower end of the acceptable range. Because adjacent samples checked with the first run, the results were accepted.

The "waste" control sample consists of broken pieces of abrasive reddish concrete bricks; broken pieces give better monitoring of the coarse crushing stage at the laboratory sample prep area, as opposed to utilizing silica sand or pulverized blank standards.

Analytical results for the waste samples from AAL are plotted on Figure 11-13. All assays have been ≤ 0.012 ppm Au with the lowest values at below detection (BDL) and the average at 0.005 ppm Au. There were no samples that required a review during the 2017 drilling. The data show that contamination during sample preparation is minimal and probably in the range of a few parts per billion.

QA/QC protocol for the 2019 drilling was completed using a combination of duplicates, SRMs and Blank material. Two types of duplicate analysis were performed. Crusher duplicates where two pulps are made from the coarse reject, testing for homogenization of coarse reject and pulp duplicates where a second assay is made from the same pulverized sample, testing for homogenization of the pulverized sample. After every 30 drill samples, both of these duplicates are added to the assay stream. Blanks were made from commercially bought paver blocks and inserted as one in every 30 samples. One in every 30 samples is a gold bearing SRM alternating between CDN-GS-7G and CDN-GS-P4G. Analysis of the actual insertion rate of duplicates blanks and SRMs is approximately 1 in 20, 1 in 30, and 1 in 25 respectively. The overall coverage of control samples is 12% or roughly 1 in 8 samples.

An analysis of 82 crusher duplicates compared to the original (Figure 11-14) showed excellent agreement with an R^2 correlation coefficient of 0.99. Assays with values greater than 0.1 g/t Au do not show a significant spread. The apparent spread of assays at lower gold grades are an artifact of a log scale. Analysis of 81 pulp duplicates (Figure 11-15) similarly shows good agreement with an R^2 value of 0.96.

An analysis of 132 blanks (Figure 14-16) shows 123 (94%) samples had gold values below an acceptable limit of 0.01 g/t. CDN-GS-P4G is a low-grade gold standard with a value of 0.468 g/t and a two standard deviations range of ± 0.052 g/t by fire assay. Figure 11-17 shows 62 of 66 (94%) results from that standard were within the defined limits, and no apparent bias is observable. CDN-GS-7G is a high-grade gold standard with a value of 7.19 g/t and a two standard deviations range of ± 0.37 g/t by fire assay. Figure 11-18 shows 58 of 62 (94%) results from that standard were within the defined limits. No bias is observed in the analysis.

While a QA/QC coverage greater than 15% of the total sample data would be more robust, the combined evidence from the duplicates, blanks, and standards indicates the sample results from ALS are accurate.




Figure 11-14 Analysis of Crusher Duplicates




Figure 11-15 Analysis of Pulp Duplicate




Figure 11-16 Analysis of Blank Material




Figure 11-17 Analysis of CDN-GS-P4G




Figure 11-18 Analysis of CDN-GS-7G

QA/QC protocol for the 2020-2021 drilling incorporated the use of duplicates, several different materials for blanks and four SRMs. Analysis of the QA/QC show insertion rates of approximately 1 in 10 for duplicates, and 1 in 40 for both blanks and SRMs. The overall coverage of QA/QC samples is 16% or roughly 1 in 6 samples. HRC notes that both ALS and AAL show insertion of internal QA/QC samples into the sample stream.

No analysis of the QA/QC results from April and May 2021 infill core drilling program was included in this report since the drilling was not included in the mineral resource estimate. However, review of assay certificates from the program indicate QA/QC procedures described in the for the 2020-2021 underground exploration drilling were followed.

Analysis of duplicates from AAL compared to originals showed excellent agreement with an R² value of 0.9994 (Figure 11-19). Gold grades greater than 0.1 g/t did not show any spread and the apparent spread of results less than 0.05 g/t Au is the result of the log scale. Of note, HRC did not find records of duplicates being incorporated into the sample streams for drillholes submitted to ALS.

Blank material consisting of commercial bricks, as well as certified blanks from Rocklabs and Shea Clark Smith were analyzed for both labs. Figure 11-20 shows 116 of 120 (97%) blanks were less than 0.01 g/t Au.

CDN-GS-P4G and CDN-GS-7G SRMs were once again incorporated into the QA/QC protocol. Figure 11-21 shows 42 of the 45 (93%) samples were within two standard deviations for CDN-GS-P4G. 100% of samples for CDN-GS-7G were within two standard deviations as shown in Figure 11-22. Rocklabs Oxp50 is a high-grade gold standard with an expected value of 14.89 g/t Au and a two standard deviation range of ± 0.2465 g/t. Figure 11-23 shows one sample did not have a sufficient sample size for analysis leaving 15 of 18 samples

(83%) within the two standard deviation range. Only two instances of the Rocklab SRM OxQ75 were used for QA/QC. The expected lab result is 50.03 g/t Au and the samples returned values of 48.6 g/t Au and 49.1 g/t.

The combined evidence from the QA/QC protocol for the 2020-2021 drilling indicate the sample results from ALS and AAL are accurate.




Figure 11-19 Analysis of Duplicates




Figure 11-20 Analysis of Blank Results




Figure 11-21 Analysis of CDN-GS-P4




Figure 11-22 Analysis of CDNGS-7G




Figure 11-23 Analysis of Oxp50

11.1.5 Sample Security

All drillhole samples are stored at the logging area, under the supervision of the geologists. The area is fenced off from general public entry. Only authorized employees, the supervising geologists, and trained technicians are allowed access.

Samples were delivered directly to AAL by Kerr employees in 2017. In 2019, and 2020-2021 samples were shipped to assay labs directly from site. Chain-of-custody documentation is employed, with the geologist, site manager, delivery employee and lab recipient signing for custody and receipt. The samples are placed onto pallets and wrapped for shipment; the pallets are inspected for integrity throughout shipment to laboratories.

Paper copies of drill logs, geotechnical logs, survey data, shift reports and sample transmittal forms are stored at the geology office and in digital form in the SharePoint files for Copperstone. Images of the core are stored in the SharePoint files. All original data is stored on an external drive for security purposes and backed up on the main geology computer.

11.2 **Cyprus**

11.2.1 Sample Preparation

The primary drill core and chip samples generated by Cyprus were shipped to a Cyprus prep facility in Montana where pulps were made. Pulps were distributed to the various analytical labs from that site (McCartney, 1998).

11.2.2 Analysis

Cyprus used several laboratories as their primary assay laboratory for exploration drillholes. Before constructing the Copperstone mine laboratory, drill samples were sent to Cone Laboratories in Reno, Nevada, GeoMonitor in Hesperia, California, or CYMET (location not documented) for assay. Cone, GeoMonitor and CYMET all assayed gold and silver by the same methods. Gold and silver were assayed by digesting (amount not documented) sample in aqua regia and reading the gold concentration on an atomic absorption spectrometer. Select mineralized intervals were assayed for gold by standard fire assay on a one assay ton pulp sample with gold concentrations read on an atomic absorption spectrometer following dissolution in acid. Copper was assayed for select drill intervals by aqua regia digestion and read on an atomic absorption spectrometer.

Drill samples assayed at the Copperstone mine lab were assayed by cyanide leach and mineralized intervals (generally Au cyanide greater than 0.1 oz/ton) were assayed by standard fire assay on a one assay ton (29.157 grams) pulp sample with gold concentrations read on an atomic absorption spectrometer following dissolution in acid.

11.2.3 QAQC

HRC is unaware of any information indicating Cyprus applied any QAQC measures during their drilling operations. However, steps have been taken by other operators to verify their assay results.

Upon AMEC's recommendation, American Bonanza submitted 232 Cyprus pulps (approximately 7.5% of all Cyprus gold assays) to AAL for check assay to determine the accuracy of the gold assays from the Cyprus drill campaign. The Cyprus drill intervals were selected randomly by AMEC from the assays entered from Cyprus drillholes.

AMEC plotted the results of the check assay program and found the Cyprus assays to be accurate with no significant bias relative to the AAL check assays. Three of the 12 SRMs submitted with the program were outside acceptable limits. AAL re-assayed project samples around the three failed SRMs and found no significant change in gold concentration. AMEC concluded that the accuracy of the Cyprus assays was acceptable based on the results of the check assay program.

11.2.4 Security

HRC is not aware of any documentation pertaining to the security of samples during Cyprus's drilling operations.

11.3 **Santa Fe**

HRC is not aware of any documentation regarding Santa Fe's sampling procedures during their drilling operations. However, only one 10 ft. zone of significant mineralization was encountered. HRC reviewed the results of nearby drilling and notes significant intercepts of similar grade within 50 ft. of Santa Fe's interval. HRC did not find sufficient reason to disqualify Santa Fe's drilling.

11.4 **Royal Oak**

11.4.1 Sample Preparation

HRC is not aware of any documentation pertaining to the preparation of samples during Royal Oak's drilling operations.

11.4.2 Analysis

Royal Oak, like American Bonanza, employed AAL as their primary assay laboratory. AAL assayed gold by standard fire assay on a 30-gram pulp sample with gold concentrations read on an atomic absorption spectrometer following dissolution in acid (AMEC, 2006).

11.4.3 QAQC

HRC is unaware of any information indicating Royal Oak applied any QAQC measures during their drilling operations. However, steps have been taken by other operators to verify their assay results. These efforts have been reviewed by independent parties in 2006 and 2011. The conclusions from both reviews are detailed below. It is HRC opinion that sufficient work has been done to verify the results for Royal Oaks drilling.

Upon AMEC's recommendation, American Bonanza submitted 30 Royal Oak quarter core samples to AAL for check assay to determine the accuracy of the gold assays from the Royal Oak drill campaign. AAL assayed according to American Bonanza's normal protocol (2AT fire assay with gravimetric finish). The Royal Oak drill intervals were selected by AMEC from available core intervals located at the Copperstone core shed. The

highest-grade intercepts were not available for sampling as they had apparently been consumed for check assay and metallurgical purposes. Information on Royal Oak's original QA/QC programs was not provided to AMEC.

AMEC plotted the results of the check assay program and found the AAL gold assays to be significantly different than the Royal Oak assays. There is, in fact, an extremely poor correlation between the paired gold assays. SRM results for the program were found to be acceptable. Given that no original QA/QC data exist to support the Royal Oak gold assays and that this recent quarter-core check assay program does not support the accuracy of the Royal Oak gold assays, AMEC has a low confidence in the original Royal Oak gold assays. In total, there are 28 Royal Oak gold assays in the assay database that are greater than 0.1 oz/t. AMEC recommends that American Bonanza resample these zones where possible, re-assay them, and replace the original Royal Oak assays with these assays. Where this is not possible, AMEC recommends that American Bonanza re-drill these zones where no supporting assays from Cyprus or American Bonanza core samples are available.

In 2006 AMEC recommended checking the assays from the Royal Oak core holes. Thirty quartered core samples were submitted by AMEC to resample the core with only one sample from within the current resource area. The assay results from AMEC were never provided to American Bonanza and not put in the 2006 Preliminary Assessment Report (Telesto, 2011).

Based on AMEC's 2006 Preliminary Assessment Report, the correlation coefficient performed by AMEC had an R value of 0.71. Although the AMEC R-value was low, the samples collected by AMEC were outside the resource area and have no influence in American Bonanza's resource. Also, every sample collected by AMEC was significantly below the cutoff grade which also has no effect on the gold mineral resource.

To ensure there was no issue with the Royal Oak drillhole data, American Bonanza reviewed the Royal Oak's certified assay sheets from AAL and discovered a total of 18 samples were sampled twice within the resource area. Of these 18 samples 11 of the samples were above current expected cutoff grade of 0.14 oz/ton Au.

American Bonanza performed two correlation coefficient charts for the 18 samples in the resource area that were sampled twice and for the 11 samples that were above the 0.14 ounce/ton Au cutoff. A trend-line was drawn through both correlation coefficient charts. The R-value for all 18 samples that had been assayed twice was 0.9884 and the R-value for the 11 samples above the 0.14 oz/ton Au cutoff was 0.9857. These R-values show very good correlation and give high confidence that the values are correct and that the Royal Oak Mines RC and core sample assays are accurate.

11.4.4 Security

HRC is not aware of any documentation pertaining to the security of samples during Royal Oak's drilling operations.

11.5 **Asia Minerals**

The discussion for Asia Minerals sampling procedures, analysis, and security during their operations relies heavily on the technical report completed by MDA in 2000. The report was written at the time of Asia

Minerals operation. HRC reviewed supporting documentation from Asia Minerals and found no discrepancies.

11.5.1 Sample Preparation (MDA, 2000)

Two geologists conducted the sampling program. The first 2/3 of the 2000 program was under the supervision of Graham Kelsey. The last 1/3 of the 2000 program (including surface sampling), and all of the 1998 program, was under the supervision of Ian McCartney. Mr. Kelsey is a geological consultant to Asia and was the former Chief Geologist at the Copperstone Mine for Cyprus. He has over 20 years' experience in gold and base metal exploration, much of that in Arizona. Mr. McCartney, presently a geological consultant to Asia, is a P.Eng. in Canada with over 20 years international experience in gold and base metal exploration.

The contractor's RC drill rigs were well equipped with high volume/pressure compressors and air-cyclone sample collection equipment standard to the industry. The drill column was regularly air-purged by the drill operator during the sampling routine. Standard care was taken to minimize sample contamination from the drill column, collection and field-splitting equipment. The cyclone-splitter unit was periodically washed with a pressurized water hose to remove residual material. Samples were airdried on site prior to shipping to the lab.

The RC samples were collected at 1.5-meter intervals and split at the drill site using a cyclone/rotating cone splitter unit. A member of the contractor's drill crew was trained and assigned the sampling function. When drill chip returns were sufficient, the splitting equipment was adjusted to deliver a minimum of 7.26 kilograms per 1.5 meters to the sample port.

The sample was initially collected in a cleaned 22-liter plastic bucket, then transferred into pre-numbered porous cloth bags with hole ID and from-to footage permanently marked on both the exterior of the bag and a sample card affixed to the bag. The RC sample splits had an average weight of approximately 13 kilograms.

Drill cores were placed into standard waxed cardboard core boxes (3 meters/box) at the drill, and wood footage indicator blocks were placed at the end of each core barrel pull interval. Each core box was permanently labeled with appropriate "Hole ID" and "from/to" interval lengths. A lid was affixed upon filling each box.

Prior to core logging and splitting, geotechnical data was collected for all core holes (logs were completed for all core holes), including RQD data, interval recovery percentage, and other parameters. These measurements were systematically recorded on a standardized geotechnical log form. The core surface was brushed/washed with water to remove drilling mud and photographed prior to logging. Drill core was logged for lithology, mineralization, alteration, and structural features according to a standardized logging template and recorded by Asia personnel on a standardized geology log form.

Geology codes and observations were marked on the core using permanent yellow or blue wax china markers. Key mineral observations to be preserved for the reference archive portion of the core were circled or X-marked with red china marker.

Core sample intervals were determined by the on-site Asia geologists on the basis of lithologic, alteration, or mineral abundance contacts where observed. The objective in determining sample boundaries was to characterize the geological association of gold. The maximum core sample interval was 1.8 meters, the minimum interval was 0.6 meter. Sample interval boundaries and interval depth designations were permanently marked on each sample segment with a red china marker prior to sawing.

Core samples and inserted coarse reference samples were assigned sequential series of numbers that are independent of hole number or footage, using standard triplicate lab tag booklets. Duplicate assay tags were inserted in the core boxes at the downhole end of each sample interval. Only the pre-numbered sequential sample ID sequence was indicated on the assay tags. Coarse reference standards were slotted into the sample sequence after suspected highly-mineralized intervals using the sequential number system.

The triplicate assay tag retained in the sample booklet was filled out with relevant Hole ID, sample interval and geology code(s). The sample ID, and sample interval was also entered on the corresponding geologic log form.

Drill core was sawed in half utilizing a conventional rock saw and water-cooled diamond impregnated blade. The saw blade and core-carriage were pressure sprayed and cleaned of rock fragments between each sample interval. After sawing a sample interval, one assay ticket was taken from the core box and inserted with one-half of the core in a sample bag. The half core retained for the reference archive was systematically returned to the core box with the duplicate tag positioned at the end of each sample interval. Attempts were made to either retain or re-label prior core markings for the archive cores.

Visible gold, where observed in only one-half of the core pair, was retained with the archive/reference core portion. The outside of each laboratory sample bag was labeled with permanent magic marker to indicate only the sample number. Sample bags were immediately and permanently sealed with lock ties.

11.5.2 Sample Analysis (MDA, 2000)

In 1998, Asia utilized Intertek Testing Services (Bondar Clegg) as the principal analytical laboratory for all Copperstone project drill sample analyses. In 2000, Bondar Clegg was also used, but the lab was no longer affiliated with Intertek. Initial sample prep was conducted at the Bondar Clegg sample preparation facility in Reno, NV. Sample pulps were then forwarded directly by the prep facility to the Bondar Clegg analytical lab in Vancouver for analyses. Trace element ICP geochemical analyses were conducted at the Skyline-Actlabs facility in Tucson, Arizona. Both Bondar Clegg and Skyline-Actlabs are reputable and industry-respected commercial analytical service organizations that operate on an international scale. Lab procedures, including any variations to the lab's routine procedures, are summarized below:

- Samples were dried in stainless steel trays (sample tags remained with sample in drying equipment);
- The total sample was crushed to 95% -10 mesh (about 2 mm). Bondar Clegg's normal process was crushing to 75% -10 mesh.
- Crushed material was reduced with a riffle splitter to separate a nominal 1 kilogram for large pulp prep procedure;

- Rejects from step 2 were stored at the lab for future work;
- The 500-gram subset was pulverized to 90% passing -150 mesh using a shatter box type pulverizer);
- A nominal 250-gram subset of pulp was sent for analysis;
- Remaining pulps were stored for future work.
- Re-homogenization of the nominal 250-gram pulp received from the preparation section;
- A two-assay ton (~58.3 gram) charge was weighed from the 250-gram pulp and subjected to standard fire assay with an atomic absorption finish;
- All values over the range of AA reliability (+10 ppm Au) were re-assayed using two-assay ton fire assay with a gravimetric finish.

Metallic screen or “screen fire” analyses are considered one of the most accurate methods of assaying samples containing significant amounts of particulate or coarse gold. Asia utilized metallic screen analyses for all sample intervals considered significant by the site geologists. Selection of significant intervals for metallic screen analyses was generally determined by the presence of high-grade mineralization in the routine assays. At the geologist’s discretion, sample intervals were submitted for screen fire analyses if warranted to assist in interpretation or confirm results from earlier drilling programs. When submitting sample intervals for screen fire analyses, the entire sample sequence was submitted, including internal zones of both high and low-grade material. All metallic screen analyses of Asia samples were conducted at the Bondar Clegg facilities. In summary, the screen fire procedures employed by Bondar Clegg were as follows:

- A large, nominal 1000-gram, pulp was prepared per normal sample prep protocols;
- A 500-gram pulp was weighed and wet-sieved through a 150-mesh screen with the plus and minus 150 mesh material segregated and dried;
- After homogenization, a two-assay ton split of the minus 150 mesh fraction was fire assayed;
- The entire plus 150 mesh fraction was weighed and fire assayed;
- The two fractional assays were combined by weight-averaging to determine the reported assay.

Thirty (30) element ICP scans were performed on a subset of the mineralized samples, including all samples within significant intervals. This scan included, among others, the following elements which were considered of greatest interest to Asia geologists: Cu, Ag, Fe, Mn, As, and Sb.

11.5.3 Homogeneity and QAQC Analysis

As a quality assurance measure, BZA reports that Asia geologists routinely inserted coarse, barren reference material into the drill sample series following drill samples with observed visible gold or following intervals of suspected high-grade mineralization. The barren standards allowed monitoring for possible contamination, and grade smearing from the laboratory’s sample prep equipment during processing of high-grade drill samples.

Barren core reference material was prepared on-site by selecting intervals of an existing drill core exhibiting a minimum of 6 meters of continuous assays below the lab's Au detection limit of 5 ppb. Reference material was visually inspected by Asia geologists and prepared from barren, non-fractured, unaltered, sulfide-free, and uncontaminated half-cores from drill intercepts in unmineralized hanging wall geological units. Selected coarse standard material was broken into small pieces and homogenized on a cleaned concrete slab. The coarse, barren material was then placed in clean unmarked sample bags of the same type used to submit drill core samples to the analytical lab.

The coarse, barren standard material was documented and inserted into sample series by Asia personnel. The coarse standards were inserted at irregular intervals and submitted with the assay lab shipments per the previously described protocol.

Asia monitored the commercial lab's sample preparation and analytical performance by inserting "blind" coarse blanks, reject duplicates, core shed reference samples and CANMET Standard Reference Material into the sample stream. The QA/QC protocols applied generally conformed to those recommended to Asia by MRDI (1999). Control samples inserted by Asia comprised approximately 5% of the sample stream through each of the major components in the primary lab's sample preparation and analyses flow sheet. Appropriate material (coarse pre-crush blanks, duplicate rejects, certified standard pulps, etc.) was utilized for quality assurance throughout the prep and assay procedures. The reference materials were inserted with no unique identifiers that would differentiate them from other materials in the sample prep/analytical stream.

A 120-gram split of every 20th process pulp was prepared and submitted to the qualified umpire laboratory. The primary preparation facility was also responsible for inserting blind pulp blanks and Asia's SRM's into sample batches submitted for umpire assay. The analytical protocols of the umpire lab were the same as those used at the primary lab.

Asia's primary lab was the Bondar-Clegg facility in Vancouver, B. C. American Assay Laboratories, located in Reno, Nevada was used as the umpire lab. American Assay is a reputable facility and participates in a full ISO certification program. Asia instituted the corroboration program recommended by MRDI (1999). The primary lab's preparation facility was responsible for preparing coarse reject duplicates (1 in 20), coarse (pre-crush) blanks (1 in 20) and for inserting client supplied standard reference material (1 in 25) into the process sample stream. The standard reference material used was CCRMP gold ore MA-1b with a certified value of 0.497 +/- 0.008 oz/T gold. Following insertion of the quality control samples, a new sequential number sequence was applied to ensure no unique identifiers for QA-QC materials would appear in the submitted sample ID sequence as received by the analytical facility.

The primary analytical facility was requested to report the results of its own internal (non-client) QA/QC sample checks for all batches. These included standard pulp and blank duplicate analyses.

A 120-gram split of every 20th process pulp was submitted to the umpire laboratory. In addition, all samples within significant drill sample intervals were submitted to the umpire lab, including any internal low-grade samples within the interval. All quality assurance samples were prepared and delivered to the umpire lab by the primary lab's Reno preparation facility. The primary preparation facility was also responsible for inserting pulp blanks (1 in 20) and client SRM (1 in 20) into the batches for the umpire lab. The samples were

renumbered in a similar fashion to the renumbering employed for the primary analytical facility. The assay procedures used at the umpire lab were the same as those of the primary lab.

Due to budgetary constraints, no check assays were submitted to the umpire lab during the 2000 drill program.

The ability to consistently produce acceptable results in the analytical end of the primary and umpire lab's flow sheet was monitored with certified standards and blanks (SRM). The mean of the SRM assay values during Asia's program was 0.508 oz/ton Au versus the certified value of 0.497 +/- 0.008 oz/ton Au. The relative difference was +2% during the 1998 drilling program. The SRM analysis reported an acceptable relative difference consistently below 5%. Only one of the standard blank pulps submitted, reported a value in excess of twice the lab's lower detection limit of 5 ppb (MRDI, 1999).

Results of the lab's internal monitoring via periodic repeat analyses of pulp duplicates and (non-client) standard blanks were also well within acceptable tolerances.

Coarse reference material and duplicate reject analyses were used to confirm the integrity of the sample preparation protocols and monitor performance of the primary lab. Concern regarding potential contamination of sample prep equipment from processing high-grade Copperstone samples was mitigated by intentionally inserting coarse blanks into the sample stream following suspected high-grade sample intervals. When discrepancies in the analyses of the coarse sample blanks were indicated, they were investigated and resolved to Asia's satisfaction by the primary laboratory. Given the inherent variance of Asia's coarse reference material, comparative analyses of coarse blanks and duplicates conducted by the primary lab reported relative variance within acceptable limits.

Sample pulps, standards and blanks submitted for analyses at the umpire facility reported very high correlation (correlation coefficient of 0.99) of primary and umpire lab results. Relative variance for the sample population (92 samples of varied grades) submitted for umpire analyses was well within industry standards.

11.5.4 Sample Security (MDA, 2000)

All samples remained in the custody of Asia geologists until shipped to the analytical laboratory. All access to drill core logging, sample preparation and storage facilities by other than Asia personnel was recorded; including the holes and intervals possibly accessed and examined in each instance. The on-site geologist maintained a standard log form for this purpose. All drill core was stored in a sealed and locked shipping container on site. During logging, drill core was returned to the container for overnight storage.

Shipping from the project site to the lab was by USF Bestway, a lab-designated commercial carrier. The samples were picked up from the project site on 1.3 x 1.3 x 1 meter crib pallets, supplied by the analytical lab, and delivered directly to the sample preparation facility. Chain of custody control was documented through standard bills of lading, as well as MRDI-recommended chain of custody forms.

11.6 American Bonanza

The discussion for American Bonanza's sampling procedures, analysis, and security during their operations relies heavily on the technical report completed by AMEC in 2006. The report was written at the time of American Bonanza's operation. Telesto's 2011 technical report re-affirms the procedures outlined in AMEC's 2006 report. HRC confirms sampling procedures conducted by American Bonanza from 2003 to 2008 to be appropriate. Drilling from 2012 to 2013 was excluded from the model.

11.6.1 Sample Preparation

The following discussion on sample preparation employed by American Bonanza is from AMEC (2006):

Drill core is placed into standard waxed cardboard core boxes by the drill helper at the drill site. Core run intervals are marked on wood blocks and placed at the end of each core run. Core boxes are marked with the drillhole name and drill interval.

Drill core is retrieved from the drill rigs two to three times daily by the project geologists and brought to the core shed. There, core is photographed and logged for lithology and geotechnical information. Lithology log fields for each drillhole include rock type, rock qualifier (grain size, fragment types, iron type, etc.), alteration mineralogy and intensity, structure, and reaction to hydrochloric acid.

Core is then marked for sampling by the geologist on nominal two-foot intervals in visibly mineralized material and on nominal five-foot intervals in visibly unmineralized material. American Bonanza drillholes are sampled in their entirety. Marked intervals are sawn in half by a technician at the core shed. A geologist or technician then bags one-half of the core for assay and the other one-half is retained for further study and third-party review.

Samples for a drillhole are submitted to American Assay & Environmental Laboratories (AAL) in Reno, Nevada as a single batch with four standard reference materials (SRMs) inserted in the project sample stream. Select mineralized intervals are marked for the measurement of specific gravity, which is also determined by AAL in Reno.

RC holes are drilled with water injection to stabilize the holes. RC samples are collected in five-foot intervals by drill helpers at the drill site. Approximately five pounds of material is collected from a rotary splitter (3 of 12 sections open for ¼ split) installed below the cyclone on the drill rig. Samples are bagged in micro-pore bags, prenumbered according to sequentially numbered sample tickets. Sample bags are then loaded into large plastic mesh bags, sealed with tamper-proof ties, and transported to the core shed.

A small portion of the cuttings are washed and placed in plastic chip trays. Chip trays are labeled with the hole name and the sample interval. RC cuttings are logged for lithology information. Lithology log fields for each drillhole include rock type, rock qualifier, alteration mineralogy and intensity, structure, and reaction to hydrochloric acid.

RC samples from a drillhole are submitted to AAL as a single batch with four SRMs inserted in the project sample stream.

At AAL, samples are prepared as follows:

- samples are first dried at 100°C until sufficiently dry for further preparation
- samples are then crushed to 75% passing a 10-mesh screen
- the sample is then split until a 300 to 500-gram subsample is generated
- the subsample is then pulverized to 75% passing a 150-mesh screen.

AAL conducts grind tests on 15% of the prepared samples. If a sample fails to meet grind specifications, samples around the failed sample are tested and all samples failing grind specifications are re-pulverized to meet specifications.

11.6.2 Analysis

American Bonanza employed AAL as their primary assay laboratory. AAL assayed gold and silver by standard fire assay on a 2-assay ton pulp sample with gold concentrations read on an electronic balance (gravimetric finish). Between one and three (mostly two) fire assays were performed for gold and silver for each sample. Copper was assayed by digesting 0.5 grams of sample in aqua regia and determining the assay value by atomic absorption spectrometry.

11.6.3 QAQC

American Bonanza regularly included four SRM samples with each drillhole laboratory submission to monitor and control assay quality. American Bonanza also submitted select drill intervals to an umpire laboratory for check assay. The four SRM's included two Nevada Bureau of Mines (NBM) certified SRMs and two SRMs generated in-house by American Bonanza from material at Copperstone. SRM assays represent approximately 4% of the American Bonanza assays in the database. AMEC was not provided with American Bonanza's protocol for evaluating the SRM results.

The two in-house American Bonanza SRM's, 'C-Ore' and 'C-Waste', represent ore-grade and waste-grade material, respectively. C-Ore was generated from material collected from the underground muck bay in the decline at the north end of the Copperstone pit. C-Waste was generated from barren RC cuttings from American Bonanza pre-collar drillholes. The SRM material was stored in five-gallon buckets in the core shed and submitted as nominal five-pound samples in the same bags as the Project samples. The SRM material was submitted to the assay laboratory unprocessed (meaning the material was not crushed, homogenized, or otherwise prepared). The C-Ore material was run-of-mine and resembled a coarse rock-chip sample. The C-Waste material was RC cuttings. No certification program was conducted on these materials to establish their homogeneity or recommended values for gold, silver, and copper.

AMEC plotted control charts for SRMs used in American Bonanza's quality control program. The gold control charts for the two NBM SRMs show that, overall, the AAL gold, silver, and copper assays are accurate and show no significant bias (AAL gold assays for SRM NBM-2b are shown to be biased slightly low). The gold and silver grades of the two SRMs are within the range of expected grades from mineralized project samples (though it could be argued that NBM-3b is too high grade), but the copper grades are significantly lower than the expected grades from copper mineralized material.

AMEC reports that as expected, the control charts for C-Ore and C-Waste show that these materials should not be used to control assay quality. The precision of the gold and copper assays is very poor and there is obvious variation with grade over time where the C-Ore and C-Waste material was likely replenished from different sources. These variations are not related to laboratory accuracy and cannot be predicted. The control charts show the AAL assays to have poor precision. The control charts show an unacceptable number of assays outside the designated limits for individual drillholes. This indicates that, though AAL assays are accurate on average; they are not precise. Put another way, AAL is able to accurately estimate the true value of a material when all the assay values are averaged, but each individual assay may be far from the true value.

At AMEC's request, AAL re-assayed 10 samples (including and around the failed SRM) from each failed batch in 2005 (based on NBM-2b assays). AMEC reviewed the results of this program and recommends that the new assays replace the old assays in the assay database. The re-assay gold values for NBM-2b for all but one batch were within acceptable limits. AMEC recommends that American Bonanza monitor the quality of AAL gold assays more closely and instruct AAL to re-assay batches which fail to meet quality control standards set for the program.

American Bonanza conducted a program of submitting select drill intervals to Inspectorate in Reno, Nevada to check the accuracy of AAL's gold assays. AMEC was unable to evaluate this check assay program because American Bonanza had reused sample number sequences and was unable to provide AMEC with a key to the original samples to compare the check assay values.

AMEC plotted the absolute relative difference (ARD) for pulp duplicate pairs against the cumulative frequency of the distributions for gold and silver for American Bonanza drill samples. AMEC considers assay precision to be adequate when greater than 90% of the pulp duplicate pairs yield absolute relative differences of less than 10%. These limits are represented by the red dashed lines on the figures.

When plotting all duplicate pairs, the AAL precision for gold and silver assays is adequate. Approximately 89% of the gold duplicate pairs and 96% of the silver duplicate pairs yield absolute relative differences of less than 10%. However, when the duplicate pairs, whose average assay is at or below the lower detection limit, are removed from the plots the precision for gold and silver degrades significantly. Approximately 62% of the gold duplicate pairs and only 7% of the silver duplicate pairs yield absolute relative differences of less than 10%. Typically, the assay precision of a group of samples is improved when pairs near the detection limit are removed because assays at the detection limit are, by definition, $\pm 100\%$. In this case, however, the detection limits for gold (0.003 oz/ton) and silver (0.2 oz/ton) are relatively high and so unmineralized samples (of which there are many) consistently return values below the detection limit, thereby producing a high percentage of zero ARD results.

AMEC concluded that the precision of AAL's gold and silver assays was marginal due to coarse gold and silver and the less-than-optimal sample preparation employed. AMEC recommended that American Bonanza improve the quality of their sample preparation protocol.

11.6.4 Security

Drill samples were transported from the drill site to the core shed by American Bonanza geologists and were stored in the secure core shed before being shipped directly from the mine site to AAL via DATS Trucking, Inc at regular intervals. Drill sample bags were closed with tamper-proof ties, and AAL was instructed to report any missing or damaged sample bags upon receipt.

12. DATA VERIFICATION

Data verification efforts carried out by HRC include:

- Discussions with AZG (Kerr) personnel;
- Personal investigation of the Project and field office;
- Manual and mechanical auditing of the drillhole database received from AZG;
- A limited audit of exploration work conducted;
- Review and evaluation of additional information obtained from historical reports and internal company reports.

12.1 Site Investigation

HRC representative and QP J.J. Brown, P.G., conducted an on-site inspection of the Copperstone Project on October 31 through November 2, 2017. Ms. Brown spent three full days at Project site accompanied by Kerr Mines Director of Exploration and Geology Brad Atkinson. While on site, Ms. Brown conducted general site and geologic field reconnaissance, including inspection of on-site facilities, examination of surface and underground bedrock exposures, and ground-truthing of reported drill collar locations. Ms. Brown also examined select core intervals from historic and recent drilling and reviewed with Kerr geology staff the conceptual geologic model, data entry and document management protocols, and drilling and sampling procedures and the associated quality assurance and quality control (“QA/QC”) methods presently employed.

Field observations during the site visit generally confirm previous reports on the geology of the Project area. Bedrock lithologies, alteration types, and significant structural features are all consistent with descriptions provided in existing Project reports, and the author did not see any evidence in the field that might significantly alter or refute the current interpretations regarding local geology and mineralization (as described in Section 7 of this report).

Specific core intervals from a variety of drillholes (both historic and modern) were selected for visual inspection based on a preliminary review of the drillhole logs and associated assay values. The core intervals were selected prior to the site visit based on a preliminary review of the drillhole logs. Not all core intervals requested were available, and efforts to locate those boxes were unsuccessful subsequent to the site visit. While the lack of select core intervals represents a limitation to the data validation effort, HRC considers this limitation negligible based on the cumulative positive results of all other data validation measures. In all cases, the core samples that were available for inspection accurately reflect the lithologies recorded on the logs, and the degree of visible alteration and evidence of mineralization observed is consistent with the grade range indicated by reported assay values.

Richard A. Schwering, P.G., SME-RM, of HRC conducted a follow-up site visit of the Copperstone Mine property between March 1st and March 5th, 2021. During the site visit, Mr. Schwering reviewed the following information with Mr. R. Mike Smith and Mr. Andrew Eubanks of AZG:

- Core and RC sample preparation during the 2020/2021 drilling campaign;
- Review of selected Core and RC chips;

- Site Tour of Underground, Open Pit; and
- Review of records, particularly downhole surveys, for the project.

Andrew Eubanks verbally described the logging and sampling procedure for the core and RC chips. Overall, the procedure is comparable to industry standards and best practices as described in Section 11. Mr. Eubanks also showed the area where core is cut, and verbally went over the cutting procedure which also conformed to industry standards and best practices. Documentation of the core logging RC sampling procedure was provided to Mr. Schwering and confirmed the verbal procedure described by Mr. Eubanks.

Review of core drillholes reveal the complexity of mineralization within the D zone. Visual indicators are reliable in defining where gold can occur, but not necessarily grade. Review of the drillhole logs shows the geologists on site are accurately describing lithology, alteration, and mineralization in a format that could be used for modeling. Review of the RC chips showed similar characteristics to the core. During the tour of the underground workings, Mr. Schwering observed several detachment fault related structures, sub-horizontal shallow dipping, indicating the overall trend of the mineralized domains in the model are oriented properly. The exposure of the CUVND domain southwest of Station 21, was particularly useful for ground truthing the model.

Significant progress on the organization of assay and drilling documents had been accomplished by AZG during the time between HRC's site visits. As a result, Mr. Schwering was able to complete a detailed audit of the underground drilling collars and down hole surveys. Mr. Schwering did find some underground drillholes in the database were oriented below horizontal when the surveys and drillhole logs indicate they were actually angled above horizontal. Mr. Schwering also found some downhole surveys did not correctly apply the correction for magnetic declination. Additionally, downhole surveys from 59 surface drillholes were found to not be recorded in the database. The database used for the mineral resource estimate was corrected to reflect the site visit.

12.2 Database Audit

The following tasks were completed as part of HRC's database audit:

- Mechanical audit of the database;
- Validation of the geologic information as compared to the paper logs; and
- Validation of the assay values contained in the exploration database as compared to assay certificates from records found on file in AZG's Copperstone Project field office.

The database provided to HRC contained the rock-type, alteration, geotechnical, specific gravity, assay, drillhole collar, and survey data.

12.2.1 Mechanical Audit

A mechanical audit of the drillhole database for drilling conducted prior to 2017 was completed using Leapfrog Geo software version 4.2.3 in 2017. The database was checked for missing values, duplicate records, interval overlap errors, from-to data exceeding maximum collar depth, and special (i.e. non-numeric or less

than zero) values. All mechanical audit errors were reviewed with Kerr staff and resolved prior to modeling and calculation of the mineral resource estimate.

The mechanical audit identified eight drillholes (Table 12-1) with duplicate collar coordinates and surveys which could not be resolved and were not included in the mineral resource estimate. Two of those drillholes, CS238A and CS-291, are outside the model extents and did not impact the modeling or mineral resource estimate. The remaining eight “DZ” drillholes are located in the D zone, but only one 2 ft. interval had significant gold grade. Tables 12-2 through 12-7 summarize the count and error types identified by the mechanical audit for the survey, assay, lithology, alteration, geotechnical, and specific gravity tables respectively.

Table 12-1 Drillholes Excluded from the Model by the Mechanical Audit

CS-238A	DZ12-2
CS-291	DZ12-3
DZ-3	DZ12-4
DZ-4	DZ12-5
DZ12-1	DZ12-6

Table 12-2 Issues within the Survey Table Identified by the Mechanical Audit.

Survey Table		
Issue	Type	Count
Duplicate collar and surveys	Warning	17
No surveys for collar	Warning	28
Wedge found (possible duplicate collar)	Warning	2

Table 12-3 Issues within the Assay Table Identified by the Mechanical Audit.

Assay Table		
Issue	Type	Count
To value exceeds max depth in collar table	Error	6
Interval overlaps an interval in a wedge hole	Warning	8
No samples for collar	Warning	83
Re-drilled hole has conflicting data in 'au oz/ton'	Warning	79

Table 12-4 Issues within the Lithology Table Identified by the Mechanical Audit.

Lithology Table		
Issue	Type	Count
From depth >= to depth	Error	10
Interval overlaps another interval	Error	23
To value exceeds max depth in collar table	Error	1
No samples for collar	Warning	324
Interval overlaps an interval in a wedge hole	Warning	3

Table 12-5 Issues within the Alteration Table Identified by the Mechanical Audit.

Alteration Table		
Issue	Type	Count
From depth >= to depth	Error	20
Interval overlaps another interval	Error	27
To value exceeds max depth in collar table	Error	1
No samples for collar	Warning	609

Table 12-6 Issues within the Geotechnical Table Identified by the Mechanical Audit.

Geotechnical Table		
Issue	Type	Count
From depth >= to depth	Error	20
Interval overlaps another interval	Error	27
To value exceeds max depth in collar table	Error	1
No samples for collar	Warning	605

Table 12-7 Issues within the Specific Gravity Table Identified by the Mechanical Audit.

Specific Gravity Table		
Issue	Type	Count
No samples for collar	Warning	1148

HRC mechanically audited interval information from drilling conducted in 2017 with Kerr staff. Issues identified by the mechanical audit were resolved.

Review of downhole surveys from the 2017 drilling campaign identified 25 readings as inaccurate. The inaccurate downhole surveys caused unrealistic drillhole deflections. The inaccurate downhole survey readings were ignored from the database. Based on survey readings above and below the ignored surveys in the drillhole, the impact of these readings on the geologic model and mineral resource estimate is negligible.

Gold assay samples below detection limit and un-sampled intervals were assigned values of 0.0001 oz/ton. Zero values are assumed to be un-mineralized and are set to 0.0001 oz/ton for the purpose of mineral resource estimation.

Mechanical audits of the 2019, 2020-2021 drillhole information was conducted as information was being supplied to HRC by Kerr and AZG staff. Any errors were reported and corrected prior to the completion of the current mineral resource estimate.

12.2.2 Manual Audit

Mr. Schwering conducted a manual audit of the historical database using certificates, or the best information available to ensure the accuracy of gold values contained within the database from all operators prior to Kerr.

The audit focused on drillholes with assays beyond the maximum pit extent and therefore have the most significant impact on the resource. 3,436 assays (4.4%) were compared to the certificate values and found 22 assays (0.6%) had significant incorrect gold entries (differences greater than 0.0035 oz/ton). 123 assays (3.6%) had incorrect gold entries with a difference less than 0.0035 oz/ton gold. Other inconsistencies in the database include rounding errors, below detection limit and “zero” values, inconsistencies to how intervals with multiple gold assays were handled, and some interval errors. These issues are inherent and may be inevitable in large historic databases inherited through multiple operators.

Mr. Schwering verified all the gold assay results against their certificates for the 2017 drillholes.

Mr. Schwering compared all 3,353 of the 2019 gold assays to certificates and found eight assays (0.2%) were incorrectly entered into the database.

The certificates from the 2020 and 2021 drilling were received by Mr. Schwering to construct the assay database for those assays.

12.3 Adequacy of Data

Based on the results of HRC’s site investigation and data validation efforts, HRC considers AZG’s drilling and sampling data, as contained in the current Project database, to be reasonably accurate and suitable for use in estimating mineral resources. Results of the manual audit indicate a minor and acceptable error rate. HRC found that the records for the Project were organized and available upon request. For historic data contained in the Project database, HRC recommends that Sabre conduct scans of the certificates and all associated drillhole data to be stored in a digital format. HRC also recommends the acquisition of database software and the entry of drillhole information as recorded, so systematic rules for how assay values are handled can be implemented.

13. MINERAL PROCESSING AND METALLURGICAL TESTING

Over the life of the project many detailed metallurgical tests have been completed to select an appropriate processing method and to optimize process operating parameters. Samples of various types of mineralized material from the four main mineralized zones at variable grades have been prepared and sent to various laboratories and testing facilities for metallurgical testing. The laboratories and tests completed are summarized below.

- Hazen Research – 1986 – Cyanide Leaching
- Hazen Research – 1995 – Mineralogy
- Resource Development Inc. – 1999 – Cyanide Leaching
- McClelland Laboratories – 2000 – Cyanidation, Flotation, Gravity
- Echo Bay Minerals – 2001 – Flotation
- McClelland Laboratories – 2005 – Flotation, Gravity, Grinding, Rheology
- CAMP – 2009 – Gravity, Flotation
- Resource Development Inc. – 2017 – Flotation, Gravity, Cyanidation, Acid Leaching, Grinding, Thickening, Mineralogy
- Resource Development Inc. – 2021 – Grinding, Cyanidation, Thickening, Rheology

The tests have consisted of cyanidation studies including bottle roll and column leach tests, gravity concentration tests, flotation studies including flotation only and flotation tests followed by cyanidation, particle size optimization, and reagent consumption tests.

13.1 Hazen Research (1986) – Original Open Pit Heap and Agitated Leach Test Work

In 1986, Hazen carried out test work on six-inch diameter core samples of breccia and silicified rock types that formed the basis of the original project prefeasibility for open pit mining and low-grade oxide heap leaching and agitation leaching. Therefore, the data and mineralogy are not relevant to the new high-grade underground mining and processing project.

The studies were conducted on three composite core samples representing the different mineralized material type lithologies being considered in the deposit: brecciated, silicified, and mixed. The composites assayed 0.09 oz/T to 0.127 oz/T, and typically contained negligible silver and total sulfur (0.01%) and about 0.2% total copper. Cyanide consumption was about 0.16 kg/t to 0.39 kg/t. Relatively good cyanidation (column and bottle roll) and flotation responses were obtained in this work.

13.2 Hazen Research (1995)

In 1995 Hazen Research conducted mineralogical investigations on three samples (zones unidentified). Based on the presence of oxide copper minerals concerns were raised for cyanidation of the mineralized material, including higher-than-normal cyanide consumption and difficult cyanide destruction. It was recommended that future process development efforts must consider these factors. Gravity/flotation may prove to be a viable option however copper extraction may prove difficult, due to the resistance of the copper minerals to

flotation. As well, the calcite content raised concerns about high acid consumption, should acid leaching be considered. Overall, the sample mineralogy and conclusions appear to be consistent with subsequent analysis and test work conducted in future studies.

13.3 Resource Development Inc (1999)

Preliminary characterization and cyanidation/leaching studies were conducted in 1999 by Resource Development Inc. (RDI). The two composite samples were selected from core intervals within the “Hanging Wall” and D zone mineralization. The characterization-level testing on the two samples is not considered conclusive. However, it provided insight regarding the level of potential cyanide consumption associated with copper minerals that commonly accompany the gold mineralization at Copperstone. The Hanging Wall sample was from intervals of C zone hole extension east of pit, high grade, volcanic hosted quartz latite porphyry (manganese oxide and specular hematite). The D zone hole was hosted by limestone replaced by silica, iron oxide and with copper oxide mineralization (visible gold, chrysocolla, specularite, hematite, chlorite, silicification).

The 24-hour leach test results indicated that the Hanging Wall sample consumed a minor amount of cyanide (0.004 kg/mt of feed), while cyanide consumption in the D zone sample was significantly higher (1.085 kg/mt). Although both samples contained copper, the D zone sample contained approximately five times more copper than the Hanging Wall sample. The amount of cyanide-soluble copper in the D zone sample was similarly high, suggesting that the presence of soluble copper minerals that locally accompany gold mineralization will consume cyanide and should be studied further. Poor accountability is noted between assayed gold head and calculated which may be due to nugget effects as visible gold was noted in several samples. Possibly metallic assay would have given better assay reconciliations. Table 13-1 shows the summary test results of the cyanide leach testing.

Table 13-1 RDI - 1999 Cyanide Leaching Results

Zone	Lab	Date	Test	Wt Kg	Grade		Ag oz/t	Cu % Total	Parameter		Recovery %			CN Consumption	
					Au oz/t	Au (Calc)			Grind	Time (hr)	Au	Ag	Cu	g/l	kg/t
C-zone HW	RDI	1999	Direct Cyanidation	2.6	0.22	0.33	0.04	0.05	150M	24	83	27	5	1.0	0.004
D-zone	RDI	1999	Direct Cyanidation	9.4	0.77	0.56	0.06	0.24	150M	24	91	49	16	1.0	1.085

13.4 McClelland Laboratories (2000)

In 2000 McClelland Laboratories were commissioned by American Bonanza to conduct a gravity, cyanidation and flotation process evaluation on a 30-kilogram (64 pound) composite of representative D zone mineralized material. The composite was prepared with the objective of obtaining a composite gold grade of >1.0 oz/ton, copper content of >0.5%, and representative host rock/chemical reactivity characteristics of silicified limestone. The key observations of this test work are:

- A total of 10 drillhole intervals from the D zone (from four holes A98-2, 3 5, and 13) were composited into a single test sample.
- No mineralogical study was conducted.

- Gold head grade was determined by metallics assay and the reconciliation with test work calculated was good. Small free gold particles were observed in both gravity and flotation products.
- Both whole ore cyanidation and a single bulk flotation test gave good recoveries.
- Optimum cyanide concentrations were about 1.5 g/l, which is high. This was required to improve the recovery rate (at 48 h), which otherwise at 0.5 g/L would take 72 h. Cyanide consumptions were high at about 3 kg/t, it was stated because of cyanide soluble copper dissolution. No copper leach balances, or speciation data were provided to support this, but it is consistent with current and past test work observations. There was about 0.6% Cu in the sample.
- The gravity work indicated high (about 50%) potential gold recovery, but this is inconclusive because the weight recoveries were also very high.
- A bulk float test recovered 94% gold into a 16.7 % feed wt concentrate at 200 M. Copper flotation metallurgy, or concentrate cyanidation was not investigated.
- Because of the relatively high hematite content the sample solids specific gravity is quite high 3.37.
- Conventional thickening tests on leach residue indicated good flocculation and rapid settling characteristics.

A single Ball Mill Work Index of 13.7 kWh/st indicates the material is of moderate hardness with respect to ball milling. Table 13-2 shows the summary results of the McClelland labs metallurgical tests during the year 2000.

Table 13-2 McClelland - 2000 Cyanidation and Flotation Test Results

Zone	Lab	Date	Test	Wt Kg	Grade		Ag oz/t	Cu % Total	Parameter		Recovery %			CN Consumption	
					Au oz/t	Au (Calc)			Grind	Time (hr)	Au	Ag	Cu	g/l	kg/t
D-zone Composite	McClelland	2000	Gravity/Cyanidation	30	1.03	0.82	NA	0.60	270M	72	99			0.5	2.877
			Gravity/Cyanidation		1.03	0.85			270M	72	99			1.5	3.286
			Gravity/Cyanidation		1.03	1.18			100M	72	93			1.5	3.173
			Direct Cyanidation		1.03	1.00			200M	72	92			1.5	3.173
			Float		1.03	1.18			200M		94				

13.5 Echo Bay Minerals (2001)

In 2001, Echo Bay Minerals evaluated flotation processing Copperstone mineralized material at their McCoy/Cove mill on behalf of American Bonanza. The key observations were:

- A flotation test was conducted on a single 2 kg high-grade sample, but its origin was not identified.
- Flotation recovery was reasonable at 87% into a 4% feed wt concentrate. Possibly recovery could have been higher with an alternate frother, higher weight recovery and finer grind. However, the objective was to study the response of the mineralization using McCoy/Cove operating parameters.

Copper (0.56% Cu in head) recovery to the concentrate was only about 9%. This is consistent with the previous flotation/concentrate cyanidation work. The poor copper flotation recovery suggests copper is present dominantly as chrysocolla. This is important because chrysocolla is poorly recoverable (up to about 15%) in conventional flotation. Table 13-3 shows the summary results of the McCoy/Cove lab metallurgical tests during 2001.

Table 13-3 McCoy/Cove - 2001 Flotation Test Results

Zone	Lab	Date	Test	Wt Kg	Grade		Ag oz/t	Cu % Total	Parameter	Recovery %		
					Au oz/t	Au (Calc)			Grind	Au	Ag	Cu
D-zone Composite	McCoy/Cove	2001	Float	2.0	1.51	0.75	NA	0.56	140M	88	NA	9

13.6 McClelland Laboratories (2005)

In early 2005 McClelland Laboratories Inc. were commissioned to prepare two new D zone and Hanging Wall metallurgical composites, using samples provided by American Bonanza. The HW zone sample consisted of 43 intervals from 7 drillholes. The D zone sample consisted of 51 intervals from 3 holes. The intervals consisted of half cores. McClelland crushed the samples to 10 mesh and blended each zone for a master composite. Separate bulk samples were taken for the Bond Work and Abrasion tests.

The program was originally designed to be conducted in two phases. Phase 1 was scoping in nature to test the effects of grind size on gravity recovery, flotation and direct cyanidation. Phase 2 tests also included Bond Work and Abrasion Tests, thickening and pulp rheology tests.

13.6.1 Phase 1

Gravity concentration, whole ore gravity/cyanidation and gravity/flotation and concentrate cyanidation investigations were conducted and reported by McClelland. An initial Phase 1 of testing was conducted in March 2005, but the results were inconsistent and inconclusive, and this was followed up by a similar Phase 1.5 program in June 2005.

Gravity recoveries were relatively low in Phase 1 but improved in Phase 1.5. Phase 1.5 was undertaken to test methods of reducing the cyanide consumption in direct cyanidation and to improve flotation recoveries into a lower weight concentrate. The Phase 1 direct cyanidation tests produced good gold recoveries but at very high cyanide consumptions and extended leach times, due to the presence of soluble copper in the mineralized material. Flotation work was conducted to investigate the production of a smelter concentrate. A secondary objective of the flotation test work became to investigate the potential to separate gold and copper using flotation and produce a concentrate that could be cyanided more economically. Gold flotation recoveries were reasonable and concentrate cyanidation recoveries and cyanide consumptions were very good. The key observations are summarized below:

- *Samples and Characterization.* The D zone composite comprises seven drillhole core intervals from two drillholes. The Hanging Wall composite comprises twelve drillhole core intervals from two drillholes and twenty five intervals of coarse assay rejects from five holes, which is a reasonably

wide range compared to the D zone composite. Copper is also an important issue relative to process selection. Additional work is recommended to better define the relationship between cyanide consumption, flotation and copper mineralization (speciation). This was recommended in earlier programs. Copper speciation should be done on some sample sub-composites to help identify copper mineralization trends.

- *Gravity.* The gravity recoverable gold potential in the 2005 composite samples appears relatively low at about 8-15% at typical weight recoveries (0.02% to 0.22%) used to produce a direct smelt material. However, gravity is not considered to be the primary recovery process, more as an insurance against occasional nugget gold and other unrecoverable gold by direct cyanidation or flotation. Gravity gold recovery showed some potential to improve overall recovery in the test work. However, to achieve acceptable gravity recoveries weight recoveries were high and the concentration ratios low because of the concomitant recovery of iron present as magnetite/hematite in the samples. Improved panning in Phase 1.5 in some instances successfully eliminated the magnetite, and further work on gravity is recommended because it appears to have the potential to add 1% to 2% to the overall recovery from gold that may not float well. Possibly the gravity concentrate will not be a viable direct smelt material due to its high magnetite content and a separate intensive cyanidation unit will be required. However, an industrial-scale centrifugal gravity unit could be more selective in eliminating magnetite, versus the batch laboratory Knelson unit used in the test work, and further work on this concept is recommended. Previous work on other D zone and Hanging Wall zone samples suggests visible gold is present. Gravity potential may be being understated by initially grinding in a single stage to 200 M followed by testing.
- *Direct Leach.* Extended direct leach times are required (72 h to 96 h) to achieve good gold recoveries 95-98% and cyanide and lime consumptions were very high. Cyanide consumptions were about 3 kg/t for D zone material and 7 kg/t for HW zone material. This is consistent with earlier work and indicative of the presence of elevated levels of soluble copper. Copper levels and cyanide consumption are highest in the new Hanging Wall sample. Both 150 mesh and finer 200 mesh grinds gave good recoveries, and no trends were noted.
- *Flotation.* Only bulk rougher flotation concentration test work was conducted. Bulk gold flotation recoveries are reasonable and at a grind of 200 mesh direct flotation recoveries of about 89-90% are indicated and when combined with gravity 92% to 96%. Gravity appears to have the potential to add 1% to 2% to the overall recovery from gold that may not float well. However, some flotation optimization potential is indicated that may offset this. Additional kinetic tests were recommended to assess float time and grind requirements and optimize mass pulls. Finer grinding to 200 mesh appears to improve recovery but the results are inconclusive. Similar reagent suites were used in both phases, but Phase 1.5 utilized different reagent addition rates and pull techniques. Some cleaner work was also recommended to investigate the potential to produce a direct smelt product or optimize cyanidation economics. Copper and sulfur balances should also be done. Assuming copper mineralization is dominantly chrysocolla, it is expected copper recovery will be low using conventional flotation processing and this appears to be the case based on the relatively low cyanide consumption (relative to whole ore) in the concentrate cyanidation test work.
- *Concentrate Leaching.* The flotation concentrates from all the Phase 1 tests were combined in a single sample to provide sufficient material for assaying and meaningful metallurgical tests. The flotation concentrates from Phase 1.5 were likewise combined. The flotation concentrates leached

very well and in 72 h produced recoveries of 98.3% to 99.4% and with much lower cyanide consumptions than direct cyanidation. This suggests the copper mineralization did not float well, which is consistent with earlier flotation work done by Echo Bay minerals, and that it may be present predominantly as chrysocolla. However, no copper speciation or copper assays were done to be able to assess this. Copper and other base metals will accumulate in the leach solution if recycled and provision should be made in the design to bleed and destroy cyanide to remove this. Additional work will be required to assess the bleed and cyanide destruction requirements.

13.6.2 Phase 2

The following Phase 2 tests were completed to investigate other opportunities and provide some of the data necessary for mill design. Rheology and Settling work was done to support an underground paste backfill option investigation.

- *Magnetite Recovery.* Dawson investigated the production of a by-product magnetite product from tailings. Only about 5% of the iron in the feed reported to the final concentrate. The sample was highly oxidized, and it was assumed that most of the iron is present as hematite. No further work was recommended.
- *Rheology.* The rheology of a rougher tailing underflow sample was assessed by Pocock showed Bingham Plastic characteristics at all solids concentration tested. Experience shows that thickener underflow solids concentrations exhibiting a yield value in excess of 30 Pascals (Pa) are not considered practical for standard thickener design, as pumping and torque problems will likely result. This threshold occurred at slightly above 60% solids for the Flotation Rougher Tailings. In a full-scale plant, underflow solids concentrations exceeding 60% solids should be avoided unless specialized equipment is employed to handle the higher viscosities.
- *Settling.* Static thickening tests by Pocock explored the effect of variations in flocculent dose, and feed solids concentrations for conventional thickener design. Thickener unit area sizing on properly flocculated thickening tests performed on the Flotation Rougher Tailings material generally fell below the minimum range of 0.125 m²/Mtpd to 0.150 m²/Mtpd recommended by Pocock Industrial for full scale conventional thickeners. This recommended design range corresponds to underflow solids concentrations from 50% to 60% solids by weight. Dynamic (High Rate) thickening tests were also performed on the Flotation Rougher Tailings sample to determine the recommended hydraulic design basis and expected overflow suspended solids concentrations.
- *Bond Work Index.* The recommended four buckets (two of each) of D zone and HW zone samples were submitted by McClelland to Metso Minerals for Bond Work Index (BWI) and abrasion index (Ai) testing. The D zone sample BWI indicated it was softer than the HW zone (12.98 kWh/st and 15.04 kWh/st) but much more abrasive with an Ai of 0.4464 and 0.1508 respectively. Overall, this material can be ranked as of medium hardness and abrasivity with respect to ball milling and power and wear rates should not be expected to be excessive.

Table 13-4 shows the summary results of the McClelland labs metallurgical tests during 2005.

Table 13-4 McClelland - 2005 Metallurgical Test Results

Zone	Lab	Date	Test	Wt Kg	Grade		Ag oz/t	Cu % Total	Parameter		Recovery %			CN Consumption
					Au oz/t	Au (Calc)			Grind	Time (hr)	Au	Ag	Cu	kg/t
D zone	McClelland	2005	Grav/Leach	122.5	0.51	0.53	0.06	0.57	150M	48/72	96/98	>47	NA	3.195
			Grav/Leach						200M	48/72	94/96	>47	3.354	
			Grav/Leach+NH4OH						200M	48/72	90/95	>47	3.559	
			Grav/Float						150M		89			
			Grav/Float						200M		89			
Hangingwall	McClelland	2005	Grav/Leach	103.0	0.33	0.33	0.03	0.90	150M	72/96	91/97	>32		7.422
			Grav/Leach						200M	72/96	90/98	>29	7.100	
			Grav/Leach+NH4OH						200M	72/96	96/98	>48	7.918	
			Grav/Float						150M		88			
			Grav/Float						200M		91			
D zone+HW	McClelland	2005	Conc Cyanidation											0.477

13.7 CAMP (Center for Advanced Mineral and Metallurgical Processing) (2009)

This testing was completed to review the previous metallurgical work completed on Copperstone deposit and verify previous testing, identify gaps, and expand incomplete testing. The testing attempted to confirm grinding requirements, gravity testing expectations, and optimize flotation requirements. The results were used to optimize the final flowsheet and metallurgical balance for when Bonanza operated the underground mine at Copperstone. The following identifies the test work:

- *Samples and Characterization.* Composites were developed from across the C and D zones to give as representative of sample as possible for verification testing and review. The samples were pulled from core from both the C and D zone. For the C zone testing, 22 samples from 5 holes were used. From the D zone, 34 samples from 14 holes were used. Samples were picked to give a representative sample of mineralized material across both zones.
- *Gravity.* The gravity recoverable gold potential in the 2009 composite samples appears very good at about 25-40% at typical weight recoveries (1.0% to 1.25%) used to produce a salable material with a gold content from 8 to 15 oz/T. However, to achieve acceptable gravity recoveries, as weight recoveries were slightly higher than expected, options such as a Gemini Table to remove gangue prior to further processing may be required.
- *Flotation.* Only a single bulk rougher flotation concentration test work was conducted on each sample to verify previous test work. Bulk gold flotation recoveries are reasonable and at a grind of 200 mesh with direct flotation recoveries of about 70 to 75% are indicated and when combined with gravity, 86 to 94% recoveries are achievable. The flotation tails were consistent with that of previous testing with much higher head grade. Additional kinetic tests are recommended to assess float time and grind requirements and optimize mass pulls. Other reagent schemes should be reviewed to maximize final recovery for flotation.
- *Grinding.* Grinding of the D zone and C zone samples identified a Work Index of 12.8 and 14.3 kWh/st respectively. These work indexes are in the same range as previous testing. No further bond grind testing was recommended on the D and C zones.

Table 13-5 shows the summary results of the CAMP labs metallurgical tests during 2009.

Table 13-5 CAMP - 2009 Metallurgical Test Results

Zone	Lab	Date	Test	Grade		Ag oz/t	Cu % Total	Parameter	Recovery %		
				Au oz/t	Au (Calc)			Grind	Au	Ag	Cu
D zone	CAMP	2009	Grav/Float	0.33	0.64	0.93	0.85	200M	28/88	47	NA
C zone	CAMP	2009	Grav/Float	0.34	0.41	0.88	0.92	200M	37/86	27	NA

13.8 Resource Development Inc (2017)

The primary objective of the current test work was to confirm that the flotation processing option was the optimal method and technically viable in the various gold mineralization zones. As discussed previously in 2011 American Bonanza constructed a 450 tpd floatation mill on site and in 2012 started underground mining from two previously developed declines located in the bottom of the Cyprus open pit. American Bonanza's mining focused on the D zone which is to the north of the open pit. From January 2012 to July 2013 American Bonanza produced approximately 16,900 oz of gold from 163,000 tons of mineralized material grading 0.104 oz/T of gold. The RDI 2017 test program was expanded to also evaluate the technical/economic aspects of the alternative processing options, namely leaching of flotation concentrate and whole ore leaching to produce doré at site. These options also have the potential of producing copper as a by-product. Metallurgical test results are presented here as reported, including use of metric units.

The metallurgical test work undertaken on the samples from the four mineralized zones (A, B, C, and D) and a master composite, prepared with samples from zones C and D which constitutes the majority of the resources at the Project, included head analysis, mineralogy, gravity, flotation, grindability, and cyanide leaching of ore and flotation concentrate. The summary of the test results indicated the following:

- **Head Assays** – the head analysis of Composites A, B, C, D, and the master composite are shown in Table 13-6. The composites for the four zone samples assayed 2 g/t Au to 8 g/t Au, with the master composite (higher grade sample) averaging 20.6 g/t Au.

Head assays of the samples tested are shown in Table 13-6.

Table 13-6 Head Assays of Zone Samples Tested

Head Analyses of Composite Samples					
Element	Composite				
	A	B	C	D	Master
Au, g/t					
Assay #1	2.051	6.056	8.022	4.303	20.782
Assay #2	2.030	5.947	8.128	-	20.610
Average	2.040	6.00	8.075	4.303	20.696
Ag, g/t					
Assay #1	0.3	3.4	25.4	1.6	1.6
Assay #2	0.3	3.02	26.0	-	1.6
Average	0.3	3.3	25.7	1.6	1.6
Cu, %					
C _{UAcidSol} , %	0.0193	0.271	1.196	0.55	0.262
C _{UCNSol} , %	0.0013	0.238	0.0175	0.0071	0.023
C _{UT} , %	0.058	0.602	1.384	0.7045	0.351
S _{Total} , %	0.05	0.23	0.05	0.02	0.05
S _{Sulfide} , %	<0.01	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.02
S _{Sulfate} , %	0.05	0.13	0.03	<0.01	0.04
C _{Total} , %	0.12	<0.01	0.06	0.44	0.18
C _{Organic} , %	0.02	<0.01	0.04	0.05	0.04
C _{Inorganic} , %	0.10	<0.01	0.02	0.39	0.14

The copper values in these samples varied from 0.058% to 0.7%. The copper in Composite B was primarily secondary or primary copper whereas the copper in other composites was basically oxide copper.

- Rougher Flotation** – Gold from the composites can be floated using simple reagent suite consisting of potassium amyl xanthate (PAX), Aeropromotor 404 and a frother. The flotation test results indicate that the finer the grind, the higher the gold recovery but lower the concentrate grade. The majority of the gold floats in the first three minutes of flotation. Sulfidization of the feed material made slight improvements in overall gold recovery. Assay by size data of the flotation tails indicates that the majority of the gold losses are in the coarser particle sizes while the majority of copper is in the fine fraction. Flotation test results for composites A through D varied from 87% to 91% Au. Flotation results for the master composite are shown in Table 13-7.

Table 13-7 Master Composite Flotation Test Results

Flotation Test Results for Master Composite								
Product	Cumulative Floatation Time, min	Cumulative Recovery %				Cumulative Grade		
		Wt.	Au	Ag	Cu	Au g/t	Ag g/t	% Cu
Grind, P₈₀ = 200 Mesh (Test 25)								
Conc. 1	3	1.2	86.1	46.1	14.7	664.1	32.2	4.61
Conc. 2	6	2.2	88.7	50.3	18.2	370.0	19.0	3.09
Conc. 3	9	2.9	89.5	51.9	20.2	284.2	14.9	2.60
Conc. 4	12	3.8	90.0	53.7	22.7	215.1	11.6	2.21
Cal. Feed	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	9.19	0.8	0.37

- Cleaner Flotation** – tests were completed with material from the master composite and Composite D. A combination of approaches was utilized to achieve maximum gold recovery while providing a concentrate grade of over 350 g/mt. The individual cleaner results achieved a range of recoveries from 86.3% to 87.6% Au. The maximum gold recovery with a grade of over 350 g/mt Au would result from the combined rougher concentrate and cleaned scavenger concentrate with regrind. An overall recovery of 87.6% was observed with a concentrate grade of 584 g/mt Au.

The flotation tailings from tests 3 and 11 representing Composites A and D were subjected to sulfuric acid leach for extraction of oxide copper. The acid consumption was extremely high (20 to 36kg/t) and the pH was still higher than 2 which is required for copper leach. An XRF analysis indicated the presence of MgO and CaO, thereby indicating the presence of carbonates, which make the acid leach process for copper extraction uneconomical.

- Whole Ore Leach Tests** – cyanidation of the mineralized material recovered 88% to 97% gold for all composite samples except B. The extraction was only 9.2% for Composite B. The sample and composite for B zone was considered an anomaly, and not representative of the B zone area of the deposit.

Cyanide leach tests of the master composite at various grind sizes indicate the gold extraction improves as the particle size becomes finer. A particle size of 200 mesh exhibited the highest extraction at 97.1%. Based on these results, an economic evaluation of the processing options indicated that the whole ore leach process was the best option for this deposit.

Leach tests were completed at lower cyanide levels in an effort to decrease consumption. Initial tests were started at 0.5 g/L, 0.75 g/L, and 1.0 g/L NaCN and allowed to decay. The cyanide was consumed after the initial 6 hours of leach time and the tests were then maintained at 0.25 g/L NaCN. Gold extractions for all tests were significantly lower than previous results at a maintained concentration of 1.0 g/L NaCN. Additional tests were conducted at maintained levels of 0.5 g/L, 0.75 g/L, and 1.0 g/L NaCN. Tables 13-8 and 13-9 present the cyanidation leach test results.

Table 13-8 WOL Results - Composite Samples

Cyanidation Leach Test Results for Composite Samples												
Process Parameter	Composite											
	A			B			C			D		
	Au	Ag	Cu	Au	Ag	Cu	Au	Ag	Cu	Au	Ag	Cu
Extraction %												
6 hrs.	90.7	12.7	4.5	1.5	0	12.4	11.7	9.6	4.4	30.2	5.2	8.8
24 hrs.	95.4	12.9	4.7	4.9	0.3	21.9	61.4	35.4	8.3	73.3	10.6	16.3
48 hrs.	97.0	14.4	5.1	9.2	0.3	33.0	97.4	58.5	14.2	88.0	12.6	24.5
Residue, g/t	0.07	1.0	592	3.68	4.6	4060	0.11	0.6	13165	0.22	2.8	5270
Cal. Feed, g/t	2.27	1.2	624	4.05	4.6	6056	4.08	1.4	11300	1.82	3.2	6977
Reagent Consumption, kg/t (48 hrs.)												
NaCN	0.242			4.128			3.033			3.627		
Lime	3.739			4.819			3.747			4.125		

Table 13-9 WOL Results – Master Composite

Cyanidation Leach Test Results for Master Composite Sample at Various Particle Sizes									
Process Parameter	Particle Size								
	P ₈₀ 100 mesh			P ₈₀ 150 mesh			P ₈₀ 200 mesh		
	Au	Ag	Cu	Au	Ag	Cu	Au	Ag	Cu
Extraction %									
6 hrs.	34.5	19.7	5.2	31.6	19.1	5.6	10.9	2.5	17.1
24 hrs.	64.9	41.1	8.5	69.4	38.8	9.4	38.2	18.5	24.8
48 hrs.	88.1	62.8	12.3	84.5	52.3	11.8	97.1	50.8	29.3
Residue, g/t	0.41	0.4	9860	0.58	0.6	9720	0.12	0.6	2780
Cal. Feed, g/t	3.47	1.1	11247	3.75	1.3	11019	3.99	1.2	3933
Reagent Consumption, kg/t (48 hrs.)									
NaCN	3.374			3.425			2.700		
Lime	3.997			3.655			1.560		

- **Mineralogy** – The purpose was to determine the bulk mineralogy of two samples, Composite B, and the Master Composite, with an emphasis on Au and Cu mineralogy. Each sample was prepared as a standard polished thin section for analysis by reflected/transmitted light microscopy.

Although there are some differences in Cu mineralogy between the samples, the general bulk mineralogy and Au occurrence is essentially the same. The master composite contains the lowest concentration of Cu mineralogy. Chalcopyrite occurs as small grains locked in quartz with a grain size up to 15 microns, but is rare. One grain identified is attached to pyrite. Chrysocolla is present as blueish green liberated fragments up to 1 mm in size and as small pockets in secondary quartz. A fragment of earthy iron oxide with inclusions of a highly anisotropic phase with a yellow brown tint may represent delafossite/tenorite. The most notable Cu mineral in

the master composite sample is two thin seams of native copper that measure approximately 1 mm in quartz with iron oxide.

The composite B sample contains the highest concentrate of Cu mineralogy represented primarily by sulfides. The most prominent type is chalcocite. Chalcocite occurs as large liberated masses measuring over 1 mm and as small interstitial patches in quartz. The chalcocite is generally associated with red oxide. Much of the chalcocite carries small rod-shaped inclusions of covellite and rarely, minor relict chalcopyrite. Small drop shaped chalcopyrite grains up to 10 microns are also seen locked in quartz. Grains of liberated bornite with a grain size of 8 microns to approximately 300 microns occur as a trace. The bornite shows moderate to strong alteration/replacement by chalcopyrite and covellite/digenite.

In the Master composite sample, three grains of Au were identified. Two grains are locked in quartz with a grain size of 5 microns to 9 microns. One large 25-micron grain sits between blades of specular hematite. In the Composite B sample, two grains of Au were identified. One 5-micron grain is associated with Chalcocite. One large 24-micron grain sits in a granular matrix of quartz and iron oxide. Although difficult to determine, these Au grains may actually be liberated. Table 13-10 outlines the major and minor mineralogy for each sample. Concentrations of individual phases are based on petrography.

Table 13-10 Percent Mineralogy of Composite B and Master Composite

Client Sample no.:	Copperstone MC	Copperstone Comp B
Quartz	46	42
Hematite/Goethite	30	25
Plagioclase	9	10
K-Feldspar	5	8
Chlorite	4	10
Muscovite	3	5
Calcite	3	*
Chalcopyrite	*	*
Chrysocolla	*	-
Bornite	-	*
Covellite	-	*
Delafossite/Tenorite	* (?)	-
Chalcocite	-	*
Digenite	-	*
Rutile	*	*
Magnetite	*	*
Leucoxene	*	*
Native Cu	*	-
Au	*	*

- **Gravity** – Test work on gravity recovered a small portion of the gold present in the mineralized material at a concentrate grade that is not direct smeltable. Hence, gravity process was not incorporated in the final recommended process scheme. The fine gold is directly cyanide leachable and hence there is no need to have a gravity circuit.

- Grindability** – Bond’s ball mill work indices were determined for the master composite sample. The average value of six historical values and the two present work indices was 14.0. The current ball mill will be able to process +/- 840 tpd of mineralized material to produce a product of P₈₀ of 200 mesh at this index.

Based on the 2017 test work, a conceptual process flowsheet was developed and is given in Figure 13-1. The proposed process flowsheet consists of crushing and grinding the mineralized material to P₈₀ of 200 mesh and sending the slurry to a pre-leach thickener. The thickener underflow will be sent to a series of leach tanks where gold and cyanide soluble copper could be extracted. The on-going test work indicates that SART process can recover copper and thereby reduce cyanide consumption. The flowsheet is adaptable to incorporate the SART process between the leach tanks and the CIP tank. Following the CIP process the leach residue will be thickened to recover process water containing cyanide which will be recycled back to the leach tanks thereby reducing the overall cyanide consumption. In addition, the potential incorporation of the SART process will recover copper thereby improving overall project economics. The thickener underflow (leach residue) will be subjected to cyanide destruction before pumping the slurry to the tailings pond.




Figure 13-1 Whole Ore Leach Process Flow diagram

13.9 Resource Development Inc (2021)

Just recently in 2021 RDI has completed additional metallurgical testing to investigate whole ore leaching and develop process data for flow sheet optimization and equipment sizing. Leaching test work included particle size and cyanide concentration leach optimization. In addition, comminution testing, and characterization of the slurry and pregnant solutions were completed. Test work was also completed for sizing of downstream processes with thickening testing.

13.9.1 Sample Preparation and Characterization

Individual drillhole interval samples from zones A, B, C and D were received for testing, this represents all the zones which are in the 2017 PFS mine plan. The combined sample weights from each zone ranged from 43 kilograms to 79 kilograms. Individual samples from each zone were combined to create approximately 40 kilograms of composite sample to achieve an approximate gold grade of 6 g/mt Au. Trace amounts of silver are present in the composites with values below 2.5 g/mt Ag. Copper values ranged from a low of 0.13% in zone A to a high of 0.56% in zone C. The cyanide soluble copper values mirrored the total copper assays with approximately 6% of the copper soluble in zone A to as high as 31.5% in zone C. Zones B, C, and D contain small amounts of organic carbon, while zone A does not. None of the composite samples contain more than a trace of sulfide sulfur. Each composite sample was stage crushed to minus 6 mesh, thoroughly blended, and split into charges for test work. A representative split of each composite sample was submitted for head analyses, the head assay data is summarized in Table 13-11.

Table 13-11 Head Analysis of 2021 Composite Samples

Head Analyses of Composite Samples				
Element	Zone A	Zone B	Zone C	Zone D
Au, g/mt	7.521	8.015	6.996	6.855
Ag, g/mt	1.1	0.3	2.4	1.9
Cu, ppm	1291	3346	5603	3026
CuCN Sol, ppm	72.47	491.6	1763	776.8
CTotal, %	0.02	0.61	0.35	1.06
COrganic, %	<0.01	0.15	0.06	0.17
CInorganic, %	0.02	0.47	0.29	0.89
STotal, %	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.28
SSulfide, %	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.09
SSulfate, %	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.19
ICP Data				
Al %	4.85	6.14	4.02	1.86
Ca %	0.25	1.81	1.21	3.10
Fe %	11.41	10.30	14.68	25.36
K %	1.99	2.12	1.89	0.59
Mg %	1.55	0.77	0.62	0.80
Na %	0.68	1.11	0.62	0.25
Ti %	0.07	0.12	0.08	0.05
As ppm	<2	16	<2	<2
Ba ppm	4476	2936	4410	1071
Bi ppm	<2	<10	6	6
Cd ppm	11	10	15	27
Co ppm	11	14	10	8
Cr ppm	56	51	55	67
Hg ppm	0.76	0.49	1.58	3.28
Mn ppm	2446	11500	7965	2699
Mo ppm	<1	1	1	16
Ni ppm	13	12	8	6
Pb ppm	10	25	<10	25
Sb ppm	<2	<2	<2	<2
Se ppm	<5	6	<5	5
Sr ppm	134	191	168	191
Te ppm	14	14	19	22
V ppm	49	50	45	48
W ppm	77	53	74	61
Zn ppm	42	52	28	48

Bond Mill Work Index and Grind Study

A Bond's Ball Mill Work Index test was completed with each composite sample at a closed size of 100 mesh (150 microns). Bench-scale laboratory grind studies were also undertaken to develop data for grind time-grind size relationship for the composite samples. One-kilogram charges were ground in a laboratory rod mill at 50% solids for varying times. The ground products were wet screened on a 400-mesh screen and the coarse and fine fractions were dried. The coarse fraction was dry screened, and the size distribution of the

samples calculated for each test. The work index results are summarized in Table 13-12. The samples would be considered medium hardness and were within similar ranges of previous test results.

Table 13-12 2021 Bond Ball Work Index Results

Summary of Bond Ball Work Index Results		
Sample	BWi (kwh/st)	Classification
Zone A Comp	12.96	Medium
Zone B Comp	12.92	Medium
Zone C Comp	14.29	Medium-Hard
Zone D Comp	11.34	Medium

Particle Size Optimization Leach Testing

A series of leach tests were completed with each composite sample at various particle sizes to determine optimal grind size. Bottle roll leach tests were completed at P80 100 mesh, 150 mesh, and 200 mesh. The leach conditions were 45% solids, pH 11, and 2.5 g/L NaCN (maintained) for 48 hours. Solution samples and process checks were completed at 6, 12, 24, 36, and 48 hours. After 48 hours, a sample of the final solution and residue from each test were submitted for gold, silver, and copper assay. The bottle roll leach results indicate the following:

- High gold extractions were observed in all composite samples. Gold extraction at 48 hours ranged from 93.5% to 99.3%. The gold leached quickly, with the maximum extraction obtained in approximately 24 hours. Gold extractions improved slightly from 100 mesh to 150 mesh, with little improvement observed between 150 mesh and 200 mesh.
- Silver extractions varied widely, ranging from 21.7% to 80.6% due to the low silver content in the samples.
- Copper extractions were low in zone A and B composites, ranging from 3.1% to 8.7%. Zone C and D composites extracted more copper, ranging from 24.6% to 27.4%.
- CIL testing provided similar metal extraction results to the standard leaches. A very small percentage of the copper was adsorbed onto the carbon.
- Cyanide consumption generally increased as the particle size decreased. The amount of cyanide soluble copper in each sample had a significant effect on the cyanide consumption. Low cyanide soluble copper in zones A and B consumed 0.8 kg/mt and 0.98 kg/mt respectively at a particle size of P80 150 mesh, while the high cyanide soluble copper in zones C and D exhibited consumption of 3.89 kg/mt and 2.18 kg/mt respectively. Lime consumptions were consistent among all composite samples, averaging just under 4 kg/mt.
- Gold grades in the leach solutions were observed to decrease after 24 hours of leaching. Larger decreases were consistent with higher copper values, which would suggest an interference when assaying the solutions. Residue assays between the CIL and non CIL tests were consistent.

13.9.2 Cyanide Optimization Leach Testing

A series of leach tests were completed with each composite sample at various sodium cyanide levels to determine optimal reagent dosage. Bottle roll leach tests were completed at a particle size of P80 150 mesh and sodium cyanide levels of 1.5 g/L, 1.75 g/L, and 2.5 g/L NaCN (maintained and decay). The leach conditions were 45% solids and pH 11 for 24 hours. Solution samples and process checks were completed at 4, 8, 16, 20, and 24 hours. After 24 hours, a sample of the final solution and residue from each test were submitted for gold, silver, and copper assay. The cyanide dosage leach results indicate the following:

- High gold extractions (>97%) were observed in all composite samples at all cyanide additions with the exception of zone C. The high copper content in zone C caused low gold extraction in the 1.5 g/L and 1.75 g/L decay cyanide tests.
- In general, gold leached quickly with the highest extractions occurring at approximately 16 hours. Gold grades in the leach solutions were observed to decrease after approximately 16 hours of leaching.
- Silver extractions varied widely due to the low silver content in the samples and did not appear to be dependent upon cyanide concentration.
- Copper extractions were similar between the maintained and decay cyanide tests. Slightly less copper was extracted from the high copper zone C in the decay tests.
- Cyanide consumption increased as the amount of cyanide soluble copper in each sample increased. Cyanide consumption decreased by an average of 15% in the zone A, B, and D decay tests as compared to the maintained cyanide tests. The difference in the zone C tests averaged 40% which indicates there was not enough cyanide, specifically in the decay tests. Zones A, B and D consumed 0.45 kg/mt to 1.99 kg/mt, while the high cyanide soluble copper in zone C exhibited minimum consumption of 3.69 kg/mt to achieve a gold extraction of 97%.

13.9.3 High Intensity Cyanide Leach Testing of High Soluble Copper Samples

Zone B samples from the original metallurgical program completed at RDI in 2017 indicated high levels of cyanide soluble copper which consumed cyanide and inhibited gold extraction at standard cyanide levels. CIL bottle roll tests were completed with high intensity cyanide to determine if gold extraction could be improved with higher cyanide levels. Bottle roll leach tests were completed at a particle size of P80 200 mesh and sodium cyanide levels of 7 g/L and 10 g/L NaCN (maintained). The leach conditions were 45% solids and pH 11 for 48 hours. Process checks were completed at 6, 12, 24, 36, and 48 hours. After 48 hours, a sample of the final solution, carbon, and residue from each test were submitted for gold, silver, and copper assay. Gold extractions of approximately 98% were obtained with the high cyanide soluble copper samples from zone B but cyanide consumptions were very high at 13.76 kg NaCN/mt and 15.11 kg NaCN/mt for the 7 g/L and 10 g/L tests. The leach data for each test is summarized in Table 13-13.

Table 13-13 Cyanidation Leach Test Results - Zone B High CN Soluble Copper

Cyanidation Leach Test Results - Zone B High CN Soluble Copper						
Process Parameter	BR 41 - CIL (P80 200 mesh) 7.0 g/L NaCN Maintained			BR 42 - CIL (P80 200 mesh) 10.0 g/L NaCN Maintained		
	Au	Ag	Cu	Au	Ag	Cu
Extraction %						
48 hrs.	97.9	83.6	94.8	98.1	80.7	94.0
Residue, ppm	0.12	0.3	292	0.10	0.4	356
Cal. Feed, ppm	5.63	1.8	5619	5.29	2.0	5893
Reagent Consumption, kg/t (48 hrs.)						
NaCN	13.756			15.111		
Lime	4.019			4.043		

13.9.4 Thickening and Rheology Testing

Thickening test work was conducted with each composite sample at a particle size of P80 150 mesh. The pH was adjusted to approximately 11 with hydrated lime prior to the addition of high molecular weight polymers (Polymer Ventures, DAF10). Initial thickening results with 35 g/mt dosage of an anionic polymer produced final settled densities for zones A, B, and C of approximately 49% solids. The zone D results were similar to previous work conducted at RDI at 57% solids, while zones A, B, and C had not been previously tested. Additional thickening tests were conducted with non-ionic polymer, higher molecular weight anionic polymer, and lower dosages of the original polymer in an effort to increase the final density. Lowering the polymer dosage to 20 g/mt increased the final solids density at 24 hours to approximately 55% for zones A, B, and C while zone D was similar at 59% solids. Thickener unit area ranged from 0.010 to 0.054 m²/mt/day to achieve an underflow density of 50% solids for all zones. Zone D was able to achieve an underflow density of 55% solids with a unit area of 0.023 m²/mt/day, while the other zones needed a full 24 hours to achieve 55% solids. Viscosity measurements at varying pulp densities (40%, 45%, 50%) were determined for all composites at a grind size of P80 of 150 mesh with a Brookfield viscometer. The zone A sample provided the highest viscosity values, while zones B, C, and D all had similarly low viscosity. Reasonable viscosity values were observed in all samples at a pulp density of 45% solids.

13.10 Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from the historical and current test work:

1. The mineralized material in the deposit is variable in gold grade and silica content. A careful blending program would be required for consistent processing operation.
2. Bond's Ball Mill Work Index testing at a closed size of 100 mesh indicate that the samples are of medium hardness. BWi values ranged from 11.34 kwh/st in zone D to 14.29 kwh/st in zone C.
3. Flotation recoveries are good and have ranged from 88% to 91% in past testing, but the shipping and treatment charges of the concentrate make this a less attractive option than Whole Ore Cyanide leaching. Cyanide bottle roll leach tests indicate that the >97% of the gold leaches at a particle size of P80 150 mesh. Slight improvements in gold extraction were observed when the particle size was decreased from P80 100 mesh to P80 150 mesh, with less improvement from

P80 150 mesh to P80 200 mesh. Samples with very high cyanide soluble copper achieved >97% gold extraction with high intensity cyanide (>7 g/L NaCN).

4. The amount of cyanide soluble copper in each sample had a significant effect on the cyanide consumption. Low cyanide soluble copper in zones A and B consumed 0.8 kg/mt and 0.98 kg/mt respectively at a particle size of P80 150 mesh, while the high cyanide soluble copper in zones C and D exhibited consumption of 3.89 kg/mt and 2.18 kg/mt respectively. In addition, cyanide consumption generally increased slightly as the particle size decreased. Copper extractions were similar between the maintained and decay cyanide tests but slightly less copper was extracted from zone C decay tests. Cyanide consumption decreased by an average of 15% in the zone A, B, and D decay tests as compared to the maintained cyanide tests. The difference in the zone C tests averaged 40% which indicates there was not enough cyanide, specifically in the decay tests. Zones A, B and D consumed 0.45 kg/mt to 1.99 kg/mt, while the high cyanide soluble copper in zone C exhibited minimum consumption of 3.69 kg/mt to achieve a gold extraction of 97%.
5. The amount of cyanide soluble copper should be incorporated into the mine plan and economic analysis. Currently, cyanide soluble copper assays make up 0.05% of the total gold assay database. When compared to gold assays greater than 0.05 oz/ton, cyanide soluble copper assays make up 13% of the database. There is reasonable spatial distribution of cyanide copper soluble assays along strike and down dip of available mineral resources. This information will be useful in determining the amount of cyanide that will be consumed and whether a SART plant will be beneficial in recovering copper which will lower the cyanide consumption levels and improve gold recoveries.
6. Detailed cyanide detoxification studies should be completed. Copper and other base metals will accumulate in the leach solution if recycled and provision should be made in the design to bleed and destroy cyanide to remove this.

14. MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE

Richard A. Schwering, PG, SME-RM, of Hard Rock Consulting, LLC, is responsible for the mineral resource estimate presented herein. Mr. Schwering is a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and is independent of Sabre Gold Mine Group. Mr. Schwering estimated the mineral resource for the Project based on drillhole data constrained by geologic boundaries with an Ordinary Kriging (“OK”) algorithm. Gold is the metal of interest at the Project. All units are U.S. Customary, and all costs are reported in U.S. Dollars unless otherwise specified. All coordinates are presented using Arizona State Plane West North American Datum 1927 U.S. feet. Elevation is in feet relative to mean sea level.

The geologic model, estimation domains, and resources estimate were all completed using Leapfrog Geo® software version(s) 6.0.5 and 2021.1.2 (Leapfrog).

The mineral resources estimate reported here was prepared in a manner consistent with the Committee of Mineral Reserves International Reporting Standards (“CRIRSCO”), of which both the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (“CIM”) and Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the “JORC Code”) are members. The mineral resources are classified as Measured, Indicated and Inferred in accordance with “CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves”, prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council on May 10, 2014. Classification of the resources reflects the relative confidence of the grade estimates.

14.1 Drillhole Database

In total, 1,105 drillholes totaling 523,669 ft. were incorporated into the geologic model and mineral resource estimate. The mechanical audit identified eight drillholes (Table 14-1) with duplicate collar coordinates and surveys which were not included in the model. Two of those drillholes, CS-238A and CS-291, are outside the model extents and did not impact the modeling or mineral resource estimate. The remaining eight “DZ” drillholes are located in the D zone, but only one 2 ft. interval had significant gold grade. Additionally, 154 underground drillholes (Table 14-2) drilled by American Bonanza in 2013 were excluded from the model because the drilling methods, and sampling procedures were not well documented. Three underground drillholes from 2017 and two underground drillholes from 2019 (KER-17U-23, KER-17U-30, KER-17U-69, 18-09-01, and 18-09-01B) were removed from the mineral resource estimate because they were not sampled. These drillholes are located in the D zone and are reasonable to exclude because they are not coincident with any of the modeled domains. An additional thirteen diamond core drillholes completed by AZG in April and May of 2021 were excluded from the mineral resource database. The holes were drilled from underground into targets of expected gold mineralization to support and guide follow up reverse circulation drilling on close-spaced centers, which will lead to final stope mine planning. It is the QP’s opinion that since these drillholes targeted expected gold mineralization, they are not material to the mineral resource estimate, and are more appropriate for use in short term mine planning studies. Finally, 114 drillholes (Table 14-3) were outside the geologic model extent. Appendix B summarizes operator, year, type and location of the drillholes.

Based on examination of drill logs and the spatial relationship of unsampled intervals, it was determined these areas were not sampled due to lack of mineralized indicators. As a result, missing intervals, zero and negative values, and non-numeric values were replaced with a below detection limit value of 0.0001 oz/ton.

For the 2020-2021 drilling, gold assays with a gravimetric finish replaced gold assays by fire assay with ICP finish. Additionally, AZG-20S-05 and AZG-20S-06 had two assay submittals, one with a smaller sample weight, another with a larger sample weight. The assays from the larger sample weight were used for these drillholes unless no sample was present from the larger sample weight. In those thirteen cases, the assays from smaller sample weight were included in the database. Of those thirteen, only one sample was included in a domain.

Table 14-1 Drillholes Excluded from the Model by the Mechanical Audit

CS-238A	DZ12-2
CS-291	DZ12-3
DZ-3	DZ12-4
DZ-4	DZ12-5
DZ12-1	DZ12-6

Table 14-2 American Bonanza 2013 Underground Drilling Excluded from the Model

520-1	650W-15	654-60	690-29	690-7	730-20	750-5
520-10	650W-16	654-61	690-3	690-8	730-21	750-6
520-11	650W-17	654cc-1	690-31	726-1	730-22	750-7
520-12	650W-2	654cc-2	690-32	726-10	730-23	750-8
520-13	650W-3	654cc-3	690-33	726-11	730-3	750-9
520-14	650W-4	690-1	690-34	726-13	730-4	810-10
520-15	650W-5	690-10	690-35	726-14	730-5	810-12
520-16	650W-6	690-11	690-36	726-2	730-7	810-13
520-17	650W-8	690-12	690-38	726-3	730-8	810-14
520-2	650W-9	690-13	690-39	726-4	730-9	810-15
520-3	654-1	690-14	690-40	726-5	750-1	810-16
520-4	654-2	690-15	690-41	726-6	750-10	810-16a
520-5	654-50	690-19	690-42	726-7	750-11	810-17
520-6	654-51	690-2	690-43	730-11	750-12	810-18
520-7	654-52	690-20	690-44	730-13	750-13	810-19
520-8	654-53	690-21	690-45	730-14	750-14	810-2
520-9	654-54	690-22	690-46	730-15	750-17	810-20
650W-1	654-55	690-23	690-47	730-16	750-18	810-21
650W-10	654-56	690-24	690-48	730-17	750-2	810-3
650W-12	654-57	690-25	690-49	730-18	750-20	810-4
650W-13	654-58	690-26	690-50	730-19	750-3	810-8
650W-14	654-59	690-28	690-51	730-2	750-4	810-9

Table 14-3 Drillholes Outside the Geologic Model Extent

06CS-01	06CS-26	08CS-56	CS-132	CS-462	CSR-97
06CS-02	06CS-27	08CS-57	CS-133A	CS-463	DCU-1
06CS-03	07CS-28	CS-112	CS-134	CS-464	DCU-10
06CS-04	07CS-29	CS-113	CS-135A	CS-465	DCU-11
06CS-05	07CS-30	CS-116	CS-136	CS-466	DCU-12
06CS-06	07CS-31	CS-117	CS-137	CS-467	DCU-13
06CS-07	07CS-35	CS-119A	CS-137A	CS-476	DCU-14
06CS-08	07CS-37	CS-120	CS-138	CS-477	DCU-15
06CS-09	07CS-38	CS-121	CS-139	CS-478	DCU-16
06CS-10	07CS-39	CS-122	CS-140	CS-479	DCU-17
06CS-13	07CS-40	CS-123	CS-141	CS-480	DCU-6
06CS-14	07CS-41	CS-124	CS-263	CS-491	DCU-7
06CS-19	07CS-42	CS-125	CS-265	CSD-10	DCU-9
06CS-20	07CS-43	CS-126	CS-456	CSR-75A	H5-130
06CS-21	07CS-44	CS-127	CS-457	CSR-76	H5-139
06CS-22	08CS-45	CS-128	CS-458	CSR-91	H5-140
06CS-23	08CS-46	CS-129	CS-459	CSR-93	H5-151
06CS-24	08CS-47	CS-130	CS-460	CSR-95	H5-162
06CS-25	08CS-48	CS-131	CS-461	CSR-96A	H5-87

14.2 Construction of Original Topographic Surface

Detailed 2-ft. contours of the current topography, including mined out pit, was provided to HRC by Kerr in 2017. In order to construct the original topographic surface before open pit mining activity, surface drillhole collars within the pit boundary were used to interpolate the original surface in conjunction with the detailed topographic contours in Leapfrog. The use of surface drillhole collars is acceptable practice due to the low topographic relief in the property area.

14.3 Geologic Model

The Copperstone deposit is presently best described as a mid-Tertiary, detachment fault related gold deposit. Detachment faults are low-angle (up to 30°) normal faults of regional extent that have accommodated significant regional extension by upward movement of the foot-wall (lower-plate) producing horizontal displacements on the order of tens of kilometers. Common features of these faults are supracrustal rocks in the upper-plate on top of lower-plate rocks that were once at middle and lower crustal depths, mylonitization in lower-plate rocks that are cut by the brittle detachment fault, and listric and planar normal faults bounding half-graben basins in the upper plate (Davis and Lister, 1988).

The strike length of the deposit is approximately 4,000 ft. and mineralization has been encountered by drillholes to a depth of -330 ft. (approximately 1,200 ft. below surface). The geologic model was created using Leapfrog, and is comprised of four structural domains, six stratigraphic units, and 48 estimation domains. The extents of the geologic model are 3,800 ft. east-west by 5,900 ft. north-south, by 2,200 ft. depth. Table 14-4 summarizes the minimum and maximum extents of the geologic model. Furthermore, the extents of the geologic model are limited to within 200 ft. of a drillhole.

Table 14-4 Geologic Model Extents

Axis	Minimum	Maximum	Extent
X	332,000	335,800	3,800
Y	1,043,300	1,049,200	5,900
Z	-900	1,300	2,200

14.3.1 Structure

The Copperstone property consists of several structural regimes, which have a significant influence on mineralization.

Northwest Trending Faults/Shear:

Represented by the Copperstone shear, these structures are the primary control of mineralization. The Copperstone shear strikes approximately 320 degrees northwest, and dips range between 45 and 25 degrees to the northeast depending on the location in the property. Additional parallel structures to the Copperstone shear may exist at depth and mineralization encountered in the Footwall zone target, and South zone target may be related to a parallel structure(s).

Northwest Trending Listric Faults:

These secondary structures can be mineralized and are likely controlled by the primary northwest trending structures. Following similar strike orientations to the primary northwest trending structures, the dips can vary from 30 to 75 degrees. The strike lengths are not as consistent as the strike length for the primary northwest trending structures. These listric faults do not show much, if any offsets in the mineralization, and may be more accurately thought of as fractures, or shear zones related to the offsets in the primary northwest trending structures.

Northeast Trending Strike Slip Faults:

These structures bound the Copperstone mineralization in the southeast and northwest, as well as offset the mineralization. These faults are steeply dipping and demonstrate normal dip slip, left lateral strike slip, and in the case of the D zone, a rotational component.

Three faults were modeled to create four structural domains (Figure 14-1). These structural zones follow the northeast trending strike slip faults structural regime and are further constrained to a maximum extent of 200 ft. from a drillhole. Data used to model these faults include, an existing regional geology map developed by Amaco (Cyprus), a structural map developed by MRDI, as well as visual inspection of offsets in grades, and changes in stratigraphy. NE Fault 1, the most prominent northeast striking structure, shows normal dip slip as well as left lateral strike slip components offsetting the A/B zone from the C zone by as much as 200 ft. NE Fault 2 separates the C zone from the D zone. The offsets in NE Fault 2 are less dramatic than those observed in NE Fault 1, however, the D zone mineralization is approximately 10 degrees shallower than mineralization in the C zone. The 10-degree rotation in addition to the presence of sedimentary and metasedimentary lithologies in the D zone which are less significant in the C zone indicate the presence of a

significant northeast trending structure. The Terminator fault separates the D zone from the TERM zone and represents the northwestern extent of known mineralization at Copperstone.




Figure 14-1 Plan View of Modeled Faults and Structural Domains

14.3.2 Stratigraphy

The host rock consists of six stratigraphic units:

- Quaternary Colorado River Sediments - Combined with overburden, the Colorado River sediments of the Bouse Formation are comprised of well developed, poorly consolidated, clay, sand, and silt beds in the Copperstone Project Area (Wood D., 2013).
- Miocene Basalt - “Basaltic to andesitic stocks are cut by mineralized amethyst-quartz-specularite veins to the southeast of the pit where economic mineralization developed.” (MDA, 2000).
- Ironstones - This rock unit may be more accurately described as an alteration package with extensive hematization. Ironstones as a lithologic unit are restricted to the D zone and the northwestern extent part of the C zone.
- Jurassic Planet Volcanics - Consisting primarily of Quartz Latite Porphyry, both D. Wood and MDA characterize this unit as consisting of three-unit sub-types, however, these sub-units were unable to be modeled due to inconsistent logging in the drillholes.
 - Monolithic Breccia
 - Quartz Latite Porphyry (including other felsic intrusive such as dacite)
 - Quartz Latite Tuff
- Triassic Buckskin Formation - A meta-sediment unit comprised of marble/limestone, schist/siltstone, and quartzite. The Buckskin Formation is the principal host-rocks for D zone mineralization. The unit is identified by both D. Wood and MDA.
- Phyllite - Lowest stratigraphic unit, encountered at the base of drillholes largely on the northwest side of the pit beneath Triassic chlorite schist, quartzite, and marble. The phyllite is fine-grained quartz and chlorite with elongate oriented crystals or narrow aggregated lenses.

These stratigraphic units were modeled from logged lithologies in drillholes. Lithologies were grouped based on lithology type. Intervals were then selected by HRC based on the lithologic groups. Drillhole logs and rock type characterizations often differ from person to person, a problem which can be compounded in a property with many operators, as is the case with Copperstone. In some cases, logged drillhole lithologies differed drastically from surrounding drillholes. In these cases, the interval in the selection was changed to the lithology in surrounding drillholes. Intervals logged as structure (faults breccia alteration and veins), and missing lithologies (Missing lithology, no recovery, and backfill) were not included in the stratigraphic model.

The logged length percent from original logs were compared to the modeled volume percent in the stratigraphic model (Table 14-5). Comparison results suggest appropriate model representation of lithology relative to original drillhole logs. Differences in the basalt and phyllite stratigraphies can be explained by the limited amount of drilling intersecting these units compared to the extent of the geologic model. The majority of historic drilling within the D zone is poorly oriented and inconsistently logged, only volumes with demonstrated continuity between multiple drillholes were included in the final model, and account for the relatively low percentage in the modeled volume compared to the logged volume. Figures 14-2 through 14-4 show cross sections of the stratigraphic model throughout the property, starting at the southeast and stepping northwest. All sections are oriented southwest to northeast, looking northwest.

Table 14-5 Comparison of Modeled Stratigraphy Volumes to Logged Interval Lengths

ROCK TYPE	Description	Length (ft.)	Length (%)	Group	Group Length %	Modeled Volume (ft ³)	Modeled Volume %				
CGL	Conglomerate	354.0	0.06%	Quaternary Alluvium	18.58%	1,889,300,000	15.29%				
OB	Overburden	26,493.7	4.66%								
OVB	Overburden	41,980.7	7.39%								
QAL	Quaternary Alluvium	36,569.8	6.44%								
US	Unconsolidated Sediments	145.0	0.03%								
BST	Basalt	8,363.8	1.47%	Basalt	1.51%	370,590,000	3.00%				
BAS	Basalt	203.0	0.04%								
DAC	Dacite	2,326.5	0.41%	Quartz Latite Porphyry	65.79%	7,277,400,000	58.90%				
DK	Dike	40.0	0.01%								
GNT	Granite	2,014.0	0.35%								
INT	Intrusive	840.2	0.15%								
QL	Quartz Latite	315.0	0.06%								
QLP	Quartz Latite Porphyry	366,957.0	64.59%								
QLP & Cslt	Quartz Latite Porphyry & Chloritized Siltstone	10.0	0.00%								
QLP-CSIt	Quartz Latite Porphyry & Chloritized Siltstone	5.0	0.00%								
Qlp/Phy	Quartz Latite Porphyry & Phyllite	10.0	0.00%								
QLT	Quartz Latite	400.7	0.07%								
REG	Regolith	4.2	0.00%								
TFF	Volcanic Tuff	488.8	0.09%								
VNC	Volcanics	357.0	0.06%								
CH	Chert	58.5	0.01%					Metasedimentary Unit	6.04%	544,290,000	4.41%
CSIt	Chloritized Siltstone	697.3	0.12%								
DOL	Dolomite	9.5	0.00%								
HF	Hornfels	425.5	0.07%								
JSP	Jasparoid	642.0	0.11%								
LMS	Limestone	8,363.7	1.47%								
LS	Limestone	6,880.7	1.21%								
Ls/Phy	Limestone/Phyllite	25.0	0.00%								
LS/QPL	Limestone/Quartz Latite Porphyry	9.5	0.00%								
MAR	Marble	2,525.9	0.44%								
Mar + Csch	Marble + Chloritized Schist	25.0	0.00%								
MBL	Marble	483.1	0.09%								
MD	Mudstone	75.0	0.01%								
metaLS	Metamorphosed Limestone	437.7	0.08%								
Metasediment	Metasediment	15.0	0.00%								
metaSLT	Metamorphosed Siltstone	43.6	0.01%								
MF		5.0	0.00%								
MS	Mudstone	35.0	0.01%								
MSB	Mudstone	1,501.3	0.26%								
MSK	Magnetite Skarn	551.2	0.10%								
MST	Mudstone	97.5	0.02%								
Quartzite	Quartzite	500.0	0.09%								
QZT	Quartz	5,986.6	1.05%								
QZTr	Quartz	34.0	0.01%								
SED	Sediments	3,401.7	0.60%								
SK	Skarn	20.4	0.00%								
SLT	Siltstone	1,005.8	0.18%								
SND	Sandstone	101.8	0.02%								
SS	Sandstone	371.0	0.07%								
FST	Ironstone	2,844.6	0.50%	Ironstone	0.99%	4,507,200	0.04%				
FSTK	Ironstone Stockwork	1,527.9	0.27%								
Ironstone	Ironstone	795.0	0.14%								
Silicified Ironstone	Ironstone	460.0	0.08%	Phyllite	7.09%	2,269,600,000	18.37%				
CSch	Chloritized Schist	785.0	0.14%								
PHY	Phyllite	29,076.3	5.12%								
SCH	Schist	10,387.6	1.83%								
SH	Schist	13.2	0.00%								




Figure 14-2 Cross Section A-A' of Modeled Stratigraphy through the A/B Zone




Figure 14-3 Cross Section C-C' of Modeled Stratigraphy through the C Zone




Figure 14-4 Cross Section D-D' of Modeled Stratigraphy through the D Zone

14.4 Mineral Resource Estimation

14.4.1 Estimation Domains

Gold mineralization at Copperstone is controlled by shallow angle northwest striking structures related to listric/detachment faults or shears, such as the Copperstone shear. Additional fractures/shear zones above and below the major structures can also be mineralized. These secondary fractures/shear zones follow the same overall strike but can have dips ranging from 30 to 75 degrees.

General structural trends within the Copperstone property can be visualized by displaying gold assay grades using maximum intensity projections (“MIP”). MIP is a method of interpreting and modeling structural controls from assay data. The method is applied by projecting all assay data onto a 2-dimensional (“2D”) plane (e.g. computer screen) and allowing assays with higher grades (more intensity) to be projected in front of assays with lower grades. Figure 14-5 shows the MIP for gold grades oriented down dip. The black lines show the general trends of the major controlling structures observed at Copperstone.




Figure 14-5 MIP of Gold Grades Showing Interpretations Major Structural Controls

MIP from gold grades confirmed the overall northwest structural trends described in previous reports. HRC determined modeling estimation domains from gold grades was appropriate for the Copperstone property. Domains were initially identified by reviewing gold grades greater than, or equal to, 0.1 troy ounces per short ton (“oz/ton”) in cross section. The cut-off was selected based on initial assumptions that the mining cut-off would be near to 0.1 oz/ton gold for the deposit. A minimum interval length of 5 ft. was selected based on

assumptions about minimum mining width. Intervals greater than the cut-off, with a minimum length of 5 ft., and demonstrating continuity down dip were selected and grouped into domains. If a sample was above cut-off, but smaller than the 5 ft. requirement, additional samples were added until the 5 ft. minimum was met or exceeded. Domain thickness was allowed to expand as necessary to include gold grades above cut-off.

Volumes were modeled using the vein modeling method in Leapfrog after initial interval selections. Vein modeling in Leapfrog takes the selected intervals and bisects each interval into footwall and hanging wall based on the midpoint of the selection. Radial Basis Function (“RBF”) interpolants are applied to fit a footwall and hanging wall surface to the selections. The interior between the two surfaces is filled to create a vein volume.

Selective inclusion of below cutoff materials was utilized to preserve continuity along strike and dip as needed. The method was applied on a case-by-case basis using the same methodology as selecting intervals above cut-off. Figures 14-6 and 14-7 show the vein modeling methodology in Leapfrog.




Figure 14-6 Cross Section C-C', Showing CUVN Domain and Gold Assay Intervals




Figure 14-7 Cross Section C-C', Showing Interval Selections, and Footwall and Hanging Wall Determinations

A total of 48 mineralized domains were modeled using this method. The domains are grouped into six zones based on their spatial location. Table 14-6 summarizes the domain names and additional descriptions, and Figures 14-8 through 14-14 show the estimation domains viewed from multiple directions in 3D.

A/B Zone

The A/B zone (Figure 14-8) includes eleven domains in the southeast extent of the geologic model. The domains are controlled by the Copperstone shear and are bounded to the northwest by NE Fault 1. Past reports have separated the A/B zone into two parts, the A zone, and B zone. HRC did not see any geologic, or geostatistical distinction between the A zone and B zone. The intercept from drillhole AZG-20S-02 (Table 10-16) supports the interpretation by HRC. Orientation of these domains strike between N35W and N65W, and dip between 30NE and 40NE. There are three domains, AUP4, AUP5, and ALW2, that strike more easterly/westerly and dip more shallowly.

C Zone

The C zone domains (Figures 14-9 and 14-10) located within the central portion of the geologic model are controlled by the Copperstone shear. The eleven C zone domains are bounded by NE Fault 1 to the southeast, and NE Fault 2 to the northwest. The orientation of these domains strike between N40W and N80W, and dip between 20NE and 45NE. The CUVN domain represents the largest, and most continuous domain, and is likely the mineralized expression the Copperstone shear zone. CLW3, CLW7, and CLW8 strike more northerly. CLW9 strikes more easterly and dips more shallowly.

Table 14-6 Descriptive Information for Modeled Estimation Domains

Zone	Domain	ft ³ x 1,000	Volume % Zone	Volume % Total	Strike	Dip	Pitch	Zone	Domain	ft ³ x 1,000	Volume % Zone	Volume % Total	Strike	Dip	Pitch
A/B zone	ALW1	2,987.3	15.98%	2.90%	N45W	30NE	55	Footwall zone	FW01	31.3	0.12%	0.03%	N45W	80NE	90
	ALW2	239.1	1.28%	0.23%	N80W	20NE	80		FW02	11,287.0	44.26%	10.96%	N30W	40NE	35
	ALW3	1,127.5	6.03%	1.10%	N65W	30NE	65		FW03	3,762.7	14.76%	3.65%	N20W	40NE	25
	ALW4	1,339.5	7.17%	1.30%	N35W	30NE	40		FW04	2,752.6	10.79%	2.67%	N30W	40NE	30
	AUP1	1,213.7	6.49%	1.18%	N40W	30NE	40		FW05	4,410.8	17.30%	4.28%	N30W	40NE	30
	AUP2	1,400.2	7.49%	1.36%	N55W	30NE	40		FW06	1,244.7	4.88%	1.21%	N30W	25NE	30
	AUP3	879.8	4.71%	0.85%	N35W	40NE	50		FW07	1,596.6	6.26%	1.55%	N35W	35NE	40
	AUP4	537.4	2.87%	0.52%	N85W	25NE	90		FW08	413.7	1.62%	0.40%	N30W	45NE	40
	AUP5	397.7	2.13%	0.39%	N75E	20SE	105	S zone	S01	980.7	8.02%	0.95%	N55W	45NE	60
	CUVNA	6,467.8	34.60%	6.28%	N40W	40NE	25		S02	1,058.1	8.65%	1.03%	N50W	50NE	60
CUVNB	2,103.7	11.25%	2.04%	N40W	30NE	45	S03		4,552.1	37.22%	4.42%	N25W	45NE	30	
C zone	CLW1	3,254.4	9.75%	3.16%	N40W	30NE	45		S04	2,915.3	23.84%	2.83%	N30W	40NE	35
	CLW2	3,496.1	10.47%	3.40%	N65W	30NE	65		S05	1,742.0	14.24%	1.69%	N30W	35NE	35
	CLW3	205.5	0.62%	0.20%	N15W	35NE	15		S06	981.2	8.02%	0.95%	N45W	40NE	50
	CLW4	173.9	0.52%	0.17%	N70W	20NE	70	Upper Fracture zone	CUP1	41.9	0.78%	0.04%	N85W	10NE	85
	CLW5	149.6	0.45%	0.15%	N80W	25NE	80		CUP2	515.3	9.53%	0.50%	N35W	20NE	40
	CLW6	181.3	0.54%	0.18%	N40W	30NE	45		CUP3	675.3	12.50%	0.66%	N35W	30NE	40
	CLW7	238.4	0.71%	0.23%	N25W	45NE	40		CUP4	2,280.4	42.19%	2.21%	N25W	40NE	30
	CLW8	132.3	0.40%	0.13%	N15W	20NE	20		CUP5	1,649.2	30.52%	1.60%	N45W	40NE	50
	CLW9	127.1	0.38%	0.12%	N10E	15SE	180		CUP7	242.4	4.49%	0.24%	N45W	30NE	50
	CUP6	361.8	1.08%	0.35%	N75W	20NE	80								
CUVND	25,075.0	75.09%	24.35%	N30W	35NE	40									
D zone	CUVND2	1,047.5	13.54%	1.02%	N25W	25NE	30								
	CUVND3	1,386.9	17.93%	1.35%	N25W	25NE	25								
	CUVND4	2,921.7	37.78%	2.84%	N10W	30NE	10								
	CUVND5	414.2	5.36%	0.40%	N20W	20NE	30								
	CUVND6	423.2	5.47%	0.41%	N15W	25NE	15								
	CUVND	1,541.0	19.92%	1.50%	N10W	25NE	10								

Note: Domain naming convention reflects the Zone in which the domain is located and the relative position to the main Copperstone shear. Domains within the Copperstone shear are denoted with a *CUVND*. The UP or LW refers to whether the domain is above (UP) or below (LW) the Copperstone shear. The number is a unique identifier for the domain and is not a reflection of the relative distance from the Copperstone shear.




Figure 14-8 3D View of Modeled A/B Zone Estimation Domains




Figure 14-9 3D View of Modeled C Zone Estimation Domains




Figure 14-10 3D View of Modeled C Zone Estimation Domains




Figure 14-11 3D View of Modeled D Zone Estimation Domains




Figure 14-12 3D View of Modeled Footwall Zone Estimation Domains




Figure 14-13 3D View of Modeled South Zone Estimation Domains



Figure 14-14 3D View of Modeled Upper Fracture Zone Estimation Domains

D Zone

Underground drilling in the D zone by American Bonanza was conducted from a single primary station, and two secondary stations. The drillholes were oriented to spread out radially from the stations and oriented down dip of the structure. Although the drilling did encounter significant gold grade intercepts over extensive interval lengths, the orientation was not conducive for the software to accurately identify the footwall and hanging wall from sample selections. In order to model the estimation domains in the D zone, drillholes from surface were initially used to model the domains using the same modeling method described above. Intervals from underground drilling were then selected based on the domain projection, gold grades, and until a minimum thickness of approximately 5 ft. was reached for the domain. As a result, the underground drillholes in the D zone often include more grades below cut-off and show longer lengths than those drillholes in other parts of the Project.

Six domains modeled in the D zone (Figure 14-11) are bounded to the southeast by NE Fault 2 and restricted to the northwest by the Terminator Fault. These domains are still controlled by the Copperstone shear and dip at shallower angles relative to other Copperstone shear domains. The D zone domains strike between N10W and N25W, and dip between 20NE and 30NE.

Footwall Zone

The Footwall zone (Figure 14-12) is comprised of eight estimation domains, residing to the southwest and beneath the C zone domains. These domains appear to be controlled by parallel structures stratigraphically below the Copperstone shear. These domains strike between N20W and N35W, and dip between 35NE and 45NE. FW06 dips more shallowly to the northeast. FW01 dips 80NE and is bounded by FW02, and FW05. Vertical fractures related to listric faulting show potential mineralization and may be present in other parts of the property. These generally appear to exhibit shorter strike lengths relative to domains following the shear zone trends.

South Zone

Six estimation domains constitute the South zone (Figure 14-13). The South zone resides deeper and to the southwest relative to A/B zone domains. These domains are likely the Southeast continuation of the Footwall zone offset by NE Fault 1. These domains strike between N25W and N55W, and dip between 35NE and 50NE.

Upper Fracture Zone

The Upper Fracture zone (Figure 14-14) is represented by six domains above the C zone. These domains can carry significant gold grades, though the continuity between high grade intercepts is low. These domains are interpreted to be the result of fractures/shear zones related to the displacement of country rock by the Copperstone shear. These domains strike between N25W and N45W, and dip between 20NE and 40NE. CUP1 strikes more westerly and dips more shallowly.

14.4.2 Validation

Visual inspection of the domains show agreement with the primary structural orientations identified from MIP (Figure 14-5) and coincide with the overall structural interpretation of the Copperstone property (Figure 14-15).




Figure 14-15 All Modeled Estimation Domains Shown in Same View Orientation as MIP Figure 14-5

Contact plots showing the average gold grade across the estimation domain boundary show the modeled estimation domains accurately represent the gold mineralization at Copperstone. Average grades report significantly higher within the modeled domains compared to average grade outside the domains. Figures 14-16 through 14-19 show contact plots for four domains.




Figure 14-16 Contact Plot for the CUVNA Domain Showing Averaged Gold Grade




Figure 14-17 Contact Plot for the CUVNB Domain Showing Averaged Gold Grade




Figure 14-18 Contact Plot for the CUVN Domain Showing Averaged Gold Grade




Figure 14-19 Contact Plot for the CUVND Domain Showing Averaged Gold Grade

14.4.3 Block Model Setup

Modeled stratigraphy and estimation domains were coded into a block model for the purpose of estimating gold mineral resources. The block model parameters defined in Table 14-7, encompass all modeled estimation domains. The block model is rotated along strike 60 degrees west from north along the Z axis, and 35 degrees down around the X axis. Sub-blocking was applied in the Y direction to a minimum block size of 5 ft., and to a minimum of 1 ft. in the Z direction to accurately capture estimation domain volumes. Comparison of volumes between estimation domain blocks and wireframe solids is reported in Table 14-8. Differences are within 1% for most domains.

Table 14-7 Block Model Parameters

Axis	Origin (LLC)	Block Size	Sub-block Count	Minimum Block Size	Num Blocks	Boundary Size
X	331210.0004	20	1	20	257	5140
Y	1047125.214	20	4	5	126	2520
Z	456.6973722	5	5	1	306	1530
Dip Az	60					
Dip	35					

Table 14-8 Volume Comparison Between Block Model and Modeled Solids

Zone	Domain	Wireframe ft ³ x 1,000	Block Model ft ³ x 1,000	% Diff	Zone	Domain	Wireframe ft ³ x 1,000	Block Model ft ³ x 1,000	% Diff
A zone	ALW1	2,987.3	2,986.2	-0.04%	Footwall zone	FW01	31.3	31.0	-0.84%
	ALW2	239.1	240.7	0.68%		FW02	11,287.0	11,288.8	0.02%
	ALW3	1,127.5	1,127.1	-0.04%		FW03	3,762.7	3,761.1	-0.04%
	ALW4	1,339.5	1,337.4	-0.16%		FW04	2,752.6	2,754.1	0.05%
	AUP1	1,213.7	1,215.1	0.12%		FW05	4,410.8	4,414.1	0.07%
	AUP2	1,400.2	1,401.1	0.06%		FW06	1,244.7	1,252.7	0.64%
	AUP3	879.8	879.4	-0.04%		FW07	1,596.6	1,591.9	-0.29%
	AUP4	537.4	537.6	0.04%		FW08	413.7	414.9	0.28%
	AUP5	397.7	397.8	0.02%	South zone	S01	980.7	981.5	0.08%
	CUVNA	6,467.8	6,471.4	0.06%		S02	1,058.1	1,056.9	-0.11%
CUVNB	2,103.7	2,106.0	0.11%	S03		4,552.1	4,541.1	-0.24%	
C zone	CLW1	3,254.4	3,250.4	-0.12%		S04	2,915.3	2,906.7	-0.29%
	CLW2	3,496.1	3,497.1	0.03%		S05	1,742.0	1,743.6	0.09%
	CLW3	205.5	204.7	-0.40%		S06	981.2	978.0	-0.32%
	CLW4	173.9	174.4	0.30%	Upper Fracture zone	CUP1	41.9	42.5	1.45%
	CLW5	149.6	151.2	1.05%		CUP2	515.3	515.3	0.00%
	CLW6	181.3	183.3	1.11%		CUP3	675.3	677.8	0.37%
	CLW7	238.4	238.7	0.11%		CUP4	2,280.4	2,277.9	-0.11%
	CLW8	132.3	130.5	-1.35%		CUP5	1,649.2	1,651.8	0.16%
	CLW9	127.1	126.3	-0.59%		CUP7	242.4	242.0	-0.17%
	CUP6	361.8	362.1	0.08%					
CUVN	25,075.0	25,080.9	0.02%						
D zone	CUVND2	1,047.5	1,051.2	0.35%					
	CUVND3	1,386.9	1,388.3	0.10%					
	CUVND4	2,921.7	2,891.8	-1.02%					
	CUVND5	414.2	411.9	-0.55%					
	CUVND6	423.2	422.6	-0.14%					
	CUVND	1,541.0	1,543.3	0.15%					

14.4.4 Compositing

Five-foot downhole composites were broken out by domain contact. Sample lengths less than 2.5 ft. were distributed equally throughout the drillhole inside the domain. Composite descriptive statistics were compared to sample statistics to ensure populations within domains were not overly affected by composite length. Descriptive statistics of gold grades for composites by domain are presented in Table 14-9.

14.4.5 Outlier Composite Handling

Estimation of highly skewed grade distributions, as is present within the Copperstone estimation domains, can be sensitive to the presence of even a few extreme values resulting in an overestimation of the mean. To better estimate the true mean of the deposit, a detailed capping study was performed on a domain-by-domain basis. The coefficient of variation (“CV”) is a statistical measure of the population’s uniformity. A CV greater than two indicates the presence of statistical outliers which require to be treated before estimation of gold grades. A CV less than one can indicate no significant statistical outliers are present. The CV of each domain was reviewed. If the CV of the less than one, no action was taken. If the CV of the domain was greater than two, outliers in the domain were capped. For domains with a CV between one and two, outliers were restricted by distance. Outliers and capping/restrictive limits were identified using a combination of histograms, cumulative frequency plots, and visual inspection of the composite population within the domain. Table 14-10 summarizes the methodology, capping limits, and number of composites impacted for each domain.

Table 14-9 Descriptive Statistics of Gold Grades (oz/ton) for Composites by Domain

Zone	Domain	Count	Length	Mean	Std. Dev.	CV	Minimum	Median	Maximum
A/B zone	ALW1	64	320	0.139	0.202	1.46	0.0001	0.059	1.06
	ALW2	8	40	0.153	0.059	0.39	0.1050	0.132	0.26
	ALW3	38	186.137	0.125	0.171	1.37	0.0001	0.080	0.84
	ALW4	35	171.956	0.097	0.120	1.24	0.0001	0.089	0.60
	AUP1	39	195	0.120	0.127	1.06	0.0100	0.071	0.44
	AUP2	42	208.2	0.141	0.087	0.62	0.0095	0.101	0.52
	AUP3	30	143.994	0.130	0.139	1.07	0.0001	0.115	0.39
	AUP4	27	138.3	0.288	0.615	2.13	0.0030	0.090	2.69
	AUP5	25	125.197	0.054	0.095	1.77	0.0001	0.006	0.39
C zone	CUVNA	167	832.658	0.181	0.146	0.81	0.0004	0.130	0.87
	CUVNB	67	335.2	0.161	0.184	1.14	0.0001	0.105	0.85
	CLW1	152	761.437	0.165	0.331	2.00	0.0001	0.076	2.90
	CLW2	112	564.84	0.079	0.095	1.21	0.0001	0.045	0.47
	CLW3	9	45	0.087	0.070	0.80	0.0030	0.109	0.20
	CLW4	13	59.925	0.187	0.134	0.72	0.0001	0.168	0.47
	CLW5	7	30.4	0.100	0.178	1.78	0.0030	0.056	0.51
	CLW6	9	45	0.181	0.068	0.37	0.0950	0.185	0.26
	CLW7	8	41	0.314	0.399	1.27	0.0070	0.232	1.09
	CLW8	10	51	0.079	0.096	1.22	0.0013	0.064	0.28
	CLW9	25	120.72	0.104	0.142	1.37	0.0001	0.040	0.50
D zone	CUP6	19	93.915	0.112	0.096	0.86	0.0001	0.105	0.31
	CUVN	785	3877.363	0.188	0.239	1.27	0.0001	0.131	3.68
	CUVND	387	1937.701	0.313	0.886	2.83	0.0004	0.099	13.54
	CUVND2	351	1747.214	0.176	0.734	4.16	0.0001	0.016	12.01
	CUVND3	374	1852.2	0.173	0.457	2.64	0.0001	0.024	4.85
	CUVND4	208	1032.614	0.080	0.395	4.91	0.0001	0.003	3.75
Footwall zone	CUVND5	31	139.148	0.164	0.569	3.47	0.0002	0.014	2.94
	CUVND6	21	102	0.034	0.072	2.13	0.0001	0.010	0.43
	FW01	13	64	0.288	0.538	1.87	0.0020	0.009	1.50
	FW02	102	520.249	0.134	0.453	3.38	0.0001	0.011	4.23
	FW03	40	192.274	0.068	0.100	1.46	0.0001	0.045	0.52
	FW04	17	86.4	0.057	0.080	1.41	0.0001	0.018	0.22
	FW05	65	328.358	0.038	0.069	1.80	0.0001	0.005	0.32
	FW06	7	33	0.158	0.126	0.80	0.0070	0.148	0.40
South zone	FW07	36	181.649	0.066	0.079	1.20	0.0001	0.042	0.38
	FW08	9	46.801	0.147	0.144	0.98	0.0001	0.202	0.37
	S01	58	289.045	0.099	0.099	1.00	0.0001	0.077	0.37
	S02	24	117.103	0.132	0.121	0.91	0.0001	0.114	0.45
	S03	22	112.9	0.209	0.447	2.14	0.0010	0.067	2.09
	S04	24	121.426	1.121	5.018	4.48	0.0001	0.064	24.81
Upper Fracture zone	S05	7	31.418	0.056	0.051	0.91	0.0001	0.054	0.15
	S06	6	28.5	0.071	0.097	1.37	0.0020	0.044	0.26
	CUP1	9	45	0.067	0.141	2.12	0.0030	0.003	0.42
	CUP2	19	96.108	0.137	0.236	1.72	0.0001	0.027	0.88
	CUP3	37	184	0.032	0.047	1.44	0.0001	0.011	0.18
	CUP4	90	448	0.046	0.111	2.41	0.0001	0.003	0.77
Upper Fracture zone	CUP5	78	385.9	0.609	3.453	5.67	0.0001	0.009	23.54
	CUP7	21	98	0.172	0.561	3.26	0.0020	0.010	2.50

Table 14-10 Capping Values Applied by Domain

Zone	Domain	Composite				Capping/Restriction		
		Count	Mean	Maximum	CV	Method	Value	Composites Capped/Restricted
A/B zone	ALW1	64	0.139	1.06	1.46	Restriction	0.825	1
	ALW2	8	0.153	0.26	0.39	No Action	None	0
	ALW3	38	0.125	0.84	1.37	Restriction	0.506	1
	ALW4	35	0.097	0.60	1.24	Restriction	0.238	1
	AUP1	39	0.120	0.44	1.06	Restriction	0.422	1
	AUP2	42	0.141	0.52	0.62	No Action	None	0
	AUP3	30	0.130	0.39	1.07	Restriction	0.390	2
	AUP4	27	0.288	2.69	2.13	Cap	0.822	1
	AUP5	25	0.054	0.39	1.77	Restriction	0.213	1
	CUVNA	167	0.181	0.87	0.81	No Action	None	0
C zone	CUVNB	67	0.161	0.85	1.14	Restriction	0.629	2
	CLW1	152	0.165	2.90	2.00	Restriction	1.466	3
	CLW2	112	0.079	0.47	1.21	Restriction	0.446	1
	CLW3	9	0.087	0.20	0.80	No Action	None	0
	CLW4	13	0.187	0.47	0.72	No Action	None	0
	CLW5	7	0.100	0.51	1.78	Restriction	0.100	1
	CLW6	9	0.181	0.26	0.37	No Action	None	0
	CLW7	8	0.314	1.09	1.27	Restriction	0.613	1
	CLW8	10	0.079	0.28	1.22	Restriction	0.216	1
	CLW9	25	0.104	0.50	1.37	Restriction	0.339	2
D zone	CUP6	19	0.112	0.31	0.86	No Action	None	0
	CUVN	785	0.188	3.68	1.27	Restriction	2.119	1
	CUVND	387	0.313	13.54	2.83	Restriction	6.278	1
	CUVND2	351	0.176	12.01	4.16	Restriction	2.249	4
	CUVND3	374	0.173	4.85	2.64	Restriction	2.234	2
	CUVND4	208	0.080	3.75	4.91	Restriction	1.718	2
Footwall zone	CUVND5	31	0.164	2.94	3.47	Restriction	0.562	1
	CUVND6	21	0.034	0.43	2.13	Restriction	0.100	1
	FW01	13	0.288	1.50	1.87	Restriction	0.382	2
	FW02	102	0.134	4.23	3.38	Cap	0.590	2
	FW03	40	0.068	0.52	1.46	Restriction	0.194	2
	FW04	17	0.057	0.22	1.41	Restriction	0.216	1
	FW05	65	0.038	0.32	1.80	Restriction	0.280	1
	FW06	7	0.158	0.40	0.80	No Action	None	0
South zone	FW07	36	0.066	0.38	1.20	Restriction	0.224	1
	FW08	9	0.147	0.37	0.98	No Action	None	0
	S01	58	0.099	0.37	1.00	No Action	None	0
	S02	24	0.132	0.45	0.91	No Action	None	0
	S03	22	0.209	2.09	2.14	Cap	0.758	1
	S04	24	1.121	24.81	4.48	Cap	0.421	1
Upper Fracture zone	S05	7	0.056	0.15	0.91	No Action	None	0
	S06	6	0.071	0.26	1.37	Restriction	0.100	1
	CUP1	9	0.067	0.42	2.12	Cap	0.156	1
	CUP2	19	0.137	0.88	1.72	Restriction	0.331	2
	CUP3	37	0.032	0.18	1.44	Restriction	0.149	1
	CUP4	90	0.046	0.77	2.41	Cap	0.268	2
Upper Fracture zone	CUP5	78	0.609	23.54	5.67	Cap	0.618	2
	CUP7	21	0.172	2.50	3.26	Cap	0.345	1

14.4.6 Variography

Variography analysis of composites within each estimate domain was conducted. The variogram was rotated along strike and down dip of the modeled domains. Radial plots were used to determine the plunge. The total sill was set to the variance of the composite population which was normalized to 1.0. The nugget was set based on the combined evidence from the major (down dip), semi-major (along strike), and minor axis (thickness). The average nugget was approximately 20% for all estimation domains. A spherical model was used to assign the range in all three axis directions. In some cases, composite populations were too low to provide a reliable model fit using pair counts alone. In those cases, and for all variograms, a plot set to 1.5x moving average of the gamma was incorporated to fit the variogram model. Figures 14-20 through 14-23 show modeled variograms for four significant domains across the strike of the Project. Variogram parameters are summarized in Table 14-11.

Overall, the modeled variograms tend to show a low anisotropy along strike and down dip with an average of 1.5 and a maximum of 2.6. The maximum range for the variograms had an average of approximately 140 feet with a maximum of 250 ft. The variography study suggest an appropriate search ellipse for the purpose of estimating gold grades should have a low anisotropy down dip and along strike, and the maximum range should reside between 150 and 200 feet.

Table 14-11 Summary of Variogram Parameters

Zone	Domain	Direction			Nugget	Structure 1				Total Sill
		Dip	Dip Azi.	Pitch		Sill	Major	Semi-major	Minor	
A/B zone	ALW1	30	45	75	0.20	0.80	170	110	5	1.00
	ALW2	20	10	60	0.21	0.79	105	105	5	1.00
	ALW3	30	25	90	0.20	0.80	215	100	5	1.00
	ALW4	30	55	30	0.20	0.80	80	60	5	1.00
	AUP1	30	50	55	0.20	0.80	155	115	5	1.00
	AUP2	30	35	75	0.51	0.50	175	100	5	1.00
	AUP3	40	55	75	0.20	0.80	110	110	10	1.00
	AUP4	25	5	115	0.20	0.81	95	50	10	1.01
	AUP5	20	345	75	0.15	0.85	100	90	10	1.00
	CUVNA	40	50	45	0.10	0.90	180	130	5	1.00
CUVNB	30	50	65	0.16	0.84	110	95	5	1.00	
C zone	CLW1	30	50	105	0.10	0.90	120	80	20	1.00
	CLW2	30	25	155	0.20	0.80	225	200	10	1.01
	CLW3	35	75	135	0.36	0.64	70	70	10	1.00
	CLW4	20	20	105	0.20	0.80	85	65	25	1.00
	CLW5	25	10	120	0.20	0.80	80	85	10	1.00
	CLW6	30	50	70	0.43	0.57	90	70	10	1.00
	CLW7	45	65	60	0.20	0.80	120	80	5	1.00
	CLW8	20	75	15	0.20	0.80	75	45	5	1.00
	CLW9	15	100	100	0.20	0.80	100	50	5	1.00
	CUP6	20	15	90	0.20	0.80	100	60	10	1.00
CUVN	35	60	45	0.06	0.94	225	205	30	1.00	
D zone	CUVND	25	80	65	0.23	0.77	125	160	10	1.00
	CUVND2	25	65	45	0.20	0.80	165	120	10	1.00
	CUVND3	25	65	25	0.20	0.80	205	80	10	1.00
	CUVND4	35	80	55	0.31	0.69	80	70	20	1.00
	CUVND5	20	70	55	0.20	0.80	130	80	5	1.00
	CUVND6	25	75	25	0.20	0.80	105	60	5	1.00
Footwall zone	FW01	80	45	45	0.20	0.80	45	30	5	1.00
	FW02	40	60	110	0.20	0.80	110	75	10	1.00
	FW03	40	70	75	0.17	0.84	185	155	10	1.00
	FW04	40	60	75	0.20	0.80	235	220	10	1.00
	FW05	40	60	45	0.20	0.80	240	165	10	1.00
	FW06	25	60	90	0.20	0.80	235	225	10	1.00
	FW07	35	55	35	0.20	0.80	250	135	10	1.00
	FW08	45	60	75	0.20	0.80	160	125	10	1.00
South zone	S01	45	35	165	0.20	0.80	180	90	24	1.00
	S02	50	40	105	0.18	0.82	140	80	10	1.00
	S03	45	65	135	0.20	0.80	140	100	10	1.00
	S04	40	60	134	0.20	0.80	150	100	10	1.00
	S05	35	60	110	0.20	0.80	165	70	10	1.00
	S06	40	45	25	0.20	0.80	165	80	10	1.00
Upper Fracture zone	CUP1	10	5	160	0.10	0.90	80	80	10	1.00
	CUP2	20	55	105	0.20	0.80	100	100	10	1.00
	CUP3	30	55	70	0.20	0.80	130	90	10	1.00
	CUP4	40	65	65	0.20	0.80	175	105	10	1.00
	CUP5	40	45	115	0.20	0.80	105	70	10	1.00
	CUP7	30	45	20	0.20	0.80	95	65	10	1.00




Figure 14-20 Major and Semi-Major Axis Variograms and Radial Plot for CUVNA Domain.




Figure 14-21 Major and Semi Major Axis Variograms and Radial Plot for CUVNB Domain.




Figure 14-22 Major and Semi Major Axis Variograms and Radial Plot for CUVN Domain.




Figure 14-23 Major and Semi Major Axis Variograms and Radial Plot for CUVN Domain.

14.4.7 Estimation Parameters

Gold mineralization for the Project was estimated using a single pass and an ordinary kriging algorithm (“OK”). A variable orientation was selected as the best method to model gold grades allowing for the search ellipse to follow the curvature of modeled domains. The major, and semi-major axis ranges were based on the volume weighted average range of the modeled variograms by zone. Search ellipses could be expanded in order to ensure the majority of the modeled domains received a gold estimate. The anisotropy from the volume weighted average ranges was always preserved. Once the search ellipse ranges were established, the distance limits for the restricted outliers could be determined. Based on visual inspections of gold grade within the model, as well as observations from drilling conducted to date, a restrictive distance of 50% of the volume weighted average variogram range was applied to all zones except the D zone, which restricted the distance of outliers to 33% of the volume weighted average variogram range. The composite selection methodology used a minimum of 1 composite, and a maximum of 5 composites with no more than 2 composites coming from a single drillhole. Due to the clustered drilling by American Bonanza, the methodology was modified in the D zone where an octant search methodology was used ensuring the estimated block incorporated composites from multiple sectors of the search ellipse. The composite selection methodology within the D zone used a minimum of 1 composite, a maximum of 10 composites, with no more than 2 composites coming from a single drillhole, and with no more than 3 composites coming from a single sector. The estimation parameters are summarized in Table 14-12.

Table 14-12 Summary of Estimation Parameters used to Estimate Gold Grades at Copperstone

Zone	Estimate Search Ellipse*			
	Major	Semi-Major	Minor	Anisotropy
A/B zone	200	140	15	1.43
C zone	210	185	15	1.14
D zone	150	120	15	1.25
Footwall zone	200	150	15	1.33
South zone	200	120	15	1.67
Upper Fracture zone	200	130	15	1.54
Zone	Volume Weighted Average Variogram Range			
	Major	Semi-Major	Minor	Anisotropy
A/B zone	155	108	5	1.43
C zone	209	186	26	1.12
D zone	126	96	14	1.32
Footwall zone	176	132	10	1.33
South zone	152	91	11	1.68
Upper Fracture zone	137	90	10	1.52
Zone	Restrictive Distance			
	% of Variogram	Major	Semi-Major	Minor
A/B zone	50%	77	54	3
C zone	50%	104	93	13
D zone	33%	42	32	4
Footwall zone	50%	88	66	5
South zone	50%	76	45	6
Upper Fracture zone	50%	68	45	5
Zone	Composite Selection			
	Min	Max	Max/DH	Max/Octant
A/B zone	1	5	2	N/A
C zone	1	5	2	N/A
D zone	1	10	2	3
Footwall zone	1	5	2	N/A
South zone	1	5	2	N/A
Upper Fracture zone	1	5	2	N/A

* A variable orientation was applied to all domains for the estimate

14.4.8 Validation

Visual and statistical methods were employed to validate the estimate of gold grades within the Project.

Visual Inspection

Modeled gold grades were compared against composites in 3D and in cross section. The example sections displaying estimated gold grades are shown in Figures 14-25 through 14-28. The figures show agreement between modeled and composite grades. Modeled blocks display grade continuity along strike and down dip. Figure 14-24 shows an orthographic view of the Copperstone gold estimate and approximate section locations.




Figure 14-24 Orthographic 3D View of the OK Gold Estimate for the Copperstone Property Showing Gold Grades Above 0.05 oz/ton




Figure 14-25 Cross Section showing the OK Gold Estimate Grades vs. Composite Gold Grades for the South Zone




Figure 14-26 Cross Section showing the OK Gold Estimate Grades vs. Composite Gold Grades in the Footwall and A/B Zones




Figure 14-27 Cross Section showing the OK Gold Estimate Grades vs. Composite Gold Grades in the C Zone, Footwall Zone, and Upper Fracture Zone




Figure 14-28 Cross Section showing the OK Gold Estimate Grades vs. Composite Gold Grades in the D Zone

Statistical Validation

Inverse distance 2.5 (“ID”) and nearest neighbor (“NN”) models were generated for comparison to the ordinary kriging (“OK”) estimate results. Descriptive statistics for all estimation results and drillhole composites for all estimated domains (“Global”) are reported in Table 14-13. Descriptive statistics for all estimation results and drillhole composites by domain are reported in Tables 14-14 through 14-19. Statistics for the composites are weighted by length and use capped gold grades. Block model statistics are weighted by volume. Note that due to NN methodology, block counts between the NN, and OK and ID models may differ. Also, the OK model reports negative grades in the estimate. Negative grades in OK models are the result of two or more composites within close proximity having significantly different grades resulting in a negative weight assignment. Only 226 blocks (0.03%) were assigned a negative grade and do not significantly impact the estimate of gold grades. The overall reduction of the maximum and standard deviation within the OK and ID models represents an appropriate amount of smoothing to account for the point to block volume variance relationship while maintaining similar means.

Table 14-13 Global Descriptive Statistics Comparing Composite Gold Grades against Modeled Gold Grades

Domain	Name	Count	Length, Volume	Mean	Std. Dev.	CV	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Global	Comp	3,737	18,558	0.160	0.439	2.75	0.00009	0.06	13.54
Global	NN	695,019	91,268,300	0.133	0.286	2.15	0.00009	0.08	13.54
Global	ID	728,520	94,831,200	0.125	0.151	1.21	0.00009	0.09	8.43
Global	OK	728,520	94,831,200	0.128	0.139	1.09	-0.07665	0.10	6.12

Table 14-14 A/B Zone Descriptive Statistics Comparing Composite Gold Grades against Modeled Gold Grades

Domain	Name	Count	Length, Volume	Mean	Std. Dev.	CV	Minimum	Median	Maximum
CUVNA	Comp	167	833	0.181	0.146	0.81	0.00041	0.130	0.87
	NN	38,151	6,454,200	0.187	0.156	0.83	0.00041	0.130	0.87
	ID	38,304	6,471,400	0.182	0.107	0.59	0.00209	0.160	0.83
	OK	38,304	6,471,400	0.187	0.100	0.53	0.00512	0.168	0.68
CUVNB	Comp	67	335	0.161	0.184	1.14	0.00010	0.105	0.85
	NN	15,141	2,065,100	0.180	0.180	1.00	0.00010	0.142	0.85
	ID	15,445	2,095,500	0.162	0.110	0.68	0.00399	0.142	0.77
	OK	15,445	2,095,500	0.163	0.092	0.56	0.00892	0.149	0.67
ALW1	Comp	64	320	0.139	0.202	1.46	0.00010	0.059	1.06
	NN	24,326	2,947,500	0.140	0.194	1.38	0.00010	0.073	1.06
	ID	24,695	2,984,400	0.140	0.148	1.06	0.00010	0.098	1.00
	OK	24,695	2,984,400	0.142	0.127	0.89	0.00010	0.108	0.83
ALW2	Comp	8	40	0.153	0.059	0.39	0.10500	0.132	0.26
	NN	2,407	240,700	0.138	0.040	0.29	0.10500	0.132	0.26
	ID	2,407	240,700	0.145	0.031	0.21	0.10587	0.136	0.26
	OK	2,407	240,700	0.141	0.018	0.13	0.11454	0.140	0.23
ALW3	Comp	38	186	0.125	0.171	1.37	0.00010	0.080	0.84
	NN	7,584	1,127,000	0.098	0.138	1.41	0.00010	0.026	0.84
	ID	7,585	1,127,100	0.109	0.114	1.05	0.00019	0.086	0.84
	OK	7,585	1,127,100	0.104	0.089	0.86	0.00043	0.092	0.47
ALW4	Comp	35	172	0.097	0.120	1.24	0.00010	0.089	0.60
	NN	10,006	1,251,400	0.099	0.103	1.04	0.00010	0.103	0.60
	ID	10,120	1,262,800	0.099	0.075	0.75	0.00010	0.103	0.48
	OK	10,120	1,262,800	0.101	0.069	0.69	0.00010	0.097	0.33
AUP1	Comp	39	195	0.120	0.127	1.06	0.01000	0.071	0.44
	NN	10,517	1,215,100	0.105	0.120	1.15	0.01000	0.062	0.44
	ID	10,517	1,215,100	0.105	0.086	0.82	0.01017	0.074	0.42
	OK	10,517	1,215,100	0.107	0.072	0.67	0.01065	0.088	0.39
AUP2	Comp	42	208	0.141	0.087	0.62	0.00954	0.101	0.52
	NN	6,998	1,383,800	0.135	0.059	0.43	0.00954	0.126	0.52
	ID	7,166	1,400,600	0.143	0.050	0.35	0.01531	0.129	0.50
	OK	7,166	1,400,600	0.141	0.041	0.29	0.04433	0.129	0.27
AUP3	Comp	30	144	0.130	0.139	1.07	0.00010	0.115	0.39
	NN	7,464	879,400	0.150	0.146	0.97	0.00010	0.121	0.39
	ID	7,464	879,400	0.120	0.091	0.76	0.00461	0.103	0.39
	OK	7,464	879,400	0.126	0.082	0.66	0.00492	0.120	0.36
AUP4	Comp	27	138	0.190	0.257	1.35	0.00300	0.090	0.82
	NN	4,573	535,200	0.189	0.246	1.30	0.00300	0.102	0.82
	ID	4,597	537,600	0.185	0.167	0.90	0.00966	0.118	0.81
	OK	4,597	537,600	0.200	0.126	0.63	0.01000	0.172	0.57
AUP5	Comp	25	125	0.054	0.095	1.77	0.00010	0.006	0.39
	NN	3,978	397,800	0.048	0.093	1.92	0.00010	0.003	0.39
	ID	3,978	397,800	0.042	0.057	1.36	0.00033	0.018	0.28
	OK	3,978	397,800	0.038	0.047	1.22	0.00071	0.021	0.21

Table 14-15 C Zone Descriptive Statistics Comparing Composite Gold Grades against Modeled Gold Grades

Domain	Name	Count	Length, Volume	Mean	Std. Dev.	CV	Minimum	Median	Maximum
CUVN	Comp	785	3,877	0.188	0.239	1.27	0.00010	0.131	3.68
	NN	154,083	25,067,900	0.185	0.235	1.27	0.00010	0.128	3.68
	ID	154,210	25,080,600	0.184	0.152	0.83	0.00010	0.152	3.40
	OK	154,210	25,080,600	0.187	0.144	0.77	-0.01935	0.155	2.59
CLW1	Comp	142	711	0.161	0.314	1.95	0.00010	0.082	2.90
	NN	28,248	3,250,400	0.147	0.231	1.57	0.00010	0.076	2.90
	ID	28,248	3,250,400	0.143	0.136	0.95	0.00021	0.111	1.39
	OK	28,248	3,250,400	0.141	0.120	0.85	-0.00075	0.116	1.52
CLW2	Comp	112	565	0.079	0.095	1.21	0.00010	0.045	0.47
	NN	27,566	3,457,700	0.082	0.098	1.19	0.00010	0.050	0.47
	ID	27,959	3,497,000	0.071	0.059	0.83	0.00091	0.056	0.43
	OK	27,959	3,497,000	0.073	0.051	0.70	0.00207	0.062	0.29
CLW3	Comp	9	45	0.087	0.070	0.80	0.00300	0.109	0.20
	NN	2,009	204,700	0.087	0.063	0.73	0.00300	0.109	0.20
	ID	2,009	204,700	0.092	0.050	0.54	0.00386	0.110	0.20
	OK	2,009	204,700	0.085	0.034	0.40	0.02577	0.088	0.14
CLW4	Comp	13	60	0.187	0.134	0.72	0.00010	0.168	0.47
	NN	1,668	174,400	0.170	0.133	0.78	0.00010	0.153	0.47
	ID	1,668	174,400	0.160	0.083	0.52	0.01114	0.156	0.35
	OK	1,668	174,400	0.169	0.059	0.35	0.05700	0.171	0.31
CLW5	Comp	7	30	0.100	0.178	1.78	0.00300	0.056	0.51
	NN	1,512	151,200	0.135	0.194	1.43	0.00300	0.056	0.51
	ID	1,512	151,200	0.126	0.122	0.96	0.00501	0.074	0.50
	OK	1,512	151,200	0.108	0.071	0.66	0.02291	0.082	0.32
CLW6	Comp	9	45	0.181	0.068	0.37	0.09500	0.185	0.26
	NN	1,643	183,300	0.177	0.064	0.36	0.09500	0.185	0.26
	ID	1,643	183,300	0.179	0.047	0.26	0.10546	0.168	0.26
	OK	1,643	183,300	0.174	0.030	0.18	0.12543	0.166	0.26
CLW7	Comp	8	41	0.314	0.399	1.27	0.00700	0.232	1.09
	NN	2,313	231,300	0.220	0.267	1.22	0.00700	0.018	1.09
	ID	2,356	235,600	0.195	0.226	1.16	0.00832	0.103	1.08
	OK	2,356	235,600	0.196	0.209	1.07	0.01252	0.118	0.94
CLW8	Comp	10	51	0.079	0.096	1.22	0.00128	0.064	0.28
	NN	1,286	130,500	0.066	0.077	1.16	0.00127	0.069	0.28
	ID	1,286	130,500	0.069	0.060	0.87	0.00166	0.059	0.28
	OK	1,286	130,500	0.068	0.043	0.63	0.00376	0.056	0.26
CLW9	Comp	25	121	0.104	0.142	1.37	0.00010	0.040	0.50
	NN	1,263	126,300	0.089	0.136	1.53	0.00010	0.015	0.50
	ID	1,263	126,300	0.087	0.096	1.11	0.00192	0.051	0.44
	OK	1,263	126,300	0.091	0.078	0.86	0.00328	0.057	0.34
CUP6	Comp	19	94	0.112	0.096	0.86	0.00010	0.105	0.31
	NN	3,260	362,100	0.142	0.091	0.64	0.00010	0.120	0.31
	ID	3,260	362,100	0.123	0.056	0.45	0.00074	0.132	0.31
	OK	3,260	362,100	0.124	0.044	0.35	0.00414	0.130	0.24

Table 14-16 D Zone Descriptive Statistics Comparing Composite Gold Grades against Modeled Gold Grades

Domain	Name	Count	Length, Volume	Mean	Std. Dev.	CV	Minimum	Median	Maximum
CUVND	Comp	387	1,938	0.313	0.886	2.83	0.00044	0.099	13.54
	NN	12,849	1,543,300	0.338	1.291	3.82	0.00044	0.088	13.54
	ID	12,849	1,543,300	0.232	0.477	2.05	0.00276	0.142	7.48
	OK	12,849	1,543,300	0.250	0.441	1.77	-0.02894	0.155	6.12
CUVND2	Comp	351	1,747	0.176	0.734	4.16	0.00010	0.016	12.01
	NN	9,581	1,051,200	0.254	1.162	4.58	0.00010	0.018	12.01
	ID	9,581	1,051,200	0.140	0.429	3.07	0.00178	0.061	8.43
	OK	9,581	1,051,200	0.158	0.316	2.00	-0.00686	0.076	4.11
CUVND3	Comp	374	1,852	0.173	0.457	2.64	0.00010	0.024	4.85
	NN	12,629	1,388,300	0.168	0.495	2.94	0.00010	0.028	4.85
	ID	12,629	1,388,300	0.139	0.242	1.74	0.00060	0.066	2.90
	OK	12,629	1,388,300	0.158	0.222	1.41	-0.07665	0.090	2.27
CUVND4	Comp	208	1,033	0.080	0.395	4.91	0.00010	0.003	3.75
	NN	19,037	2,139,300	0.044	0.234	5.34	0.00010	0.003	3.75
	ID	19,935	2,244,300	0.045	0.117	2.61	0.00010	0.008	1.56
	OK	19,935	2,244,300	0.051	0.123	2.41	0.00010	0.011	1.72
CUVND5	Comp	31	139	0.164	0.569	3.47	0.00020	0.014	2.94
	NN	4,009	410,400	0.175	0.615	3.51	0.00020	0.015	2.94
	ID	4,012	410,700	0.097	0.306	3.14	0.00027	0.015	2.78
	OK	4,012	410,700	0.089	0.209	2.34	0.00129	0.016	1.47
CUVND6	Comp	21	102	0.034	0.072	2.13	0.00010	0.010	0.43
	NN	3,749	422,400	0.042	0.095	2.26	0.00010	0.008	0.43
	ID	3,751	422,600	0.030	0.039	1.32	0.00015	0.024	0.36
	OK	3,751	422,600	0.028	0.024	0.86	0.00214	0.026	0.24

Table 14-17 Footwall Zone Descriptive Statistics Comparing Composite Gold Grades against Modeled Gold Grades

Domain	Name	Count	Length, Volume	Mean	Std. Dev.	CV	Minimum	Median	Maximum
FW01	Comp	13	64	0.288	0.538	1.87	0.00200	0.009	1.50
	NN	132	13,200	0.387	0.573	1.48	0.00200	0.009	1.50
	ID	132	13,200	0.211	0.314	1.48	0.00200	0.058	1.38
	OK	132	13,200	0.202	0.294	1.45	0.00200	0.053	1.38
FW02	Comp	102	520	0.089	0.149	1.67	0.00010	0.011	0.59
	NN	64,745	7,508,100	0.063	0.116	1.86	0.00010	0.011	0.59
	ID	79,820	9,167,600	0.064	0.096	1.51	0.00010	0.021	0.59
	OK	79,820	9,167,600	0.068	0.094	1.37	0.00010	0.023	0.59
FW03	Comp	40	192	0.068	0.100	1.46	0.00010	0.045	0.52
	NN	24,912	3,141,000	0.093	0.132	1.43	0.00010	0.062	0.52
	ID	27,571	3,405,000	0.081	0.083	1.03	0.00015	0.070	0.52
	OK	27,571	3,405,000	0.084	0.083	0.99	0.00040	0.072	0.52
FW04	Comp	17	86	0.057	0.080	1.41	0.00009	0.018	0.22
	NN	18,499	2,296,400	0.050	0.074	1.47	0.00009	0.018	0.22
	ID	21,494	2,605,400	0.044	0.051	1.17	0.00009	0.027	0.22
	OK	21,494	2,605,400	0.045	0.048	1.08	0.00009	0.027	0.22
FW05	Comp	65	328	0.038	0.069	1.80	0.00010	0.005	0.32
	NN	28,974	3,476,900	0.046	0.068	1.49	0.00010	0.012	0.32
	ID	34,457	4,044,200	0.053	0.057	1.08	0.00010	0.028	0.32
	OK	34,457	4,044,200	0.054	0.053	0.97	0.00010	0.038	0.26
FW06	Comp	7	33	0.158	0.126	0.80	0.00695	0.148	0.40
	NN	11,794	1,187,000	0.130	0.098	0.75	0.00695	0.112	0.40
	ID	11,818	1,189,400	0.141	0.081	0.58	0.00695	0.125	0.38
	OK	11,818	1,189,400	0.141	0.080	0.57	0.00695	0.124	0.34
FW07	Comp	36	182	0.066	0.079	1.20	0.00010	0.042	0.38
	NN	12,637	1,465,100	0.063	0.105	1.68	0.00010	0.027	0.38
	ID	13,714	1,572,800	0.049	0.059	1.20	0.00010	0.035	0.35
	OK	13,714	1,572,800	0.050	0.051	1.03	0.00010	0.038	0.28
FW08	Comp	9	47	0.147	0.144	0.98	0.00010	0.202	0.37
	NN	4,149	414,900	0.099	0.138	1.40	0.00010	0.014	0.37
	ID	4,149	414,900	0.092	0.092	1.01	0.00010	0.052	0.36
	OK	4,149	414,900	0.106	0.075	0.71	0.00010	0.102	0.33

Table 14-18 South Zone Descriptive Statistics Comparing Composite Gold Grades against Modeled Gold Grades

Domain	Name	Count	Length, Volume	Mean	Std. Dev.	CV	Minimum	Median	Maximum
S01	Comp	58	289	0.099	0.099	1.00	0.00010	0.077	0.37
	NN	7,781	977,600	0.106	0.095	0.90	0.00010	0.085	0.37
	ID	7,811	980,600	0.103	0.067	0.65	0.00110	0.097	0.36
	OK	7,811	980,600	0.101	0.059	0.58	-0.00053	0.094	0.32
S02	Comp	24	117	0.132	0.121	0.91	0.00010	0.114	0.45
	NN	8,691	912,800	0.142	0.145	1.02	0.00010	0.123	0.45
	ID	9,233	967,000	0.142	0.088	0.62	0.00010	0.136	0.45
	OK	9,233	967,000	0.142	0.074	0.52	0.00010	0.137	0.45
S03	Comp	22	113	0.150	0.213	1.42	0.00100	0.067	0.76
	NN	15,782	2,526,300	0.133	0.182	1.37	0.00100	0.067	0.76
	ID	16,570	2,627,900	0.150	0.150	1.00	0.00100	0.067	0.76
	OK	16,570	2,627,900	0.155	0.149	0.96	0.00100	0.068	0.76
S04	Comp	24	121	0.117	0.131	1.12	0.00010	0.064	0.42
	NN	12,770	1,765,300	0.100	0.105	1.05	0.00010	0.064	0.42
	ID	13,894	1,870,100	0.114	0.102	0.89	0.00042	0.071	0.42
	OK	13,894	1,870,100	0.108	0.086	0.79	0.00182	0.088	0.42
S05	Comp	7	31	0.056	0.051	0.91	0.00010	0.054	0.15
	NN	8,926	892,600	0.055	0.047	0.85	0.00010	0.026	0.15
	ID	9,881	988,100	0.058	0.043	0.75	0.00010	0.043	0.15
	OK	9,881	988,100	0.058	0.042	0.73	0.00010	0.044	0.15
S06	Comp	6	29	0.071	0.097	1.37	0.00200	0.044	0.26
	NN	5,674	584,500	0.046	0.078	1.72	0.00200	0.007	0.26
	ID	5,720	591,000	0.039	0.041	1.06	0.00200	0.034	0.25
	OK	5,720	591,000	0.038	0.031	0.81	0.00200	0.034	0.20

Table 14-19 Upper Fracture Zone Descriptive Statistics Comparing Composite Gold Grades against Modeled Gold Grades

Domain	Name	Count	Length, Volume	Mean	Std. Dev.	CV	Minimum	Median	Maximum
CUP1	Comp	9	45	0.037	0.067	1.80	0.00300	0.003	0.16
	NN	425	42,500	0.035	0.062	1.76	0.00300	0.003	0.16
	ID	425	42,500	0.036	0.027	0.75	0.00312	0.032	0.14
	OK	425	42,500	0.033	0.020	0.60	0.00258	0.029	0.09
CUP2	Comp	19	96	0.137	0.236	1.72	0.00010	0.027	0.88
	NN	4,914	508,500	0.185	0.262	1.41	0.00010	0.030	0.88
	ID	4,912	508,300	0.134	0.139	1.04	0.00255	0.078	0.82
	OK	4,912	508,300	0.127	0.104	0.82	0.00300	0.098	0.52
CUP3	Comp	37	184	0.032	0.047	1.44	0.00010	0.011	0.18
	NN	6,778	677,800	0.037	0.049	1.35	0.00010	0.011	0.18
	ID	6,778	677,800	0.033	0.032	0.97	0.00084	0.023	0.18
	OK	6,778	677,800	0.033	0.025	0.75	0.00281	0.029	0.13
CUP4	Comp	90	448	0.038	0.071	1.87	0.00010	0.003	0.27
	NN	21,410	2,190,400	0.050	0.081	1.61	0.00010	0.006	0.27
	ID	21,516	2,201,000	0.048	0.064	1.34	0.00025	0.015	0.26
	OK	21,516	2,201,000	0.049	0.060	1.21	0.00139	0.022	0.24
CUP5	Comp	78	386	0.067	0.143	2.12	0.00010	0.009	0.62
	NN	15,792	1,651,400	0.065	0.126	1.95	0.00010	0.019	0.62
	ID	15,792	1,651,400	0.062	0.102	1.65	0.00179	0.022	0.62
	OK	15,792	1,651,400	0.062	0.091	1.47	0.00216	0.025	0.62
CUP7	Comp	21	98	0.062	0.113	1.82	0.00200	0.010	0.35
	NN	2,363	242,000	0.056	0.102	1.81	0.00200	0.009	0.35
	ID	2,363	242,000	0.060	0.067	1.12	0.00226	0.034	0.34
	OK	2,363	242,000	0.057	0.050	0.89	0.00373	0.045	0.27

Swath plots were generated to compare average estimated gold grade from the OK method to the two validation model methods (ID and NN). The results from the OK model, plus those for the validation ID model method are compared using the swath plot to the distribution derived from the NN model.

Three swath plots of gold grades were generated and reviewed for each domain. Swath plots for gold are presented for all estimated domains in the following figures: Figure 14-29 shows average gold grade from northwest to southeast along strike; Figure 14-30 shows average gold grade from southwest to northeast down dip, and Figure 14-31 shows average gold grade from top to bottom down thickness. All swath plots show gold grades in oz/ton for NN (Red line), ID (Blue line), and OK (Green line) models. Red and green bars show the block model volume for the NN and OK models respectively.

On a local scale, the nearest neighbor model does not provide a reliable estimate of grades. On a much larger scale, it represents an unbiased estimation of the grade distribution based on the total data set. Therefore, if the OK model is unbiased, the grade trends may show local fluctuations on a swath plot, but the overall trend should be similar to the distribution of grade from the nearest neighbor.

Correlation between the grade estimation methods appears reasonable. Variation between model estimates increases near model edges and is a result of lower drilling density.




Figure 14-29 Swath Plot from Northwest to Southeast (Along Strike) for All Estimated Domains




Figure 14-30 Swath Plot from Southwest to Northeast (Down Dip) for All Estimated Domains




Figure 14-31 Swath Plot from Top to Bottom (Down Thickness) for All Estimated Domains

14.4.9 Density

Two hundred fifty-four density measurements from core drillholes along the strike length of the deposit were used to determine density for blocks inside, and outside estimation domains by stratigraphic unit. Length weighted means were used to assign densities to blocks by lithology inside estimation domains and outside estimation domains. Quaternary alluvium was assigned a density based on average density of packed sand (AMEC, 2006). Basalt was assigned the same density as quartz latite porphyry. Table 14-20 summarizes the densities applied to the block model.

Table 14-20 Summary of Densities Applied to Blocks Within the Copperstone Model

Lithology	Count	SG				tn (shrt)/ft ³
		Min	Max	Mean	Length Weighted Mean	Density
Quaternary Alluvium						0.054
Basalt						0.084
Ironstone (Inside Estimation Domains)	42	2.31	4.67	3.39	3.35	0.105
Ironstone	14	2.02	4.47	2.89	2.91	0.091
Metasediments/Schist (Inside Estimation Domains)	16	2.32	4.08	2.88	2.84	0.089
Metasediments/Schist	39	2.36	3.67	2.65	2.65	0.083
Quartz Latite Porphyry (Inside Estimation Domains)	35	2.14	3.67	2.73	2.68	0.084
Quartz Latite Porphyry	108	0.94	4.35	2.68	2.68	0.084

14.4.10 Mineral Resource Classification

Mineral resources reported here are classified as Measured, Indicated and Inferred according to CIM standards adopted by CIM Counsel on May 10, 2014. HRC classified the mineral resources as Measured, Indicated, and Inferred using the minimum distance from the nearest composite, the number of composites used to estimate a block, and the spatial location and confidence of the estimated domains.

All estimated gold grades within domains in the Footwall, South, Upper Fracture zones, as well as nine domains in the A/B, C and D zones were assigned a classification of Inferred because of limited drilling across the strike length and down dip for the domains, a larger spatial separation from other domains, and/or limited, but burgeoning understanding of the structural controls on the domains. The domain CLW9 was allowed Indicated and Inferred classifications for similar reasons as stated above. The remaining domains were allowed Measured, Indicated, and Inferred classifications. Table 14-21 summarizes the allowable classification by domain.

Table 14-21 Classification Allowance by Estimation Domain

Zone	Domain	Allowable Classification	Zone	Domain	Allowable Classification
A/B zone	ALW1	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred	Footwall zone	FW01	Inferred Only
	ALW2	Inferred Only		FW02	Inferred Only
	ALW3	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		FW03	Inferred Only
	ALW4	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		FW04	Inferred Only
	AUP1	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		FW05	Inferred Only
	AUP2	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		FW06	Inferred Only
	AUP3	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		FW07	Inferred Only
	AUP4	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		FW08	Inferred Only
	CUVNA	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred	South zone	S01	Inferred Only
	CUVNB	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		S02	Inferred Only
C zone	CLW1	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		S03	Inferred Only
	CLW2	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred		S04	Inferred Only
	CLW3	Inferred Only		S05	Inferred Only
	CLW4	Inferred Only		S06	Inferred Only
	CLW5	Inferred Only	Upper Fracture zone	CUP1	Inferred Only
	CLW6	Inferred Only		CUP2	Inferred Only
	CLW7	Inferred Only		CUP3	Inferred Only
	CLW8	Inferred Only		CUP4	Inferred Only
	CLW9	Indicated & Inferred		CUP5	Inferred Only
CUP6	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred	CUP7		Inferred Only	
CUVN	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred				
D zone	CUVND	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred			
	CUVND2	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred			
	CUVND3	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred			
	CUVND4	Inferred Only			
	CUVND5	Measured, Indicated, & Inferred			
	CUVND6	Inferred Only			

Blocks within the remaining A/B and C zone domains were classified as Measured resources if the blocks were within 50 ft. of a composite and estimated using at least 5 composites (three drillholes). Representing the distances at which continuity between drillholes is visually apparent. Additionally, the utmost confidence is reached by including the maximum number of composites based on the estimation parameters. Indicated resources are those blocks within 100 ft. of a composite, or roughly between $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ the variogram range for the A/B and C zones respectively and estimated using at least three composites (two drillholes) to ensure confidence in the estimate. Inferred resources are the remaining estimated blocks and any blocks coded as Basalt.

Mineral resource classification is more restrictive in the D zone due to the orientation of underground drilling reducing confidence in the location and orientation of the modeled domains. Blocks within the D zone domains were classified as Measured resources if the blocks were within 25 ft. of a composite and estimated with at least 10 composites (5 drillholes). Indicated Resources are those blocks within 50 ft. of a composite and estimated with at least 5 composites (3 drillholes). Inferred resources are the remaining estimated blocks.

14.4.11 Removal of Mined Out Blocks

3D solids of the existing underground developments and stopes, including a decline development from Cyprus Mining were used to classify blocks as mined out if the block centroid was inside, or within 1 ft. of the development solid. These blocks could then be coded and removed from the mineral resource statement. The maximum extent of Cyprus Mines open pit operation is not completely known due to backfilling inside the pit. However, HRC constructed a surface of the maximum mined out pit from plan view CAD drawings recently found at the Project geology office. Those blocks within that surface were coded as mined out and removed from the resource estimate.

14.4.12 Sensitivity

Prior to tabulation of mineral resources blocks coded as quaternary alluvium were removed from the mineral resource. The block model tons and grade are shown in Figure 14-32 at variable economic cut-off grades as a sensitivity analysis.




Figure 14-32 Gold Sensitivity Chart

14.4.13 Mineral Resources Statement

The undiluted Copperstone project mineral resource statement is presented in Tables 14-22 and 14-23 below. The results reported in the mineral resource have been rounded to reflect the approximation of grade and quantity which can be achieved at this level of resource estimation. Rounding may result in apparent differences when summing tons, grade and contained metal content. Tonnage and grade measurements are in U.S. Customary units and Metric units. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability and may be materially affected by modifying factors including but not restricted to mining, processing, metallurgical, infrastructure, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental factors. Inferred mineral resources are that part of a mineral resource for which the grade or quality are estimated on the basis of limited geological evidence and sampling. Inferred mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability and may not be converted to a mineral reserve. It is reasonably expected, though not guaranteed, that the majority of Inferred mineral resources could be upgraded to Indicated mineral resources with continued exploration.

The mineral resources are confined to material exceeding the cut-off grade of 0.08 oz/ton within coherent wireframe models and meet the test of reasonable prospect for economic extraction. The cut-off is calculated based on the operating costs, royalties, recoveries and metal prices as presented in Table 14-22. A gold price of \$1,700/oz was chosen which is 15% the 36-month moving average price as of March 2021. The effective date of the mineral resource estimate is June 16, 2021.

Table 14-22 Mineral Resource Cutoff Parameters

Mineral Resource Cut-off		
Mining	\$/ore ton	\$ 75.00
Processing	\$/ore ton	\$ 36.00
G&A	\$/ore ton	\$ 14.00
Recoveries	ton	95.00%
Royalties	gross	3.00%
Refining & Smelting cost	per/oz	\$ 10.00
Total cost	\$/ore ton	\$ 125.00
Gold Selling Price	\$/oz	\$ 1,700.00
Cut-off Grade	oz/ton	0.080

**Table 14-23 Mineral Resource Statement for the Copperstone Project,
La Paz County, Arizona, U.S.A., Hard Rock Consulting, LLC, June 16, 2021**

Classification	Mass		Gold		
	Tons	Tonnes	Troy Ounces	Average Grade	
				t. oz/sh. Ton	g/t
Measured	888,000	806,000	196,000	0.221	7.56
Indicated	554,000	502,000	110,000	0.198	6.77
Measured + Indicated	1,442,000	1,308,000	306,000	0.212	7.26
Inferred	1,238,000	1,123,000	212,000	0.171	5.85

1. The effective date of the mineral resource estimate is June 16, 2021. The QP for the estimate, Mr. Richard A. Schwering, P.G., SME-RM of HRC, is independent of Sabre Gold Mine Corp.
2. Inferred mineral resources are that part of a mineral resource for which the grade or quality are estimated on the basis of limited geological evidence and sampling. Inferred mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability and may not be converted to a mineral reserve. It is reasonably expected, though not guaranteed, that the majority of Inferred mineral resources could be upgraded to Indicated mineral resources with continued exploration.
3. The mineral resource is reported at an underground mining cut-off of 0.080 oz/ton (2.74 g/t) Au beneath the historic open pit and within coherent wireframe models. The cut-off is based on the following assumptions: a gold price of \$1,700/oz; assumed mining cost of \$75/ton (\$68.04/tonne), process costs of \$36/ton (\$32.66/tonne), general and administrative and property/severance tax costs of \$14/ton (\$12.70/tonne), refining costs of \$10.00/oz and metallurgical recovery for gold of 95%, and a 3.0% gross royalty.
4. Rounding may result in apparent differences when summing tons, grade and contained metal content. Tonnage and grade measurements are in U.S. Customary and Metric units. Grades are reported in troy ounces per short ton (t. oz/sh. Ton) and grams per tonne (g/t). Contained metal is reported as troy ounces.

15. ADJACENT PROPERTIES

HRC knows of no adjacent properties which might materially affect the interpretation or evaluation of the mineralization or exploration targets of the Copperstone Project.

16. OTHER RELEVANT DATA AND INFORMATION

HRC knows of no other relevant technical or other data or information that might materially impact the interpretations and conclusions presented herein, nor of any additional information necessary to make the report more understandable or not misleading.

17. INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS

17.1 Geology and Mineral Resource Estimate

The Copperstone deposit is a mid-Tertiary, detachment fault related gold deposit. Mineralization is predominantly controlled by the northwest trending shallow angle Copperstone fault and shear zone. These structures are not confined to any lithologic unit, although the majority of the mineralization is hosted in quartz latite porphyry. Breccia textures as well as chloritization, silicification, and hematite and specularite flooding are reliable indications of gold mineralization.

The drillhole database was vetted to identify missing values, duplicate records, interval overlap errors, from-to data exceeding maximum collar depth, and special (i.e. non-numeric or less than zero) values. Errors identified by the mechanical audit were reviewed with SGLD staff and resolved prior to modelling and calculation of the mineral resource estimate. A random manual check of 4.4% of the historic assay database against original certificates was conducted by HRC. The error rate within the database is considered to be less than 1% based on the number of samples spot checked. Identified errors were corrected prior to modelling and calculation of the mineral resource estimate. All assays from 2017 to present were verified against their certificate values.

HRC concludes that the sample preparation, security and analytical procedures are appropriate and adequate to ensure the integrity of the sample data. The sample methods and density are appropriate, and the samples are of sufficient quality to comprise a representative, unbiased database.

Mineral resource estimation was performed only for gold. Copper may be a by-product of mining economic gold ores and extracting the copper during the processing of the economic gold ore at the Copperstone mine is at the early stages of being evaluated. The economics of monetizing copper as a by-product are potentially attractive as the cash costs of production are shared with the cash cost of producing the primary product – gold. Further exploration drilling, assaying and modelling work of copper bearing gold ore is required. Metallurgical testing for the economic extraction of copper is ongoing, but currently incomplete, and further testing is required. Copper may be incorporated into a mineral resource dependent upon favorable metallurgical results, and a complete review of copper data for adequacy.

Factors that may affect the mineral resources include changes to geological or grade interpretations, including grade shell considerations; changes to the modelling method or approach; changes to metallurgical recovery assumptions; and changes to any of the social, political, economic, permitting, and environmental assumptions considered when evaluating reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction.

17.2 Infrastructure and Permitting

The Copperstone mine benefits from extensive existing infrastructure development including 10,000 ft. of underground development with two portals to access the underground mine from the bottom of the historic open pit mine. Supporting the underground development are electrical equipment, compressors and ventilation. Also present are a mineral processing plant with crush/grind and flotation capable of +840 tons per day, a tailings storage facility expandable, within existing permits, to contain the life of mine ore tailings

defined in the 2018 PFS; and other surface infrastructure including line power, office buildings, maintenance shop, fuel bay, wash rack, assay lab, warehouse and a dry. The entire mine-site layout is compact with the underground operations proximal to the process plant, tailings facility and site buildings.

All permits are in place for operations with the infrastructure as described above. The following modifications to existing permits have been approved under a new MPO for the Copperstone mine:

- Increase of gold ore production from the current allowable limit of 450 tons per day to 600 tons per day.
- Use of cyanide for recovery of gold from ore using captive steel tanks located in the gold ore processing facility.
- Storage of stabilized tailings produced from the ore processing facility.
- Construction and use of a water evaporation and infiltration basin to be used to manage surplus water generated from underground operations.

17.3 Metallurgical Testing

The mineralized material in the deposit is variable in gold grade and silica content. A careful blending program would be required for consistent processing operation. Bond's Ball Mill Work Index testing at a closed size of 100 mesh indicate that the samples are of medium hardness. BWi values ranged from 11.34 kwh/st in zone D to 14.29 kwh/st in zone C.

Flotation recoveries are good and have ranged from 88% to 91% in past testing, but the shipping and treatment charges of the concentrate make this a less attractive option than Whole Ore Cyanide leaching. Cyanide bottle roll leach tests indicate that the >97% of the gold leaches at a particle size of P80 150 mesh. Slight improvements in gold extraction were observed when the particle size was decreased from P80 100 mesh to P80 150 mesh, with less improvement from P80 150 mesh to P80 200 mesh. Samples with very high cyanide soluble copper achieved >97% gold extraction with high intensity cyanide (>7 g/L NaCN).

The amount of cyanide soluble copper in each sample had a significant effect on the cyanide consumption. Low cyanide soluble copper in zones A and B consumed 0.8 kg/mt and 0.98 kg/mt respectively at a particle size of P80 150 mesh, while the high cyanide soluble copper in zones C and D exhibited consumption of 3.89 kg/mt and 2.18 kg/mt respectively. In addition, cyanide consumption generally increased slightly as the particle size decreased. Copper extractions were similar between the maintained and decay cyanide tests but slightly less copper was extracted from zone C decay tests. Cyanide consumption decreased by an average of 15% in the zone A, B, and D decay tests as compared to the maintained cyanide tests. The difference in the zone C tests averaged 40% which indicates there was not enough cyanide, specifically in the decay tests. Zones A, B and D consumed 0.45 kg/mt to 1.99 kg/mt, while the high cyanide soluble copper in zone C exhibited minimum consumption of 3.69 kg/mt to achieve a gold extraction of 97%.

The amount of cyanide soluble copper should be incorporated into the mine plan and economic analysis. Currently, cyanide soluble copper assays make up 0.05% of the total gold assay database. When compared to gold assays greater than 0.05 oz/ton, cyanide soluble copper assays make up 13% of the database. There is reasonable spatial distribution of cyanide copper soluble assays along strike and down dip of available

mineral resources. This information will be useful in determining the amount of cyanide that will be consumed and whether a SART plant will be beneficial in recovering copper which will lower the cyanide consumption levels and improve gold recoveries.

Detailed cyanide detoxification studies should be completed. Copper and other base metals will accumulate in the leach solution if recycled and provision should be made in the design to bleed and destroy cyanide to remove this.

18. RECOMMENDATIONS

HRC recommends the following tasks be completed to advance the Project and to prepare for Project development and operation.

18.1 Drillhole Database

HRC recommends SGLD scan and upload all historic assay certificates, drillhole logs, and downhole surveys to their SharePoint. This information should then be compiled and stored into a database software and randomly checked for errors on a consistent basis.

The geologic information within the drillhole database is not in a standard, or consistent format. HRC recommends the following in order to standardize the geologic information contained in the database:

- Remove structural fabrics (such as breccia, faults, etc.), and alterations from the lithologic database. The lithologic database should represent the proto-lithology observed in the geologic logs. The lithologic database should then be simplified to only the most significant geologic types. Finally, the lithologic database should be reviewed for drillhole-to-drillhole inconsistencies in 3D and in section. Once inconsistencies are identified, geologists should review core or chip trays to determine the correct lithology.
- Structural fabrics identified in core, or RC cuttings, should be separated into its own table and entered into the database in a consistent format. The structural database should be simplified to only the most significant structural types. The structural database should be reviewed for drillhole-to-drillhole inconsistencies in 3D and in section. Once inconsistencies are identified, geologists should review core or chip trays to determine the correct structure.
- Alteration and mineralization should be separated into its own table and entered into the database in a consistent format. The alteration database should be simplified to only the most significant alteration and mineralization types. The alteration database should be reviewed for drillhole-to-drillhole inconsistencies in 3D and in section. Once inconsistencies are identified, geologists should review core or chip trays to determine the correct alteration.

In 2017, Kerr commenced bringing lithology, alteration, mineralization, and structural information into a simplified and standardized format. SGLD is currently addressing, or has addressed the other recommendations above, and HRC encourages SGLD to continue these efforts by standardizing the geologic database, it is possible estimation domains could be developed independent of gold grades, ultimately improving the confidence of the geologic interpretation and model.

18.2 Maximum Mined Out Pit Extent

The maximum mined out pit extent is digitized from blast hole bench maps created by Cyprus. HRC recommends either drilling to bedrock in the backfilled portions of the pit, or a seismic survey to confirm the maximum extent of the pit. The contrast between backfill and quartz latite porphyry should be sufficient to resolve the contact. As an additional benefit, the seismic survey might be able to resolve some structural features on the property. A limited seismic survey was conducted at Copperstone by Cooksley Geophysics,

Inc in 1989. HRC's review of the interpretations (Figure 18-1) show structures similar to those modeled by HRC.




Figure 18-1 Interpretation of Seismic Line from 1989 Survey.

18.3 Structural Understanding

HRC recommends that SGLD initiate a limited oriented core drilling program, testing up-dip and down-dip in known areas of mineralization, and penetrating the Copperstone and footwall zones. HRC recommends four holes in the AB zone, eight in the C zone, and four in the D zone.

If seismic survey is not conducted or fails to identify structures, structural mapping should be conducted inside the pit and underground to identify significant structures, such as the northeast trending offset faults, the Copperstone fault, and other structures determined to have significant impact on mineralization. Kerr might consider funding a thesis project to accomplish this task.

Geologic structural mapping at Copperstone is currently in progress. Additionally, SGLD plans to incorporate the use of down-hole Televiwer imagery. The imagery produces accurate in-situ structural measurements which can be linked to the geological and assay logs for modeling purposes. If successful, this technology can be used in lieu of oriented core.

18.4 Additional Drilling

18.4.1 Infill Drilling

HRC recommends continued infill drilling in the current Footwall zone and South zone to improve the understanding of geometry and orientation of mineralized structures. Figure 18-2 shows the block model for domains classified as Measured, Indicated, and Inferred resources. Areas colored dark blue and white space are areas for infill drilling in A/B, C, and D zones.




Figure 18-2 Block Model showing Domains with Classification Infill Drilling should be Targeted to Blue and Green areas to Convert Classifications

18.4.2 Step Out Drilling

HRC recommends testing the down dip continuity of Copperstone mineralization in the D zone Footwall zone, and South zone. There are several drillhole intercepts to suggest these zones are still open down dip. Drilling between the A and B zones should also be conducted to follow up the successful drillhole AZG-20S-02.

Anomalous gold grades have been intersected by drilling northeast of the C zone. Drillhole C96-15 has two intercepts greater 0.1 oz/ton gold (810'-815' 0.185 oz/ton Au, 855'-860' 0.112 oz/ton Au). Additionally, hole C96-16 encountered two intervals of greater than 0.1 oz/ton Au (1135'-1140' 0.182 oz/ton Au; 1205'-1210' 0.192 oz/ton Au). C96-16 is 283 ft. northwest from C96-15. Drillhole C96-14 is 287 ft. southeast of C96-15, but returned no significant gold values. No drilling has been completed to the northeast or southwest of C96-15. The intercepts could represent either the down dip extension of the C zone, or the expression of a new mineralization zone. HRC recommends 2 drillholes to test between C96-15 & C96-14, and C96-15 & C96-16; 2 drillholes testing up dip between C96-16 & H4-63 on approximately 200' centers; and a step out drillhole 100' northeast of C96-15 to test down dip.

Drillhole H5-108 encountered significant grade grades intercepts at depth (1084'-1088' 0.3 oz/ton Au; 1121'-1124' 0.64 oz/ton Au; 1124'-1129' 0.35 oz/ton Au). The hole ended in low grade gold. These intercepts could be the expression of the Footwall zone beneath the A/B zone. HRC recommends follow up drilling surrounding this intercept to test the extent and continuity of mineralization, as well as its relation to South zone.

18.4.3 Exploration Drilling

HRC recommends the following targets for exploration drilling:

- Test for expression of Footwall zone mineralization at depth below D zone;
- Testing around CS-266 which had a 5 ft. intercept of 0.1 oz/ton Au from 780' to 790'. The intercept is approximately 1,000 ft. southwest from the Copperstone pit, and is not currently incorporated into any known zone of mineralization; and
- Expansion of the Southwest target, located approximately 2,500 ft. southwest of the Copperstone mine, to determine mineralization extent.

18.5 Metallurgy

HRC recommends the following based on the preliminary results of the on-going metallurgical program:

1. Optimize the whole ore leach process to reduce operating cost.
2. Characterize the deposit with respect to copper minerals.
3. Evaluate the SART process to potentially recover copper as an economic by-product and recycle cyanide to reduce operating costs.
4. Complete a detailed design of the required plant modifications.

18.6 Mining

Based on the favorable results of 2018 PFS, HRC recommends that the mine design and mine plan be advanced to a feasibility or detailed design level prior to production. The following areas are recommended for further study during the next phase of work:

- Optimize the mine design, including number of access points, internal raises to improve ventilation, stope height and width;
- Review the use of a lower cutoff grade in the operational mining plan to take advantage of the high gold price to increase to amount of gold recovered from the resource;
- Review to see if marginal grade material can be added to the stopes if the stope access cost is excluded due to the stope already being developed;
- Further investigate contract mining versus owner mining;
- Further investigate possible mining methods;
- Hire key underground technical and management staff on a priority basis to facilitate the feasibility or detailed design phase;
- Optimize the ventilation, water management, and electrical power systems; and
- Carry out detailed geotechnical studies as well as backfill binder quantity requirement studies.

Sabre should continue to build the geotechnical database concurrently with the geological database, so that the core is logged geotechnically, particularly in the vicinity of potential ore zones. This includes core photos in the boxes before the core is split with estimates of core recovery and RQD (both as percentages), and notes in the geological log about shear zones, faults, and altered zones (already being noted), and any other geotechnical observations that could help with mine design. Old core should be re-evaluated by Sabre to expand their geological models. New geotechnical holes may need to be drilled, but this should not be done until the relevant geotechnical data has been assessed from the existing array of boreholes. Core samples from the main rock types should be selected for strength testing, particularly Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) testing (at least four tests to start with for each of the main rock types in the vicinity of the ore zones). Other laboratory strength tests also may be required. In addition to mapping rock type and structure, new exposures in tunnels should be mapped using the Q and RMR methods and the data used to specify support in the tunnels. This data can be used later to help with the detailed design of the stopes and the standoff distance of access drifts. Rock falls and collapses in tunnels should be logged in a geotechnical log book, and any persistent underground movements should be monitored and measured.

Studies should be completed on the backfill binder quantity requirements to meet the required strengths from further geotechnical studies. In addition, the tradeoff of utilizing cemented hydraulic backfill (“CHF”) generated from the mill tailings versus cemented rock fill (“CRF”) should be investigated further. By utilizing CHF from the mill tailings, the Phase 2 lift of the tailings dam will not be required providing a future capital cost savings for CHF. Although the CRF option will require additional backfill sourced from the waste fill located within the open pit, the capital cost and infrastructure for the CHF plant were too high to offset the higher operating costs of the CRF option. Based on these results, CRF was chosen for the 2018 PFS mine plan backfill strategy although the longer the mine plan extends beyond the PFS mine plan of 4.4 years the

more favorable the CHF option becomes as the operating costs are lower than CRF and future tailings construction costs can be saved.

The estimated cost to complete the recommended scope of work is broken out by task in in Table 18-1:

Table 18-1 Recommended Scope of Work Cost for the Copperstone Project

Recommendation	Estimate
Drillhole Database	\$10,000
Confirm Mined out Pit Extent	\$25,000
Structural Understanding	\$30,000
Additional Drilling (up to 15,000 meters)	\$4,000,000
Metallurgy	
Optimize the WOL process	\$25,000
Characterize the deposit re Cu minerals	\$45,000
Evaluate the SART process for Cu recovery	<u>\$65,000</u>
Total Metallurgical	\$135,000
Mining	
Optimize the mine design	\$25,000
Review use of lower cutoff grades	\$5,000
Marginal grade analysis	\$5,000
Contract Mining vs Owner Mining analysis	\$8,000
Alternative Mining Method analysis	\$25,000
Key underground mining staff	\$100,000
Optimize Ventilation, Water, and Power systems	\$25,000
Geotechnical and CHF/CRF studies	<u>\$50,000</u>
Total Mining	\$243,000
Feasibility Study	\$1,500,000
Total Budget	\$5,943,000

19. REFERENCES

- Ackermann Engineering Services, 1998. Reference Notes, SME Meeting Talk November 19, 1998; unpublished document.
- AMEC, 2006. NI 43-101 Technical Report, Copperstone Property, La Paz, Arizona; NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared for American Bonanza, Inc.
- American Bonanza Gold Corp., 2006. News Release, American Bonanza Reports Mineral Resource at Copperstone.
- Ash, C. and Alldrick, D., 1996. Au-quartz Veins in Selected British Columbia Mineral Deposit Profiles, Volume 2 - Metallic Deposits, Lefebure, D.V. and Hoy, T., eds, British Columbia Ministry of Employment and Investment, Open File 1996-13, p. 53-56.
- Asia Minerals Corp., 2000. New Release, Copperstone Development Activity and Corporate Development.
- Berger, B.R., 1987. Descriptive Model of Low-Sulfide Au-Quartz Veins, in Mineral Deposit Models, Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds, USGS Bulletin 1693, p. 239-243.
- Boyle, R.W., 1979. The Geochemistry of Gold and its Deposits, Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 280, p. 253-261.
- Buising, A.V., 1988. Contrasting subsidence histories, northern and southern proto-Gulf tectonic models (abs.): Geol. Soc. Amer. Abs. Progs., v.20, #3. p. 146-147.
- Coney P.J., and Reynolds, S.J., 1977. Cordilleran Benioff Zones; Nature, Vol. 270, No. 5636, 1977, pp. 403-406.
- Cooksley Geophysics, Inc., 1989. Information on overburden depths along survey line; Facsimile memo to Cyprus Copperstone Gold Corp.
- Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., 1986. Mineral Deposit Models, U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693.
- Davis, G.A., and Lister, G.S., 1988. Detachment faulting in continental extension: Perspectives from the southwestern U.S. Cordillera, in Clark, S.P., Jr., et al. eds., processes in continental lithospheric deformation: Geological Society of America Special Paper 218, p. 133-160.
- Golder Associates Inc., 2006. Scoping Level Study, Geotechnical Characterization, and Recommendations, Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona.
- Hard Rock Consulting LLC, 2018. *National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report: Preliminary Feasibility Study for the Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona, USA*; prepared for Kerr Mines Inc., May 18, 2018.

- Hazen Research, Inc., 1995. Copperstone Project-Ore Mineralogical Study; internal report prepared for Royal Oak Mines.
- Kerr Mines, Inc., 2017. 2017 QA/QC Procedures and Results, Copperstone Mine; internal report prepared for Kerr Mines, Inc.
- Knapp, H.J., 1989. Structural Development, Thermal Evolution, and Tectonic Significance of a Cordilleran Basement Thrust Terrain, Maria Fold and Thrust Belt, West-Central Arizona, unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. Cambridge, MIT.
- Larson, L.T., 2004. Letter Report to American Bonanza Gold Mining Corp., 2 volumes.
- Long, K.R., 1992. Preliminary descriptive deposit model for detachment fault-related mineralization in Bliss, J.D., ed., Developments in mineral deposit modelling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004, p. 52-56.
- McCartney, I.D., 1998. Cyprus Assays D-Zone QAQC Review; internal report prepared for Asia Minerals Corp.
- McCartney, I.D., 1999. Copperstone Geology; internal draft report prepared for Asia Minerals Corp.
- McCartney, I.D., 2000. Preliminary Drilling Report, 2000; internal report prepared for Asia Minerals Corp.
- McClelland Laboratories, 2000, 2006, Metallurgical Correspondence.
- McClelland Laboratories, 2006, Job # 3042, Multiphase Metallurgical Testing Program – Copperstone
- MDA, 2000. Geological Report for the Copperstone Gold Property, La Paz County, Arizona, U.S.A.; internal report prepared for American Bonanza Gold Corp.
- Mining Geophysical Services, Inc., 1990. Induced Polarization and Resistivity Survey, Copperstone Project, La Paz County, Arizona; internal report prepared for Cyprus Exploration Corporation.
- MRDI Canada, 1999. Scoping Study Report; internal report prepared for Asia Minerals Corp.
- Pawlowski, M.R., 2005. Technical Report on the Copperstone Gold Property; NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared for American Bonanza Gold Corp.
- PRJ, Inc., 2004. Project Report on the Data Acquisition of an Aeromagnetic Survey at the Copperstone Mine, Arizona; internal report prepared for American Bonanza Gold Mining Corp.
- Resource Development Inc., 2018. Memo – Copperstone Project Results
- Resource Development Inc., 2021. Metallurgical Test Program Copperstone Project, Report for Arizona Gold Corp.
- Reynolds, S.J., and Lister, G.S., 1987. Structural aspects of fluid-rock interactions in detachments zones: *Geology*, v. 15, p. 362-366.

- Reynolds, S.J., and Spencer, J.E., 1989. Pre-Tertiary rocks and structures in the upper plate of the Buckskin detachment fault, west-central Arizona, in Spencer, J.E., and Reynolds, S.J., eds., *Geology and mineral resources of the Buckskin and Rawhide Mountains, west-central Arizona: Arizona Geological Survey Bulletin 198*, p. 67-102.
- Reynolds, S.J., Spencer, J.E., Richard, S.M., and Laubach, S.E., 1986. Mesozoic structures in west-central Arizona, in Beatty, Barbara, and Wilkinson, P.A.K., eds., *Frontiers in geology and ore deposits of Arizona and the Southwest: Arizona Geological Society Digest*, v. 16, p. 35-51.
- Roddy, M.S., Reynolds, S.J., Smith, B.M., and Ruiz, J., 1988. K-metasomatism and detachment-related mineralization, Harcuvar Mountains, Arizona: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 100, p. 1627-1639.
- Salem H. M., 1993. *Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Genesis of the Copperstone Gold Deposit, La Paz Co., Arizona*, unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Arizona.
- Sandburg, S.K., 1984. *Geophysics, Copperstone Deposit (Ariz.)*; Letter Report to Asia Minerals, 5 p.
- Schlumberger Water Services, 2010. *Dewatering Evaluation, Copperstone Deposit, La Paz County, Arizona*; internal report prepared for American Bonanza Explorations.
- Spencer, J.E., Duncan, J.T., and Burton, W.D., 1988. The Copperstone Mine: Arizona's new gold producer, in *Arizona Geology: Arizona Geological Survey*, v. 18, no. 2, p. 1-3.
- Spencer, J.E. and Reynolds, S.J., 1989, *Geology and Mineral Resources of the Buckskin and Rawhide Mountains, West-Central Arizona*, US National Report to IUGG, 1991-1994, Rev. Geophysics, vol. 33 supplement, American Geophysical Union.
- Spencer, J.E., and Welty, J.W., 1985. *Reconnaissance geology of mines and prospects in parts of the Buckskin, Rawhide, McCracken, and northeast Harcuvar Mountains: Arizona Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology Open-File Report 85-6*, 31 p.
- Spencer, J.E., and Welty, J.W., 1986. Possible controls of base- and precious-metal mineralization associated with Tertiary detachment faults in the lower Colorado River trough, Arizona and California: *Geology*, v. 14, p. 195-198.
- Telesto Nevada, Inc., 2011. *NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Copperstone Project*; NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared for American Bonanza, Inc.
- The Mines Group Inc., 2004. *Copperstone Project, Strategic Overview of Environmental, Permitting, and Community Relations*.
- Tierra Group International, Ltd., 2018. *Trip Report, Copperstone Mine, Arizona*; Letter Report to Kerr Mines, Inc., 9 p.

- Water Management Consultants, 2006. Technical Memorandum, Copperstone Hydrologic Scoping Study Summary.
- Welty, J.W., Reynolds, S.J., and Spencer, J.E., 1989. AZMIN, a digital database compilation for Arizona's metallic mineral districts: Arizona Geological Survey Open-File Report 89-8, 119 p.
- Welty, J.W., Spencer, J.E., Alien, G.B., Reynolds, S.J., and Trapp, R.A., 1985. Geology and production of middle Tertiary mineral districts in Arizona: Arizona Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology Open-File Report 85- 1,88 p.
- Woods, D., 2013. Geology of the Copperstone Deposit and Surrounding Area, La Paz County, Arizona, and Recommendations for Further Exploration; internal draft report prepared for American Bonanza, Inc.
- Yeats, K.J., 1985. Geology and Structure of the Northern Dome Rock Mountains, La Paz County, Arizona; M.S. Thesis, Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona.
- Zonge Geosciences, Inc., 2007. Ground Magnetic Survey on the Copperstone Project, Yuma County, Arizona; internal report prepared for American Bonanza Gold Corp.

APPENDIX A

Project List of Patented Claims and State Claims

Bonanza

AMERICAN BONANZA GOLD CORP.
COPPERSTONE PROPERTY, LA PAZ COUNTY, ARIZONA
PLAN OF OPERATIONS

Attached are the following unpatented lode mining claims situated in the Plomosa Mining District, La Paz County, State of Arizona, located in Sections 18-22, Township 6 North, Range 19 West; Sections 1, 2, 11-15, 22-27, Township 6 North, Range 20 West, GSRM, described as follows:

NAME OF CLAIM	ORIGINAL RECORDATION BOOK PAGE	PRESENT RECORDATION INSTRUMENT	BLM SERIAL NUMBER (AMC)
Iron Reef # 1-10	1168 69-88		105953-105962
Copperstone # 1-14		95-05841	335231-335244
Formerly: 1128	65-80	86-2300 – 2313	91283-91296
Copperstone # 15-17		95-05841	335245-335247
Formerly: 1129	627-632	86-2314 – 2316	88612-88614
Copperstone # 18-29		95-05841	335248-335259
Formerly: 1131	294-309	86-2317 – 2328	95246-95257
Copperstone # 30-40	1151 145-157	86-2329 – 2339	98423-98433
Copperstone # 41-53	1152 181-205	86-2340 – 2352	98957-98969
Copperstone # 54-57	1152 763-770	86-2353 – 2356	98970-98973
Copperstone # 58-62	1152 771-779	86-2357 – 2361	98974-98978
Copperstone # 63	1152 781	86-2362	98979
Copperstone # 64-65	1173 716-719	86-2363 – 2364	108058-108059
Copperstone # 101-115	1254 76-105	86-2365 – 2379	144884-144898
Copperstone # 116A	1254 107	86-2380	144899
Copperstone # 117-120	1254 109-115	86-2381 – 2384	144900-144903
Copperstone # 122-127	1254 119-129	86-2385 – 2390	144905-144910
Copperstone # 129-131	1254 133-138	86-2391 – 2393	144912-144914
Copperstone # 132-133	1254 139-140	86-2394 – 2395	144915-144916
Copperstone # 134	1254 142	86-2396	144917
Copperstone # 136-139	1254 147-154	86-2397 – 2400	144919-144922
Copperstone # 140-150	1254 155-175	86-2401 – 2411	144923-144933
Copperstone # 151-161	1254 176-197	86-2412 – 2422	144934-144944
Copperstone # 162-171	1276 349-371	86-2423 – 2432	164418-164427
Copperstone # 172A	1276 373	86-2433	164428
Copperstone # 183A	1276 395	86-2434	164439
Copperstone # 184-191	1276 397-410	86-2435 – 2442	164440-164447
Copperstone # 192A	1276 412	86-2443	164448
Copperstone # 210-315	1276 448-658	86-2444 – 2549	164466-164571
Copperstone # 316-328	84-2460-2472	86-2550 – 2562	220648-220660
Copperstone # 329-339	86-4548-4558		260459-260469

<u>Claim Name</u>	<u>BLM/AMC#</u>	<u>La Paz Co. Recordation #</u>
CSA 1 – CSA 51	362237 – 362287	2004-03993 to 2004-04043

APPENDIX B

Drillhole Collar Locations by Year

Appendix B: Drillholes

All coordinates are in Arizona State Place West NAD 27 feet. Total depths are in feet. Negative dips point down.

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CSD-2	333780.0	1044874.0	884.9	294	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-20	333683.1	1044973.0	885.6	250	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-21	333877.9	1044975.0	883.6	350	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-23	333478.9	1045366.0	881.3	220	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-25	333286.0	1045368.0	891.3	200	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-26	333372.9	1045684.0	879.9	250	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-27	332878.6	1045972.0	871.0	180	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-28	333097.2	1045975.0	876.0	200	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-3	333371.4	1045303.0	885.8	241	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-31	333974.6	1045080.0	881.8	400	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-33	333381.2	1045475.0	881.8	220	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-34	333581.0	1045479.0	881.6	300	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-59	333980.7	1044676.0	883.2	301	-90.0	0.0	1984	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CR-380	333528.7	1045925.0	740.2	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CR-381	333594.5	1045817.0	739.0	410	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CR-382	333565.3	1046076.0	740.8	385	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-103	332123.0	1046968.0	868.3	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-106	332695.0	1047778.0	867.0	640	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-107	335085.0	1045767.0	876.4	680	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-108	335060.0	1045368.0	882.2	680	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-109	332295.0	1047780.0	868.0	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-111	332273.0	1047385.0	862.0	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-112	331540.3	1044815.9	851.0	450	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-113	331131.3	1042853.8	852.2	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-115	333176.0	1047385.0	868.0	790	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-116	331528.8	1045984.9	851.1	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-117	331489.7	1043967.5	849.7	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-119A	336770.9	1043692.8	871.6	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-120	328302.5	1038613.8	855.5	285	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-121	327765.3	1038947.7	903.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-122	328824.9	1037564.0	960.0	440	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-123	328628.4	1038222.1	918.0	280	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-124	331085.8	1041855.0	860.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-125	328691.2	1037247.7	960.0	160	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-126	330618.1	1038527.5	957.0	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-127	330079.2	1038730.4	925.0	290	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-128	328662.9	1037557.9	990.0	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-129	330795.0	1037946.5	902.0	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-130	331786.9	1042251.3	860.0	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-131	327596.6	1038253.6	920.0	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-132	328115.5	1038050.8	920.0	270	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-133A	326097.0	1034769.6	950.0	800	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-134	325308.0	1032341.7	890.0	340	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-135A	326405.0	1032329.9	885.0	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-136	326202.9	1035130.0	920.0	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-137	332020.9	1042439.1	860.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-137A	332009.8	1042970.1	876.0	820	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-138	328489.0	1040590.9	875.0	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-139	328882.0	1038730.0	905.0	480	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-140	332467.0	1038768.0	870.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-141	329521.7	1033388.2	880.0	670	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-151	333184.9	1045960.0	891.6	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-152	333097.6	1045877.0	891.0	160	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-153	332885.4	1046071.0	869.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-154	332789.4	1045981.0	869.1	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-155	332886.8	1045883.0	870.8	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-156	333013.0	1046172.0	870.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-157	332988.4	1045973.0	872.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-158	333087.7	1046068.0	878.3	240	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-159	333180.7	1045777.0	890.5	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-160	333282.7	1045873.0	892.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-161	333385.4	1045970.0	878.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-162	332781.7	1046179.0	866.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-163	333288.6	1046084.0	873.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-164	333385.6	1045875.0	884.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-165	333136.7	1045823.0	892.1	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-166	333574.3	1044793.0	894.5	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-167	333682.8	1044877.0	885.7	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-168	333780.5	1044972.0	884.1	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-169	333878.0	1044872.0	883.9	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-170	333778.2	1044771.0	887.6	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-171	333678.6	1044676.0	892.6	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-172	334386.1	1044679.0	879.3	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-173	334483.9	1044777.0	879.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-174	333983.2	1044771.0	883.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-175	334479.8	1045165.0	882.9	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-176	333636.0	1044726.0	892.5	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-177	333526.0	1044630.0	891.2	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-178	333286.8	1045677.0	882.7	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-179	333234.7	1045921.0	893.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-180	333191.0	1045593.0	880.1	100	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-181	333033.5	1045724.0	879.2	100	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-182	333384.8	1045577.0	878.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-183	333284.2	1045475.0	884.3	100	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-184	333282.8	1044984.0	892.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-185	333079.3	1045180.0	888.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-186	333487.8	1045474.0	879.3	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-187	333384.5	1045368.0	882.7	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-188	333282.0	1045269.0	884.1	100	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-189	334564.5	1044874.0	880.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-190	334367.3	1044963.0	880.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-191	334170.6	1045075.0	882.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-192	334072.8	1044975.0	883.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-193	333579.9	1045377.0	881.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-194	333481.7	1045277.0	882.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-195	333354.2	1045168.0	890.0	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-196	333681.6	1045275.0	884.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-197	333585.0	1045177.0	888.9	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-198	333485.4	1045073.0	888.9	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-199	333682.8	1045071.0	885.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-200	334164.7	1045268.0	880.0	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-201	332887.1	1045585.0	876.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-202	334109.6	1045417.0	884.9	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-203	333883.4	1045084.0	880.9	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-204	334272.8	1045077.0	882.6	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-205	334078.0	1044270.0	879.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-206	334181.7	1044372.0	879.8	305	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-207	334078.5	1044473.0	878.4	220	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-208	332618.7	1046105.0	866.0	225	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-209	332789.3	1046278.0	868.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-210	333581.9	1044974.0	886.6	225	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-211	333778.3	1045175.0	885.7	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-212	334062.2	1045267.0	880.1	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-213	334063.9	1045169.0	879.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-214	334262.6	1045268.0	879.5	340	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-215	334264.7	1045168.0	880.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-216	334368.1	1045167.0	880.3	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-217	334164.0	1044765.0	880.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-218	334280.6	1044867.0	881.3	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-219	334467.8	1045074.0	881.6	355	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-220	334468.2	1044870.0	884.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-221	334564.2	1044973.0	880.1	340	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-222	334281.6	1044672.0	879.7	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-223	334383.2	1044774.0	879.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-224	334569.1	1044773.0	879.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-225	334669.9	1044877.0	879.6	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-226	334472.2	1044667.0	878.8	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-227	334180.5	1044973.0	883.0	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-228	334081.2	1044871.0	882.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-229	333971.0	1044974.0	882.7	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-230	334088.1	1045067.0	881.1	305	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-231	334164.9	1045169.0	880.2	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-232	334365.5	1045272.0	880.2	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-233	334281.1	1044575.0	879.7	345	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-234	333881.8	1044675.0	885.1	275	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-235	333030.7	1045930.0	877.4	225	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-236A	332887.0	1046270.0	866.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-237	334164.5	1045367.0	882.5	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-238	333892.0	1045295.0	883.8	385	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-238A	333892.0	1045295.0	883.8	275	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-239	333791.7	1045384.0	883.3	365	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-240	333684.7	1045476.0	881.9	375	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-241	333585.0	1045575.0	878.8	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-242	333488.7	1045684.0	877.9	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-243	333381.1	1045773.0	882.4	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-244	332686.8	1046281.0	865.1	260	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-245	333189.4	1046180.0	871.6	305	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-246	333349.4	1046179.0	870.5	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-247	333011.2	1045811.0	880.2	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-248	333120.0	1045705.0	892.3	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-249	333658.2	1045548.0	878.3	365	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-250	333882.8	1045179.0	883.8	345	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-251	334183.6	1044571.0	882.1	245	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-252	334278.3	1044465.0	881.0	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-253	334375.0	1044466.0	881.9	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-254	334373.6	1044568.0	881.9	275	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-255	333217.7	1045436.0	891.9	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-256	334346.4	1046043.0	878.4	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-257	332647.0	1047330.0	871.0	605	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-258	334349.9	1046244.0	877.3	805	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-259	334673.0	1045167.0	882.2	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-260	332669.6	1045586.0	873.7	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-261	333196.0	1045086.0	893.4	705	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-262	332894.3	1044969.0	917.7	540	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-263	340429.1	1047619.0	884.4	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-264	332595.0	1047485.0	866.5	880	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-265	332083.0	1044776.8	867.3	570	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-266	332596.3	1044536.0	879.4	905	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-267	333985.0	1046892.0	875.0	1160	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-268	332530.0	1048180.0	872.0	905	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-269	334563.4	1045080.0	883.0	425	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-270	334665.2	1045076.0	882.5	425	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-271	334568.0	1045170.0	883.9	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-273	334950.0	1045990.0	880.0	1140	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-274	333785.2	1045576.0	881.9	485	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-275	333882.8	1045491.0	882.5	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-276	333985.0	1044173.0	882.7	305	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-277	334075.7	1044073.0	882.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-278	333984.6	1044280.0	883.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-279	332775.0	1046269.0	870.5	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-280	332984.0	1046379.0	870.1	450	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-281	334383.0	1045373.0	880.9	425	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-282	334283.0	1045473.0	882.7	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-283	333680.0	1045673.0	879.2	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-284	334480.0	1045273.0	881.4	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-285	333434.5	1046301.0	870.2	460	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-286	333257.0	1046298.0	869.3	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-287	334681.0	1045273.0	881.7	605	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-288	333480.0	1046073.0	875.6	375	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-289	333955.0	1044373.0	881.3	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-290	334030.0	1044333.0	883.0	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-291	333480.0	1045873.0	875.6	265	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-292	334130.0	1044333.0	881.3	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-293	333930.0	1044223.0	884.5	175	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-294	334030.0	1044223.0	882.6	175	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-295	334775.0	1045173.0	880.9	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-296	333985.0	1045273.0	880.6	425	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-297	334280.0	1044273.0	882.0	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-298	334145.0	1044223.0	880.0	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-299	334180.0	1044173.0	882.2	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-300	333985.0	1045173.0	879.9	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-301	334035.0	1044123.0	882.2	275	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-302	333583.0	1045768.0	878.1	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-303	333883.0	1045573.0	881.2	530	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-304	334085.0	1044673.0	859.6	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-305	332935.0	1046323.0	868.0	375	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-306	333480.0	1045873.0	875.6	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-307	332835.0	1046223.0	868.5	275	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-308	332685.0	1046073.0	869.9	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-309	334783.0	1044973.0	879.9	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-310	332585.0	1046173.0	865.6	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-311	334380.0	1045478.0	882.1	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-312	332735.0	1046223.0	867.8	305	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-313	334480.0	1045378.0	880.7	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-314	333085.0	1046473.0	867.1	345	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-315	334080.0	1045578.0	881.4	610	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-316	333080.0	1046273.0	868.5	395	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-317	334183.0	1045476.0	881.8	565	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-318	334285.0	1045573.0	882.2	555	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-319	333584.3	1045679.0	881.1	450	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-320	333773.9	1045462.0	879.3	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-321	333883.9	1045382.0	881.1	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-322	334185.8	1045675.0	881.5	545	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-323	334388.9	1045668.0	880.8	656	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-324	334079.3	1045381.0	881.3	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-325	333781.3	1045690.0	880.0	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-326	333679.6	1045786.0	876.4	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-327	333579.2	1045886.0	875.2	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-328	333480.7	1045985.0	872.5	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-329	334387.2	1045280.0	880.5	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-330	334579.2	1045278.0	879.9	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-331	334779.7	1045274.0	882.6	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-332	334776.8	1045069.0	877.2	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-333	334581.1	1045474.0	881.9	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-334	333198.4	1046365.0	867.4	480	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-335	334187.7	1045870.0	877.0	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-336	334187.5	1046075.0	875.3	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-337	334481.1	1045576.0	881.4	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-338	333976.7	1045684.0	878.0	565	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-339	334878.0	1045174.0	879.8	620	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-340	334289.6	1045968.0	877.8	685	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-341	334393.2	1045859.0	879.6	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-342	334093.0	1045959.0	877.3	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-343	334094.1	1046162.0	877.1	615	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-344	333964.2	1046094.0	877.4	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-345	334777.6	1045486.0	882.0	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-346	334878.7	1045386.0	881.1	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-347	333978.4	1046275.0	877.7	1000	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-348	333989.3	1045868.0	879.8	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-349	334082.7	1045781.0	860.6	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-350	333176.1	1046559.0	868.8	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-351	333080.0	1046675.0	868.0	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-352	333886.2	1045771.0	860.6	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-353	334078.0	1046159.0	877.4	625	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-354	333780.0	1045876.0	860.0	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-355	334584.7	1045378.0	880.9	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-356	334478.6	1045474.0	881.1	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-357	334381.2	1045574.0	881.8	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-358	334180.3	1045575.0	881.2	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-359	333879.2	1045671.0	860.0	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-360	334080.5	1045674.0	882.1	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-361	334880.8	1044875.0	879.6	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-362	334631.2	1044724.0	860.1	450	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-363	334980.0	1044575.0	875.0	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-364	335030.4	1045224.8	882.3	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-365	334182.6	1045771.0	879.9	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-366	334081.3	1045875.0	878.1	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-367	334279.1	1045874.0	879.8	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-368	334483.3	1045770.0	879.7	680	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-369	334435.8	1046307.0	876.4	880	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-370	334193.3	1046277.0	875.5	795	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-371	334431.7	1046115.0	877.4	690	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-372	333291.1	1046670.0	869.3	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-373	333190.0	1046780.0	862.0	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-374	333182.0	1046875.0	869.5	690	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-375	332988.0	1046882.0	869.5	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-376	333080.0	1046977.0	869.0	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-377	333981.4	1045775.0	861.2	640	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-378	332990.0	1046680.0	870.0	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-379	334185.0	1046472.0	880.0	835	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-383	333377.1	1046274.0	740.3	410	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-384	333478.0	1046275.0	740.5	450	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-385	333478.5	1046174.0	739.9	385	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-386	333378.8	1046372.0	739.4	440	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-387	333228.4	1046424.0	739.7	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-388	333129.1	1046522.0	740.9	440	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-389	333297.7	1046492.0	739.4	480	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-390	332977.3	1046476.0	741.3	385	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-391	332827.1	1046324.0	740.6	310	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-392	333427.6	1046326.0	740.7	470	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-393	333280.5	1046373.0	740.3	405	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-394	333556.7	1046188.0	740.0	460	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-395	332626.0	1046223.0	739.8	285	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-396	332726.0	1046324.0	740.2	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-397	332622.7	1046314.0	740.4	310	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-398	332575.2	1046270.0	740.1	310	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-399	332538.8	1046216.0	740.2	445	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-400	333129.5	1046424.0	740.5	385	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-401	333226.2	1046323.0	740.1	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-402	333331.1	1046324.0	740.8	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-403	333327.0	1046223.0	740.0	345	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-404	333428.3	1046225.0	740.5	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-405	333429.4	1046123.0	740.3	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-406	333527.5	1046026.0	740.6	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-407	333329.5	1046423.0	737.8	460	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-408	333179.2	1046473.0	740.0	460	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-409	333128.5	1046326.0	740.3	440	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-410	333232.0	1046525.0	739.5	465	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-411	333028.0	1046523.0	740.8	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-412	333572.8	1045977.0	739.2	460	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-413	333526.6	1046124.0	740.6	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-414	333554.7	1046252.0	741.3	460	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-415	333416.8	1046413.0	740.1	420	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-416	333462.9	1046361.0	741.0	485	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-417	333512.0	1046303.0	740.3	460	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-418	333097.8	1046557.0	739.6	410	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-419	332827.1	1046416.0	739.8	340	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-420	332938.8	1046506.0	742.5	460	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-421	333019.9	1046438.0	740.5	385	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-422	333361.4	1046452.0	739.1	465	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-423	333517.2	1046213.0	739.1	440	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-424	333591.4	1046487.0	872.9	670	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-425	333435.6	1046624.0	870.4	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-426	333628.0	1045925.0	760.5	430	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-427	333688.2	1045686.0	758.2	405	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-428	333728.1	1045626.0	755.2	440	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-429	333541.8	1046535.0	874.1	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-430	333728.9	1046224.0	882.0	785	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-431	333703.2	1045893.0	802.4	520	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-432	333828.7	1045727.0	800.4	485	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-433	333777.1	1045775.0	799.7	560	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-434	333588.7	1045759.0	721.4	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-434-A	333577.8	1045775.0	721.7	125	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-435	333624.0	1045723.0	720.0	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-436	333846.9	1045638.0	799.7	525	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-437	333738.0	1045739.0	800.5	515	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-438	333981.3	1045580.0	800.2	545	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-439	333822.2	1045817.0	800.8	525	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-440	333930.6	1045826.0	801.4	545	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-441	333927.7	1045725.0	800.0	555	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-442	333932.2	1045626.0	800.9	525	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-443	333934.7	1045527.0	800.5	515	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-444	334028.7	1045633.0	800.0	560	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-445	333489.0	1046580.0	870.7	665	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-446	333380.2	1046674.0	869.4	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-447	333302.9	1046708.0	870.6	725	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-448	333231.2	1046734.0	871.6	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-449	333428.7	1046632.0	870.1	625	-79.0	225.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-45	332265.0	1046365.0	859.9	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-450	333641.3	1046436.0	872.2	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-451	333534.9	1046539.0	871.3	620	-80.0	225.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-452	333373.3	1046667.0	868.9	140	-75.5	225.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-453	333628.6	1046426.0	873.3	640	-82.0	225.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-454	333727.4	1046228.0	884.3	645	-81.0	225.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-455	333727.2	1046125.0	884.7	600	-80.0	225.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-456	331168.9	1043270.0	857.4	765	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-457	332123.4	1044049.5	871.9	665	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-458	331723.5	1044077.0	859.6	922	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-459	331101.8	1045402.8	849.4	600	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-46	332275.0	1046160.0	864.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-460	331287.2	1044273.0	854.8	745	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-461	342087.9	1050225.9	889.0	360	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-462	344189.5	1048618.9	882.8	600	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-463	352150.0	1060290.0	882.0	870	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-464	342419.8	1059917.1	899.2	720	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-465	348420.0	1054500.0	885.0	660	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-466	342026.4	1048365.6	886.3	625	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-467	337490.7	1040424.8	858.7	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-468	333984.8	1043800.4	886.4	520	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-469	334578.2	1044676.2	659.0	130	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-470	334578.4	1044775.0	660.0	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-471	334479.5	1044777.3	659.7	160	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-472	334377.7	1044875.5	660.0	180	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CS-473	334377.5	1044975.5	660.8	180	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-474	334377.3	1045076.3	661.1	210	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-475	334278.1	1045174.8	659.7	215	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-476	341224.4	1048479.8	886.7	710	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-477	341260.1	1049449.1	887.6	805	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-478	327440.6	1034614.2	907.7	385	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-479	326712.7	1035055.3	931.3	350	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-480	326405.8	1034292.1	929.2	500	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-481	332824.9	1046925.3	871.2	580	-80.0	135.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-482	332775.6	1046874.8	874.2	620	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-483	332770.5	1046776.6	867.3	520	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-484	332748.4	1046674.4	866.1	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-485	333027.5	1046725.2	560.0	320	-65.0	315.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-486	333381.5	1045972.5	559.4	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-487	333341.9	1046032.3	558.8	170	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-488	333127.8	1046227.5	559.4	160	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-489	333230.4	1046125.7	600.0	180	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-490	333175.8	1046176.3	600.3	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-491	334226.5	1045873.3	725.1	480	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CS-492	334175.2	1046031.6	875.5	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-493	334257.4	1045890.9	725.7	545	-82.0	315.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-494	332833.3	1046922.7	870.1	450	-68.0	135.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-495	332784.0	1046867.6	869.7	440	-61.0	135.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-496	332740.3	1046814.1	869.2	400	-63.0	135.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-55	332462.0	1046576.0	862.0	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-56	332260.0	1046580.0	862.5	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-57	332066.0	1046570.0	862.9	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-58	332105.0	1046780.0	868.3	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-59	333674.0	1046975.0	870.0	820	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-62	333080.0	1046782.0	863.8	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-64	332881.0	1046783.0	862.6	725	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-72	332685.0	1046785.0	861.7	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-73	332881.0	1046981.0	867.0	675	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-74	332678.0	1047384.0	867.0	540	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-80	332480.0	1047385.0	867.0	525	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CS-99	332479.0	1046978.0	869.8	725	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSD-1	333301.9	1045971.0	879.8	364	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSD-10	331492.6	1047008.1	854.4	852	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CSD-11	334651.8	1044978.0	876.6	546	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC/DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-12	334301.1	1044237.0	875.0	546	-55.0	225.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-13	333879.0	1044789.0	884.3	222.5	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-15	333278.0	1045590.0	882.2	130	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CSD-16	333675.3	1044572.0	893.3	269	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-17	333662.3	1044773.0	891.4	130	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-18	333881.2	1044388.0	881.8	304	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-19	334078.3	1044171.0	879.9	249	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-22	334272.2	1044966.0	882.0	301.5	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-24	333685.6	1045376.0	881.6	392	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-29	333258.2	1046177.0	872.5	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-30	333096.7	1046384.0	870.7	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-32	333782.2	1045077.0	882.0	270	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-35	333188.7	1045878.0	895.3	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-36	333584.0	1045276.0	884.7	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-37	333570.0	1045070.0	891.8	250	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-38	333787.3	1045273.0	886.0	370	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-39	332779.0	1045865.0	870.2	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-4	333379.0	1045673.0	879.8	347	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-40	332675.7	1045959.0	868.6	170	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-41	332775.3	1046063.0	868.9	220	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-42	332991.2	1046071.0	871.1	221	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-43	332988.4	1046271.0	868.7	246	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-43A	332994.5	1046269.0	867.2	351	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-44	333192.0	1046271.0	870.7	341	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-45	333283.9	1046381.0	869.6	301	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-46	333975.2	1044871.0	883.2	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-47	334173.0	1044870.0	883.1	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-48	334270.9	1044767.0	880.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-49	334365.7	1044873.0	881.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-5	334179.7	1044282.0	879.5	400.5	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-50	332983.1	1045874.0	878.1	189	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-51	333586.5	1044872.0	889.6	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-52	333577.3	1044467.0	884.5	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-53	333685.5	1044373.0	883.0	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-54	333389.0	1045880.0	885.3	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-55	333775.7	1044278.0	881.3	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-56	333187.6	1045676.0	887.9	150	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-57	333576.9	1044677.0	895.4	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-58	333783.0	1044679.0	889.9	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-6	332695.7	1046174.0	867.1	282	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-60	333188.4	1046080.0	877.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-61	333977.7	1044482.0	881.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-62	334175.0	1044674.0	881.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-63	334376.8	1045078.0	884.4	301	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-64	334178.7	1044484.0	880.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CSD-65	333780.7	1044469.0	880.9	199	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-66	333781.1	1044897.0	885.7	285.5	-55.0	225.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-67	333085.5	1044977.0	897.9	432	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-68	333208.7	1045489.0	885.9	300	-45.0	270.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-69	334127.5	1044525.0	880.1	721	-45.0	270.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-7	333275.0	1046975.0	872.0	721	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-70	332843.0	1044341.0	883.3	210	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-73	334180.5	1045971.0	877.7	722	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-74	333629.4	1045723.0	857.8	585.4	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-75	333177.5	1045131.4	868.5	510	-90.0	0.0	1985	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSR-1	333479.6	1044785.0	898.7	125	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-10	334075.1	1044384.0	880.0	840	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-100	334240.0	1046142.0	871.8	840	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-100A	334245.0	1046121.0	871.0	825	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-101	335087.0	1046167.0	874.4	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-102	334687.0	1046169.0	872.7	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-104	334689.0	1046569.0	878.7	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-105	334289.0	1046571.0	870.0	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-11	333487.2	1044976.0	889.9	130	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-110	334869.4	1044976.0	876.1	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-114	332878.0	1047383.0	872.8	690	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-118	333464.3	1044785.0	898.7	635	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-11A	333486.6	1044963.0	890.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-12	333091.3	1045977.0	873.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-13	333086.5	1045585.0	879.9	265	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-14	333491.9	1045189.0	885.9	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-142	333666.2	1044781.0	889.3	280	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-143	333065.2	1044944.0	899.3	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-144	333092.7	1045775.0	891.4	280	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-145	333196.9	1044870.0	895.0	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-146	332894.8	1044761.0	903.1	325	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-147	332980.5	1045076.0	908.6	430	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-148	332783.9	1044868.0	898.4	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-15	333688.4	1044978.0	884.4	225	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-16	333272.1	1045197.0	884.4	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-17	333484.6	1045374.0	880.2	220	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-18	332889.6	1046188.0	868.6	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-19	333872.6	1044200.0	879.9	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-2	333678.8	1044584.0	893.3	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-20	334074.5	1044187.0	878.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-21	334073.2	1044591.0	881.4	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-22	333874.0	1044784.0	884.3	270	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CSR-23	333889.2	1044987.0	883.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-24	333689.3	1045191.0	887.1	145	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-24A	333675.7	1045191.0	887.1	130	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-25	333689.8	1045386.0	881.1	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-26	333490.2	1045585.0	878.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-27	333488.9	1045785.0	879.0	220	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-28	332887.9	1045978.0	871.1	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-29	332689.1	1046164.0	867.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-3	333282.8	1045376.0	891.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-30	332688.7	1046379.0	863.6	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-31	332894.3	1046378.0	866.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-32	333288.7	1045962.0	880.7	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-33B	334302.7	1044199.0	877.7	305	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-34	334696.0	1043986.9	878.8	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-35	334480.5	1043980.6	882.4	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-36	334687.4	1043787.1	877.3	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-37	334891.7	1043594.7	874.9	380	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-38	334081.3	1044790.0	883.1	430	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-39	333719.4	1045185.0	888.1	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-4	333088.4	1045770.0	891.1	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-40	332979.2	1045674.0	889.5	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-41	333068.5	1046176.0	871.6	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-42	333273.4	1046179.0	872.0	450	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-43	332480.8	1046170.4	866.9	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-44	332469.2	1046373.0	860.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-47	334287.0	1043983.0	882.0	360	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-48	334275.5	1043773.4	879.2	340	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-49	334481.5	1043780.2	877.8	305	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-5	333282.7	1045796.0	893.7	46	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-50	334687.5	1043575.1	876.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-51	333488.3	1045780.0	879.7	310	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-52	333488.3	1045798.0	878.9	470	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-53	333683.7	1046581.0	873.0	110	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-54	333670.4	1046565.0	873.2	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-5A	333266.6	1045801.0	894.0	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-6	333879.2	1044595.0	883.4	160	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-60	333086.1	1046385.0	866.7	420	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-61	332883.8	1046579.0	860.7	530	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-62	333081.8	1046781.7	863.8	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-63	333391.7	1046077.0	873.3	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-65	332681.2	1045373.0	874.9	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-66	334277.7	1044978.0	883.9	320	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CSR-67	333480.0	1044572.0	883.4	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-68	332468.8	1044381.0	892.4	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-69	332575.6	1043881.8	872.2	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-7	333278.3	1045577.0	882.2	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-70	333893.3	1045985.0	877.9	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-71	333485.8	1046376.0	873.4	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-75A	331463.8	1047007.9	853.9	470	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CSR-76	331275.6	1047144.3	855.3	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CSR-77	334466.9	1044972.0	877.6	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-78A	334674.4	1044975.0	876.5	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-79	334670.0	1044775.0	876.7	430	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-8	333684.7	1044774.0	888.6	190	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-81	335074.2	1044777.2	876.2	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-82A	335093.9	1044372.0	877.4	870	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-83	333470.0	1044171.0	877.8	595	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-84	333072.3	1044167.0	879.7	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-85	334673.7	1044371.0	877.0	700	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-86	334672.9	1045373.0	876.6	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-87A	334288.0	1044188.0	874.7	650	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-88	334475.1	1044568.0	877.1	560	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-89	333956.9	1045464.0	876.7	550	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-9	333895.8	1044376.0	882.8	300	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-90	334265.4	1045368.0	877.1	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-90A	334285.6	1045370.0	876.5	200	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-91	331639.0	1047279.0	856.6	500	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CSR-92	334685.0	1045769.0	880.7	800	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-93	330909.8	1047441.9	859.1	350	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CSR-94	335069.5	1044968.9	876.2	750	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSR-95	330770.5	1047061.6	855.1	400	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CSR-96A	336322.5	1042518.2	864.1	815	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CSR-97	333422.2	1040894.9	870.5	600	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Excluded
CSR-98	334285.0	1045771.0	877.1	775	-90.0	0.0	1985	RC	Cyprus	Included
CSD-71	334731.6	1045215.0	882.2	620	-90.0	0.0	1986	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-72	334283.0	1045673.0	879.5	569	-90.0	0.0	1986	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-76	332384.2	1044149.0	875.3	274.3	-90.0	0.0	1988	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-8	333884.9	1046383.0	874.2	950	-90.0	0.0	1988	DDH	Cyprus	Included
CSD-9	332678.0	1047410.0	866.4	676	-90.0	0.0	1988	DDH	Cyprus	Included
DCU-1	333265.0	1044640.0	890.0	700	-65.0	242.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-10	331390.0	1043940.0	855.0	700	-65.0	238.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-11	331730.0	1044120.0	859.0	700	-65.0	241.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-12	337380.0	1045930.0	883.0	700	-90.0	0.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-13	331490.0	1044760.0	856.0	700	-65.0	239.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
DCU-14	331130.0	1044550.0	852.0	700	-65.0	239.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-15	336600.0	1046550.0	884.0	500	-90.0	0.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-16	336700.0	1046740.0	883.0	800	-90.0	0.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-17	340500.0	1048500.0	885.0	700	-90.0	0.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-2	332940.0	1045380.0	881.0	700	-65.0	240.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Included
DCU-3	334160.0	1046240.0	878.0	1000	-90.0	0.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Included
DCU-4	333943.0	1046565.0	875.0	800	-90.0	0.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Included
DCU-5	332800.0	1044140.0	881.0	700	-65.0	240.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Included
DCU-6	332310.0	1044770.0	872.0	700	-65.0	242.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-7	332500.0	1043550.0	870.0	700	-65.0	245.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
DCU-8	333760.0	1045350.0	540.0	1000	-90.0	0.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Included
DCU-9	332120.0	1043330.0	867.0	700	-65.0	240.0	1993	RC	Santa Fe	Excluded
C95-01	334989.7	1045574.2	882.4	744.5	-68.0	225.0	1995	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C95-02	333062.8	1044953.3	901.8	500	-55.0	226.0	1995	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C95-03	333677.1	1046868.9	875.8	850	-90.0	0.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-04	333744.0	1046843.8	876.6	820	-74.0	225.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-05	333624.5	1046923.4	875.8	850	-75.0	225.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-06	333431.4	1047036.0	873.3	800	-70.0	225.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-07	334327.9	1046428.5	877.2	820	-90.0	0.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-08	333218.8	1047114.7	872.5	785	-90.0	0.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-09	334154.7	1046363.0	875.5	796	-90.0	0.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-10	332770.8	1047066.3	871.4	624	-90.0	0.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-11	333369.4	1047384.9	875.9	795	-90.0	0.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-12	334081.9	1046695.1	878.7	867	-90.0	0.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C95-13	333998.6	1046396.7	874.7	750	-65.0	224.0	1995	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C96-14	334470.0	1047170.0	920.8	1227	-90.0	0.0	1996	RC/DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C96-15	334225.0	1047320.0	921.7	1313	-90.0	0.0	1996	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C96-16	334030.0	1047520.0	875.0	1245	-90.0	0.0	1996	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C96-17	334513.8	1046528.3	895.9	1007	-90.0	0.0	1996	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C96-18	333853.4	1046744.0	876.6	958	-90.0	0.0	1996	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C96-19	332792.4	1047558.1	874.3	704	-90.0	0.0	1996	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-20	335084.8	1045660.4	883.2	950	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-21	333588.6	1047269.6	876.3	710	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-22	334392.4	1046766.4	905.3	1123	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-23	332928.0	1047124.0	873.9	628	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-24	332828.0	1047720.0	874.9	700	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-25	332726.0	1048018.0	875.3	801	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-26	332630.0	1047620.0	872.7	650	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-27	332928.0	1047818.0	875.5	600	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-28	333175.0	1047568.0	881.8	834	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-29	333520.0	1047220.0	876.2	906	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-30	333880.0	1046873.0	879.3	931	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH/RC	Royal Oak	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
C97-31	332933.0	1048037.3	875.4	870	-90.0	0.0	1997	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C97-32	334528.0	1046225.0	881.4	950	-90.0	0.0	1997	RC	Royal Oak	Included
C97-33	332780.0	1047270.0	880.0	571	-90.0	0.0	1997	RC/DDH	Royal Oak	Included
C97-34	332978.0	1047572.0	875.3	734	-90.0	0.0	1997	DDH	Royal Oak	Included
A98-1	332744.2	1047643.3	873.8	665.2	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-10	332771.8	1047171.3	873.9	651.5	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-11	332883.6	1047823.8	874.3	734.3	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-12	332969.6	1048170.3	875.8	943.2	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-13	332872.0	1047573.6	874.5	693.9	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-14	332911.7	1047911.8	874.6	745	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-15	332957.5	1047758.1	875.1	747.1	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-2	332878.0	1047677.5	874.7	731	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-3	332825.8	1047824.4	874.0	728	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-4	332707.9	1047507.4	873.1	660	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-5	332775.6	1047475.5	873.2	690	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-6	333424.3	1047323.9	876.6	900	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-7	333433.7	1047132.8	875.3	630	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-8	333300.9	1047300.3	875.2	915	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A98-9	332698.4	1046997.7	870.5	545	-90.0	0.0	1998	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-1	332819.3	1048319.6	885.9	534	-90.0	0.0	2000	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-10	333711.8	1045430.8	539.4	649	-89.7	159.0	2000	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-11	332799.7	1046994.7	871.7	700	-90.0	0.0	2000	RC	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-2	332835.1	1047934.3	875.2	743.5	-87.8	350.0	2000	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-3	332925.0	1048416.1	878.1	595	-90.0	0.0	2000	RC	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-4	332762.2	1047365.6	873.8	645.5	-87.8	174.0	2000	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-5	332729.5	1047128.5	872.4	637.5	-87.4	223.0	2000	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-6	333024.7	1048512.8	878.0	890	-90.0	0.0	2000	RC	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-7	333028.9	1048715.8	876.1	1035	-90.0	0.0	2000	RC	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-8	332824.7	1048519.8	876.0	880	-90.0	0.0	2000	RC	Asia Minerals	Included
A00-9	333223.5	1048714.6	877.5	1300	-90.0	0.0	2000	RC	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-1	332746.2	1047073.8	281.9	77	-10.0	62.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-10	332741.3	1047077.2	285.1	92	12.0	35.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-2	332746.2	1047073.8	284.6	68	12.0	62.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-3	332744.8	1047074.7	285.4	80	12.0	51.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-4	332744.8	1047074.7	282.5	75	-11.0	51.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-5	332747.5	1047071.8	285.9	118	12.0	76.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-5A	332747.5	1047071.8	282.0	8	-10.0	76.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-6	332745.9	1047067.8	284.2	75	10.5	103.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-7	332744.3	1047065.3	284.4	101	10.0	125.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-8	332747.5	1047071.8	283.0	98	5.0	76.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CDH-9	332747.5	1047071.8	288.8	101	20.0	76.0	2001	DDH	Asia Minerals	Included
CRD-03-01	333708.9	1045438.4	538.7	600	-90.0	0.0	2003	RC	Bonanza	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CRD-03-02	333705.9	1045444.5	538.6	600	-90.0	0.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-03	333702.4	1045453.3	537.4	520	-85.0	330.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-04	333759.0	1045360.0	548.9	595	-90.0	0.0	2003	RC	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-05	333758.7	1045363.0	548.9	600	-90.0	0.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-06	333738.0	1045397.0	548.0	650	-90.0	0.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-07	333731.0	1045394.0	548.0	600	-85.0	240.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-08	333757.0	1045355.6	549.3	600	-85.0	240.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-09	333761.8	1045355.6	548.7	600	-85.0	150.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-10	333760.2	1045398.4	546.0	600	-85.0	282.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-11	333801.8	1045376.3	547.5	600	-90.0	0.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-12	333810.3	1045421.6	547.2	600	-85.0	330.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-03-13	333777.3	1045414.9	547.0	600	-90.0	0.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-01	332718.2	1047576.3	300.5	138	20.0	96.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-02	332718.2	1047576.3	299.0	196.5	10.0	96.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-03	332718.2	1047576.3	297.5	172	0.0	96.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-04	332718.2	1047576.3	296.0	199	-5.0	96.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-05	332718.2	1047576.3	294.5	340.1	-15.0	96.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-06	332717.5	1047580.0	300.5	124	20.0	66.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-07	332717.5	1047580.0	299.0	128	10.0	66.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-08	332717.5	1047580.0	297.5	167	0.0	66.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-09	332717.5	1047580.0	296.0	244	-5.0	66.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-10	332718.1	1047578.8	299.0	159	10.0	79.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-11	332718.1	1047578.8	297.5	222.5	0.0	79.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-12	332718.1	1047578.8	296.0	226.2	-5.0	79.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-13	332718.1	1047578.8	295.0	349	-10.0	79.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-14	332714.2	1047583.4	296.0	299	-5.0	45.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-03-15	332714.2	1047583.4	297.5	274	0.0	45.0	2003	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-01	333797.3	1046833.2	877.0	885	-82.0	180.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-02	333819.1	1046821.6	877.0	920	-90.0	0.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-03	333790.7	1046831.5	877.0	920	-76.0	189.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-04	333927.2	1046728.9	876.0	988	-90.0	0.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-05	333880.1	1046613.5	874.0	896	-90.0	0.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-06	333886.8	1046622.6	875.0	901	-80.0	229.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-07	333786.3	1046828.4	877.0	869	-71.0	203.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-08	333786.3	1046819.3	877.0	850	-64.0	214.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-09	333786.2	1046819.3	877.0	951	-59.0	219.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-10	333714.8	1046874.9	876.0	850	-66.0	219.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-11	333896.8	1046639.6	876.0	847	-73.0	239.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-12	333988.9	1046771.6	879.0	987	-90.0	0.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CRD-04-13	333715.6	1046889.2	877.0	977	-90.0	0.0	2004	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-16	332714.2	1047583.4	295.0	299	-10.0	45.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-17	332716.0	1047582.5	297.5	267.5	0.0	48.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
CUDH-04-18	332716.0	1047582.5	296.0	255	-5.0	48.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-19	332716.0	1047582.5	295.0	284	-10.0	48.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-20	332712.9	1047584.0	294.5	353	-12.0	28.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-21	332712.9	1047584.0	295.5	279	-8.0	28.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-22	332712.9	1047584.0	296.3	250	-4.0	28.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-23	332712.9	1047584.0	295.5	509	-16.0	28.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-24	332715.8	1047583.4	295.0	344	-10.0	45.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-25	332715.8	1047583.4	294.0	508.6	-15.0	45.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-26	332715.8	1047583.4	294.0	749	-18.0	45.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-27	332712.9	1047584.0	294.0	46	-19.0	28.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-28	332712.0	1047580.0	296.5	219	5.0	45.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-29	332713.0	1047579.0	294.0	345	-15.0	48.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-30	332715.0	1047576.5	300.0	150	18.0	79.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-31	332717.5	1047578.0	295.0	348	-10.0	66.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
CUDH-04-32	332718.2	1047576.3	294.0	764	-22.0	96.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-33	332718.2	1047576.3	295.0	499	-10.0	96.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-34	332713.5	1047583.5	298.0	266	7.0	37.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-35	332713.5	1047583.5	296.0	322	0.0	37.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-36	332713.5	1047583.5	295.5	352	-8.0	37.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-37	332713.5	1047583.5	294.0	489	-12.0	37.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-38	332713.5	1047583.5	294.0	867	-18.0	37.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-39	332718.1	1047579.6	296.0	264	-5.0	76.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-40	332718.1	1047578.8	294.0	349	-12.0	79.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-41	332717.5	1047580.0	294.0	537	-14.0	66.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-42	332715.8	1047583.4	292.0	914	-21.0	48.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-43	332715.0	1047576.5	293.0	374	-17.0	79.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-44	332714.2	1047583.4	293.0	494	-20.0	45.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-45	332704.9	1047584.2	298.0	424	4.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-46	332708.6	1047584.8	297.5	349	0.0	14.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-47	332701.0	1047582.9	298.5	411	5.0	345.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-48	332701.0	1047582.9	296.5	279	12.0	345.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-49	332701.0	1047582.9	295.5	186.5	-2.0	345.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-50	332711.9	1047555.6	295.5	188	-9.0	100.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-51	332711.3	1047554.2	295.5	184	-9.0	112.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-52	332711.3	1047554.2	298.0	183.5	9.0	112.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-53	332711.9	1047555.6	298.0	179	9.0	100.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-54	332708.3	1047552.8	296.0	237	-5.0	140.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU4-55	332711.9	1047555.6	297.5	46	0.0	100.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
F4-1	333756.6	1045403.6	545.9	624	-83.0	290.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
F4-2	333757.9	1045399.1	546.6	789	-72.0	277.5	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
F4-3	333758.3	1045393.8	546.5	600	-75.0	256.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
F4-4	333764.6	1045359.1	549.3	660	-79.0	247.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
F4-5	333767.9	1045353.6	549.0	880	-84.0	218.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
F4-6	333767.5	1045345.4	549.3	776	-83.0	180.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
F4-7	333717.6	1045449.5	540.8	760	-80.0	286.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
F4-8	333784.4	1045294.8	561.5	750	-83.0	180.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
F4-9	333774.0	1045348.8	549.2	655	-79.0	345.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-14	333782.6	1046827.2	877.0	850	-59.0	214.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-15	333720.8	1046884.6	879.0	900	-73.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-16	333724.6	1046884.9	879.0	1151	-78.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-17	333627.9	1046919.4	877.0	1053	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-18	333779.3	1046912.7	878.0	872	-71.0	210.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-19	333773.8	1046918.6	878.0	922	-70.8	209.4	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-20	333630.7	1046941.3	877.0	940	-86.5	352.5	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-21	333619.2	1046942.7	876.0	883	-59.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-22	333725.5	1046889.5	879.0	972	-84.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-23	333550.2	1046996.3	877.0	752	-59.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-24	333553.6	1047000.0	877.0	819	-66.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-25	333546.1	1047001.3	875.0	848	-72.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-26	333445.9	1047045.3	875.0	782	-59.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-27	333448.7	1047048.8	875.0	801	-66.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-28	333435.0	1047050.8	874.0	863	-73.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-29	333800.9	1046969.1	878.0	1051	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-30	333609.4	1047066.1	878.0	1013	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-31	333510.7	1047121.6	875.0	972	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-32	333709.5	1047016.5	878.0	1063	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-33	333623.1	1046947.5	877.0	812	-66.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-34	333625.9	1046950.5	877.0	850	-73.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-35	333629.4	1046955.4	877.0	1012	-78.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-36	333443.2	1047058.3	873.0	1000	-78.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-37	333446.4	1047071.9	870.0	975	-84.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-38	333450.5	1047072.6	874.0	975	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-39	333562.5	1047016.4	876.0	915	-78.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-40	333554.6	1047005.8	876.0	970	-84.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-41	333908.6	1046622.2	877.0	950	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-42	333911.7	1046696.6	876.0	952	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-43	333887.6	1046633.9	874.0	968	-71.0	229.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-44	333548.8	1047011.3	876.0	996.5	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-45	333903.4	1046783.4	877.0	954	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-46	333794.0	1046809.2	878.0	999	-65.0	215.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-47	333803.1	1046811.8	878.0	971	-76.0	200.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-48	333757.0	1046886.5	877.0	920	-82.0	180.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-49	334088.1	1046163.5	878.0	817	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-50	334295.6	1046191.8	878.0	1072	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
H4-51	333295.0	1047296.1	876.0	877	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-52	333281.8	1047280.6	878.0	822	-75.0	219.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-53	333581.1	1047184.3	874.0	989	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-54	333650.8	1047257.4	877.0	1054	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-55	334367.7	1046562.6	894.0	1200	-85.0	163.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-56	334370.1	1046575.7	894.0	1000	-83.1	178.2	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-57	334289.0	1046572.5	890.0	1024	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-58	333498.6	1047178.1	872.0	1000	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-59	333353.5	1047197.2	878.0	834.5	-76.1	208.3	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-60	333354.8	1047197.5	878.0	983	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-61	333432.9	1047138.5	875.0	843	-75.0	220.2	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-62	333437.4	1047139.8	877.0	1008	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-63	333843.7	1046928.4	879.0	1047	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-64	334013.0	1046519.5	875.0	891	-75.2	220.6	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-65	334015.1	1046521.9	875.0	888	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-66	333777.1	1046826.1	878.0	900	-64.5	215.6	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-67	333774.9	1046828.6	877.0	1002	-58.1	230.5	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-68	333936.0	1046567.3	878.0	932	-58.9	229.7	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-69	333942.8	1046567.6	875.0	970	-68.3	228.8	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-70	333946.4	1046569.9	875.0	934	-76.6	226.7	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-71	332999.1	1047260.9	877.0	784	-75.7	223.1	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-72	333005.2	1047263.1	878.0	800	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-73	333230.3	1047330.3	875.0	813	-75.5	223.5	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-74	333229.9	1047337.6	891.0	875	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-75	333174.9	1047382.9	868.0	804	-75.7	214.5	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-76	333287.2	1047390.6	876.0	852	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-77	333590.7	1047270.3	877.0	1022	-90.0	0.0	2004	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-56	332778.8	1047550.2	298.0	198	-7.0	85.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-57	332778.8	1047549.3	298.0	181	-7.0	105.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-58	332778.8	1047549.3	300.0	161	10.0	105.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-59	332778.8	1047549.3	297.5	231	-12.0	105.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-60	332778.8	1047549.3	297.0	317.6	-18.0	105.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-61	332778.5	1047547.5	298.0	178.2	-5.0	115.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-62	332778.5	1047547.5	299.0	135	5.0	115.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-63	332778.5	1047547.5	301.0	114	15.0	115.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-64	332778.5	1047547.5	297.0	295	-15.0	115.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-65	332778.5	1047547.5	296.0	344	-20.0	115.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-66	332778.5	1047547.0	298.5	148.5	0.0	125.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-67	332778.5	1047547.0	301.0	119	15.0	125.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-68	332778.5	1047547.0	297.0	350.7	-10.0	125.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-69	332778.5	1047547.0	302.5	109	27.0	125.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-70	332711.9	1047555.6	300.0	178.4	12.0	120.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
DU5-71	332711.9	1047555.6	302.0	159	25.0	120.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-72	332710.6	1047553.2	298.0	230	10.0	140.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-73	332710.6	1047553.2	301.0	189	20.0	140.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-74	332707.6	1047552.8	300.0	349	12.0	160.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-75	332707.6	1047552.8	302.0	274	25.0	160.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-76	332703.8	1047553.1	302.0	373.4	23.0	190.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DU5-78	332701.6	1047553.0	301.0	274.8	18.0	190.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-78	332825.7	1047776.0	875.0	744	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-79	333777.1	1046826.1	878.0	1200	-65.6	221.9	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-80	332775.4	1047826.1	875.0	769	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-81	332829.0	1047863.0	875.0	904	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-82	333445.0	1047384.8	877.0	924	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-83	333232.6	1047130.9	873.0	1060	-77.1	218.2	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-84	333235.8	1047135.7	873.0	876	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-85	333371.0	1047447.4	878.0	914	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H4-86	333294.7	1047459.7	877.0	900	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H5-100	334306.8	1046135.1	878.0	1027	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H5-101	333364.0	1047320.6	876.0	937	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H5-102	334227.4	1046192.6	905.0	944	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H5-103	333827.5	1046896.7	878.0	975	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H5-104	334146.0	1046312.1	878.0	440	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-105	333620.5	1047026.9	878.0	200	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-106	334136.9	1046314.2	878.0	1026	-90.0	0.0	2005	DDH	Bonanza	Included
H5-107	333620.5	1047026.9	878.0	1040	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-108	334175.1	1046125.9	878.0	1137	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-109	334410.0	1046253.7	879.1	1228	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-110	332764.0	1047883.1	874.9	778	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-111	332716.5	1047842.8	874.3	814	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-112	332765.5	1047739.5	874.5	711	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-113	334173.4	1046127.0	878.6	240	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-114	333328.2	1047345.1	876.6	240	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-115	333336.2	1047343.9	876.2	920	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-116	334183.4	1046128.3	877.7	240	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-117	334163.6	1046125.4	878.9	240	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-118	334299.3	1046132.6	878.6	1035	-80.6	209.2	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-119	334169.9	1046137.2	878.6	834.8	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-120	333524.8	1047337.7	878.3	360	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-121	333391.7	1047171.9	875.7	924	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-122	333605.8	1047366.6	879.2	540	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-123	334200.7	1046229.1	876.5	240	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-124	334204.8	1046230.3	876.9	929	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC/DDH	Bonanza	Included
H5-125	333202.3	1047601.0	877.7	300	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
H5-126	333661.0	1047346.8	878.6	1070	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-127	333200.8	1047609.3	878.2	260	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-128	333245.6	1047555.3	881.8	420	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-129	333238.2	1047465.9	878.8	640	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-130	333800.1	1048002.5	881.0	1210	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Excluded
H5-131	333631.7	1047237.2	878.5	640	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-132	333941.3	1046845.7	881.7	630	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-133	333171.8	1047480.0	878.1	640	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-134	333926.8	1046926.0	881.2	200	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-135	333906.0	1046597.3	877.0	300	-79.0	219.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-136	333078.6	1048277.6	877.6	1455	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-137	332289.6	1048304.7	874.6	620	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-138	333187.7	1048820.3	877.3	1600	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-139	332353.3	1048869.4	874.3	1202	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Excluded
H5-140	332399.8	1049502.3	869.8	1200	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Excluded
H5-141	333058.2	1044889.9	903.4	600	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-142	332253.0	1047698.9	871.6	1202	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-143	332553.2	1047561.8	872.2	790	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-144	333898.8	1046601.2	874.9	300	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-145	333904.6	1046608.6	875.9	320	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-146	333910.3	1046615.7	875.7	300	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-147	333036.2	1044972.6	904.7	500	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-148	333138.7	1044883.0	899.0	60	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-149	334269.4	1047769.2	881.5	730	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-150	332268.5	1047206.0	870.5	663	-89.8	250.6	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-151	334848.5	1048094.2	882.5	600	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Excluded
H5-152	333933.9	1047363.6	879.5	800	-81.0	109.6	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-153	334002.7	1047461.5	880.8	600	-85.0	119.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-154	332611.8	1047423.1	872.2	400	-89.6	40.3	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-155	333744.3	1046871.4	877.1	1029	-62.0	218.8	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-156	333751.9	1046880.4	877.8	1000	-66.4	217.8	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-157	333827.3	1046788.4	876.3	1000	-60.2	229.3	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-158	333832.6	1046793.3	876.5	1040	-62.0	226.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-159	333024.8	1048224.9	876.8	600	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-160	333076.8	1048170.1	878.1	1200	-89.4	120.4	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-161	333131.7	1048222.0	878.4	400	-89.4	306.9	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-162	331482.1	1047023.0	862.1	1064	-89.7	15.8	2005	RC	Bonanza	Excluded
H5-163	333031.0	1045077.6	901.9	150	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-87	331657.9	1047005.3	864.8	885	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Excluded
H5-88	333366.9	1047309.1	877.0	640	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-89	333479.5	1047291.7	877.0	240	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-90	333543.2	1047285.3	878.0	260	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
H5-91	333535.7	1047277.8	877.0	990	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-92	333471.0	1047296.3	877.0	965	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-93	333437.9	1047450.1	877.0	942	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-94	334208.8	1046232.7	888.0	240	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-95	333863.6	1046768.9	877.0	1028	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-96	333508.2	1047060.7	876.0	928	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-97	333445.7	1047202.7	876.0	260	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-98	333438.9	1047210.7	876.0	360	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
H5-99	333438.9	1047210.7	876.0	985	-90.0	0.0	2005	RC	Bonanza	Included
06CS-01	330696.3	1047751.4	871.5	1100.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-02	330963.6	1048015.0	873.8	1040.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-03	331412.6	1047898.5	878.3	1080.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-04	330893.0	1047374.9	867.5	1100.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-05	335481.2	1041373.0	878.4	980.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-06	335949.1	1041529.4	875.0	900.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-07	337657.8	1041874.8	870.5	950.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-08	337991.2	1042183.4	868.0	900.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-09	337477.6	1045911.3	890.4	865.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-10	332624.1	1042577.6	881.6	1160.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-11	335058.4	1045148.7	887.7	910.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Included
06CS-12	334858.5	1043670.9	941.1	1160.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Included
06CS-13	339525.0	1036682.0	876.0	620.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-14	335918.5	1044120.1	950.7	940.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-15	333799.8	1045400.1	549.7	600.0	-60.0	210.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Included
06CS-16	332692.1	1046157.3	752.2	760.0	-59.6	164.6	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Included
06CS-17	333011.7	1045941.5	730.0	600.0	-60.0	210.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Included
06CS-18	333985.7	1044785.6	618.4	540.0	-60.0	210.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Included
06CS-19	331019.8	1043618.8	859.7	965.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-20	331609.2	1041750.6	881.0	1105.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-21	331456.2	1042441.8	870.8	880.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-22	330830.6	1043400.3	859.0	1025.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-23	330790.1	1044422.4	856.0	1000.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-24	334754.4	1043646.1	940.7	1170.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-25	334822.7	1043639.3	940.8	1140.0	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-26	337454.1	1045425.8	940.0	1020.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
06CS-27	333208.7	1050145.1	869.3	1000.0	-90.0	0.0	2006	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-28	332884.1	1049718.3	882.0	780.0	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-29	337031.7	1046272.5	889.2	1203.0	<i>Assumed Vertical</i>		2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-30	330740.4	1043407.3	859.0	1203.0	-88.8	16.3	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-31	330823.7	1043290.4	859.0	1129.0	-88.2	210.5	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-32	334744.5	1043712.1	939.5	1184.0	-89.3	100.5	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Included
07CS-33	334873.3	1043580.4	942.1	1200.0	-89.1	338.5	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
07CS-34	334753.7	1044191.6	891.1	1017.0	-60.0	225.0	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Included
07CS-35	337378.7	1041842.8	973.5	800.0	Assumed Vertical		2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-36	334679.3	1044544.5	876.1	1102.0	-54.0	225.0	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Included
07CS-37	334741.6	1045110.3	808.5	1095.0	-89.0	131.4	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-38	332854.3	1050543.3	865.1	1130.0	-89.5	31.4	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-39	332570.7	1049315.1	873.2	1200.0	-89.3	173.6	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-40	336131.3	1047462.5	889.0	1200	-89.1	76.3	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-41	336572.3	1047917.3	891.6	1190	-89.4	4.9	2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-42	333487.3	1042314.4	884.5	1200	Assumed Vertical		2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-43	330766.7	1042224.2	864.8	930	Assumed Vertical		2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
07CS-44	337159.1	1041384.0	870.0	420	Assumed Vertical		2007	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
08CS-45	330801.9	1043358.4	854.5	1130	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
08CS-46	330770.4	1043276.1	854.8	1000	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
08CS-47	330887.0	1043297.7	856.2	1282.5	-89.5	125.3	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
08CS-48	330837.6	1043226.6	856.3	1130	-89.7	187.9	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
08CS-49A	334360.6	1044119.8	893.7	1020	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
08CS-50	334424.0	1044012.9	897.7	900	-89.5	177.3	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
08CS-51	334264.6	1044307.6	894.4	869	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
08CS-52	334258.4	1044144.3	897.0	910	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
08CS-53	332826.8	1047465.4	874.8	660	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
08CS-54	332875.0	1047425.8	876.8	690	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
08CS-55	332937.9	1047465.3	876.6	715	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
08CS-56	330791.8	1043461.3	852.6	1091	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
08CS-57	330752.3	1043379.4	852.7	1000	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Excluded
08CS-58	334323.2	1043995.4	890.9	900	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
08CS-59	334205.9	1044199.8	895.0	850	-90.0	0.0	2008	DDH	Bonanza	Included
DZ12-1	332664.9	1047794.0	230.4	100	55.0	80.0	2012	Missing	DZ Holes	Excluded
DZ12-2	332664.9	1047794.0	230.4	100	55.0	80.0	2012	Missing	DZ Holes	Excluded
DZ12-3	332664.9	1047794.0	230.4	100	55.0	80.0	2012	Missing	DZ Holes	Excluded
DZ12-4	332664.9	1047794.0	230.4	100	55.0	80.0	2012	Missing	DZ Holes	Excluded
DZ12-5	332664.9	1047794.0	230.4	100	55.0	80.0	2012	Missing	DZ Holes	Excluded
DZ12-6	332664.9	1047794.0	230.4	100	55.0	80.0	2012	Missing	DZ Holes	Excluded
DZ-3	332689.4	1047345.9	304.0	100	45.0	120.0	2012	Missing	DZ Holes	Excluded
DZ-4	332690.4	1047354.2	305.9	100	45.0	67.0	2012	Missing	DZ Holes	Excluded
520-1	332625.0	1047500.0	360.0	125	60.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-10	332625.0	1047400.0	360.0	185	35.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-11	332625.0	1047400.0	360.0	225	15.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-12	332625.0	1047350.0	360.0	175	75.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-13	332625.0	1047350.0	360.0	165	55.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-14	332625.0	1047350.0	360.0	185	24.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-15	332625.0	1047350.0	360.0	225	0.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-16	332625.0	1047300.0	360.0	175	50.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
520-17	332625.0	1047300.0	360.0	185	20.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-2	332625.0	1047500.0	360.0	170	35.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-3	332625.0	1047500.0	360.0	185	10.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-4	332625.0	1047450.0	360.0	125	70.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-5	332625.0	1047450.0	360.0	150	50.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-6	332625.0	1047450.0	360.0	180	25.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-7	332625.0	1047450.0	360.0	200	5.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-8	332625.0	1047400.0	360.0	150	85.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
520-9	332625.0	1047400.0	360.0	150	65.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-1	332764.0	1047583.4	318.4	64	16.0	264.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-10	332777.1	1047496.6	318.7	64	64.0	277.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-12	332772.7	1047468.6	308.3	56	15.0	278.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-13	332775.1	1047467.9	313.9	52	59.0	267.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-14	332786.8	1047469.8	314.1	100	53.0	104.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-15	332772.3	1047443.4	303.0	100	8.0	274.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-16	332774.4	1047443.5	308.1	100	63.0	261.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-17	332783.8	1047442.0	306.9	100	57.0	95.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-2	332764.5	1047583.5	317.7	60	60.0	266.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-3	332779.6	1047584.9	314.0	52	38.0	81.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-4	332770.8	1047531.8	315.4	60	12.0	259.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-5	332771.8	1047531.9	314.9	60	32.0	266.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-6	332773.2	1047532.3	320.4	60	67.0	260.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-8	332774.7	1047497.0	314.1	52	33.0	277.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
650W-9	332776.8	1047496.7	319.4	56	55.0	279.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-1	332815.8	1047424.0	297.4	100	20.0	145.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-2	332818.9	1047426.9	298.4	100	20.0	123.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-50	332817.5	1047681.6	303.0	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-51	332822.2	1047684.7	303.0	52	12.0	32.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-52	332834.3	1047656.1	302.0	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-53	332839.3	1047659.8	302.0	100	12.0	35.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-54	332854.7	1047616.7	302.0	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-55	332863.1	1047619.3	302.0	52	12.0	23.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-56	332835.3	1047554.3	302.0	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-57	332842.5	1047553.3	302.0	100	12.0	97.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-58	332836.7	1047522.9	302.0	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-59	332844.6	1047523.0	302.0	52	12.0	71.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-60	332836.8	1047493.9	302.0	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654-61	332844.1	1047493.3	302.0	52	12.0	100.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654cc-1	332788.6	1047481.4	314.1	60	15.0	95.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654cc-2	332790.3	1047524.8	314.3	60	15.0	78.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
654cc-3	332789.8	1047530.8	315.9	100	15.0	51.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-1	332774.7	1047858.9	241.0	52	10.0	75.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
690-10	332760.8	1047772.4	250.5	52	10.0	33.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-11	332758.6	1047770.7	244.4	52	45.0	77.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-12	332759.9	1047770.1	246.7	52	80.0	79.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-13	332757.2	1047741.7	252.7	52	10.0	48.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-14	332756.0	1047741.5	248.5	52	45.0	63.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-15	332755.5	1047740.9	246.0	52	80.0	75.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-19	332719.4	1047882.0	237.3	84	11.0	29.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-2	332774.7	1047858.6	240.9	52	45.0	75.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-20	332717.1	1047861.9	240.3	88	11.0	115.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-21	332717.0	1047861.6	236.6	76	45.0	117.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-22	332717.3	1047874.8	241.8	88	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-23	332724.4	1047874.9	239.9	88	45.0	55.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-24	332725.6	1047874.9	236.4	64	10.0	60.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-25	332721.8	1047831.9	238.4	88	10.0	89.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-26	332721.7	1047830.9	238.2	88	45.0	80.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-28	332754.2	1047821.8	237.2	66	10.0	41.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-29	332755.7	1047821.5	239.9	60	45.0	36.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-3	332774.7	1047859.7	240.2	44	80.0	75.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-31	332774.9	1047819.5	231.4	28	-90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-32	332767.5	1047759.6	231.4	28	-90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-33	332721.0	1047650.0	260.0	100	55.0	103.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-34	332721.0	1047650.0	260.0	100	86.0	170.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-35	332721.0	1047650.0	260.0	85	55.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-36	332721.0	1047650.0	260.0	70	85.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-38	332630.0	1047650.0	260.0	125	60.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-39	332726.0	1047700.0	260.0	76	50.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-40	332726.0	1047700.0	260.0	72	90.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-41	332714.0	1047700.0	260.0	90	90.0	270.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-42	332714.0	1047700.0	260.0	125	45.0	270.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-43	332757.0	1047750.0	260.0	60	70.0	270.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-44	332757.0	1047750.0	260.0	100	35.0	270.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-45	332557.0	1047750.0	255.0	120	37.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-46	332557.0	1047750.0	255.0	100	60.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-47	332763.0	1047800.0	250.0	80	65.0	270.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-48	332654.0	1047800.0	250.0	110	65.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-49	332654.0	1047800.0	250.0	120	90.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-50	332724.0	1047850.0	245.0	125	40.0	270.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-51	332724.0	1047850.0	245.0	100	60.0	270.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-7	332765.3	1047799.9	243.6	52	10.0	73.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
690-8	332765.1	1047799.6	240.4	52	45.0	80.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-1	332819.4	1047934.0	227.0	52	12.0	86.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-10	332850.4	1047850.0	233.3	100	12.0	47.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
726-11	332845.2	1047844.3	235.9	100	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-13	332876.7	1047825.4	233.2	52	12.0	44.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-14	332870.9	1047820.0	233.6	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-2	332813.0	1047933.8	236.4	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-3	332805.0	1047933.3	229.2	100	70.0	272.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-4	332817.3	1047899.5	233.2	52	12.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-5	332810.1	1047899.5	236.1	52	90.0	0.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-6	332800.5	1047900.1	233.8	52	80.0	279.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
726-7	332824.3	1047872.4	234.0	52	12.0	45.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-11	332952.5	1047637.8	243.0	52	86.0	180.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-13	332947.7	1047674.1	230.8	120	47.0	93.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-14	332933.8	1047674.3	231.0	132	64.0	259.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-15	332842.6	1047833.7	246.3	60	79.0	101.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-16	332862.6	1047813.0	236.6	20	88.0	137.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-17	332896.7	1047767.5	231.8	80	81.0	35.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-18	332903.5	1047761.8	230.9	100	54.0	116.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-19	332842.7	1047833.7	245.0	28	7.0	90.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-2	332936.0	1047579.0	248.9	100	50.0	137.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-20	332896.9	1047762.0	222.6	56	-89.0	180.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-21	332920.4	1047723.9	230.8	120	88.0	302.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-22	332927.9	1047723.5	227.7	152	32.0	94.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-23	332908.7	1047732.7	221.6	76	-75.0	163.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-3	332934.0	1047580.9	239.5	104	-25.0	151.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-4	332932.0	1047587.5	237.9	64	-82.0	45.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-5	332938.5	1047594.0	251.1	100	87.0	3.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-7	332949.8	1047613.1	245.9	52	89.0	220.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-8	332947.0	1047613.5	244.8	132	66.0	275.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
730-9	332944.1	1047617.6	240.9	132	12.0	264.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-1	333070.1	1047144.8	194.0	52	12.0	237.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-10	333236.8	1047015.9	194.0	96	12.0	206.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-11	333239.9	1047025.2	202.0	60	80.0	159.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-12	333244.1	1047033.4	194.0	52	12.0	23.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-13	333138.3	1047074.2	194.0	52	12.0	43.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-14	333173.6	1047044.2	194.0	52	43.0	12.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-17	333248.1	1047003.6	194.0	100	12.0	165.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-18	333253.8	1047015.9	194.0	100	12.0	96.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-2	333076.7	1047147.7	202.0	48	80.0	344.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-20	333214.5	1047026.6	194.0	40	12.0	203.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-3	333083.4	1047150.3	194.0	52	12.0	57.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-4	333145.0	1047044.0	194.0	100	12.0	223.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-5	333151.2	1047049.5	202.0	56	80.0	136.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-6	333155.2	1047054.9	194.0	52	12.0	43.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
750-7	333186.9	1047022.3	194.0	100	12.0	215.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-8	333194.1	1047034.1	202.0	60	80.0	82.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
750-9	333201.1	1047044.7	194.0	52	12.0	30.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-10	333107.6	1047310.7	156.2	100	51.0	294.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-12	333107.9	1047309.8	155.9	104	19.0	343.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-13	333030.2	1047281.3	162.9	104	18.0	323.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-14	333030.3	1047281.2	167.0	100	47.0	328.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-15	332995.0	1047251.3	173.7	100	30.0	163.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-16	332995.0	1047251.3	173.7	100	60.0	163.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-16a	333127.9	1047410.6	160.2	100	59.0	259.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-17	333140.5	1047411.8	156.1	108	9.0	108.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-18	333127.9	1047364.0	159.5	100	58.0	290.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-19	333140.1	1047360.9	154.6	100	9.0	70.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-2	333079.5	1047344.3	160.0	100	10.0	52.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-20	333136.7	1047400.0	150.6	56	-90.0	360.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-21	333136.7	1047374.7	150.5	56	-90.0	360.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-3	333077.5	1047337.9	162.0	100	45.0	52.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-4	333075.7	1047337.0	162.0	100	45.0	80.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-8	333064.0	1047343.0	162.0	100	45.0	313.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
810-9	333065.0	1047347.0	160.0	100	10.0	313.0	2013	Percussion	Bonanza Underground	Excluded
KER-15-01	333738.0	1045390.0	544.0	752	-90.0	0.0	2015	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-15-02	333738.0	1045390.0	544.0	691	-65.0	215.0	2015	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-15-03	333738.0	1045390.0	544.0	1021.5	-45.0	220.0	2015	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-15-04	333539.2	1045699.0	505.0	581	-90.0	0.0	2015	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-01	334849.0	1045287.6	797.1	709	-90.0	0.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-02	333750.0	1045254.5	557.8	665	-65.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-03	333747.0	1045253.0	558.4	665	-45.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-04	333852.2	1045044.9	583.4	775	-65.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-06	333513.2	1045341.5	652.3	860	-89.6	243.9	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-07	333535.2	1045333.2	650.5	831	-62.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-08	333462.1	1045427.1	663.5	240	-65.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-08B	333461.7	1045426.3	663.6	262	-55.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-09	333427.0	1045480.2	669.9	970	-79.4	236.4	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-10	333365.9	1045567.6	681.9	855	-86.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-11	333363.3	1045566.7	682.0	949	-60.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-12	333218.7	1045731.0	702.4	1040	-89.7	236.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-13	333112.7	1045888.0	720.3	980	-89.9	193.2	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-14	334835.9	1045316.4	794.0	660	-89.7	265.5	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-15	334836.3	1045318.8	794.0	800	-67.2	11.2	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-16	333740.7	1045241.9	558.1	760	-89.4	346.1	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-17	333229.8	1045726.2	702.0	842	-53.2	239.1	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-18	333112.5	1045892.0	720.9	428	-60.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
KER-17S-19	333816.7	1045055.5	580.7	820	-89.2	114.1	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-20	333440.1	1045459.2	667.1	330	-88.8	331.0	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-21	333894.6	1044783.3	612.7	620	-64.3	235.7	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-22	334376.4	1045442.8	693.0	280	-89.4	328.3	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17S-23	334198.3	1044201.7	887.8	280	-51.4	258.0	2017	RC	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-01	333091.2	1047769.2	220.1	130	-35.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-02	333093.5	1047771.3	220.2	175	-80.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-03	333105.5	1047776.1	220.4	176	-65.0	60.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-04	333096.0	1047780.5	220.8	200	-50.0	358.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-05	333093.1	1047780.9	221.4	218	-35.0	330.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-06	333079.7	1047776.2	221.2	220	-20.0	277.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-07	332746.0	1047184.2	293.5	206	-80.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-08	332751.9	1047185.1	305.5	151	88.0	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-09	332752.2	1047184.8	305.7	151	45.0	330.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-10	332789.8	1047368.7	300.0	238	-11.0	41.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-11	332789.8	1047363.5	296.0	220.5	-15.0	65.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-12	332788.0	1047366.0	300.0	284	-11.0	44.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-13	332788.0	1047366.0	300.0	170	-15.0	72.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-14	332788.0	1047366.0	300.0	243	-12.0	48.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-15	332772.0	1047362.7	292.7	154	-42.0	264.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-16	332773.3	1047364.6	302.6	178	35.0	284.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-17	332790.2	1047367.2	295.3	220	-17.0	45.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-18	332605.6	1047237.5	343.9	16.5	-90.0	0.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-18B	332604.1	1047243.9	342.0	193.5	25.0	105.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-19	332589.4	1047232.9	356.0	150	60.0	62.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-20	332589.9	1047232.9	341.9	302	-40.0	36.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-21B	332590.2	1047238.7	341.9	134	-70.0	291.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-22B	332588.7	1047239.2	357.8	175	35.0	288.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-23	332588.7	1047239.2	357.8	200	60.0	320.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Excluded
KER-17U-24	332590.2	1047238.7	341.9	19	-70.0	320.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-25	332625.9	1047636.1	265.1	227	61.0	177.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-26	332627.3	1047641.2	267.9	152	78.0	113.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-27	332627.3	1047641.2	267.9	202	50.0	107.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-28	332627.3	1047641.2	267.9	152	62.0	93.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-29	332812.0	1046826.3	318.2	202	40.8	58.6	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-30	332811.3	1046826.7	315.4	252	25.3	57.2	2017	DDH	Kerr	Excluded
KER-17U-34	332812.0	1046826.3	318.2	204	74.1	57.7	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-50	333079.5	1047756.0	219.8	105	-19.0	222.5	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-51	333084.7	1047762.2	219.6	110	-32.7	222.5	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-52	333087.5	1047763.0	220.0	100	-40.7	215.5	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-53	333090.7	1047764.3	219.8	98	-52.1	200.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-54	333100.1	1047764.1	220.9	280.5	-52.7	136.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
KER-17U-55	333087.9	1047779.2	225.8	270	-3.8	311.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-56	333086.9	1047779.2	224.9	151	-9.2	305.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-57	333081.5	1047776.8	222.7	160	-17.3	288.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-58	333082.8	1047774.4	220.2	251	-35.3	274.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-59	333130.9	1047621.9	207.0	182.5	-11.7	223.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-60	333132.6	1047619.3	200.5	220	-53.2	202.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-61	333136.8	1047620.0	199.3	187	-53.0	202.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-62	333147.6	1047628.6	202.8	190	-56.2	88.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-63	333141.8	1047637.8	203.1	178	-54.7	25.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-64	333139.1	1047637.4	202.4	171	-65.4	330.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-65	333128.3	1047630.0	203.4	150	-50.2	282.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-66	333128.7	1047627.7	206.9	180	-15.3	260.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-67	333157.9	1047528.2	194.9	201	-9.4	225.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-68	333158.1	1047528.3	190.5	169	-55.0	205.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-69	333176.3	1047523.0	194.7	279	-5.1	130.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Excluded
KER-17U-70	333176.6	1047521.9	188.5	180	-45.6	134.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-71	333167.8	1047524.0	188.5	151	-70.0	147.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-72	333173.5	1047529.7	190.3	242	-60.4	88.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-73	333171.4	1047535.2	191.7	224	-56.3	61.7	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-74	333166.3	1047528.1	189.5	162	-89.1	0.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-75	333164.5	1047520.1	187.9	172	-61.0	241.7	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-76	333158.6	1047525.2	194.5	200	-10.5	240.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
KER-17U-77	333164.3	1047523.2	188.7	240	-53.0	168.0	2017	DDH	Kerr	Included
18-01-01	332797.0	1046858.0	316.0	280	64.8	62.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-01-04	332797.0	1046858.0	316.0	320	48.0	82.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-01A-02	332811.0	1046818.0	318.0	170	59.2	86.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-01A-03	332811.0	1046818.0	318.0	310	41.9	87.9	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-01A-04	332796.1	1046821.6	320.0	220	71.5	241.9	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-03-05	332620.0	1047112.4	325.3	60	-7.0	202.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-03-07	332614.0	1047120.5	321.6	60	-46.3	48.2	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-03-08	332612.5	1047122.5	321.8	50	-45.5	0.6	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-04-01	332932.5	1047671.6	224.0	130	-6.5	229.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-04-02	332932.6	1047671.5	223.2	140	-12.4	204.5	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-04-03	332932.9	1047672.0	222.6	80	-36.1	231.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-04A-01	332924.0	1047702.0	226.0	75	-24.8	319.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-04A-02	332934.0	1047688.0	221.0	110	-59.9	135.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-04A-03	332934.0	1047688.0	221.0	100	-32.5	168.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-04A-04	332924.0	1047702.0	226.0	110	-79.9	341.6	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05-01	333333.3	1046723.6	366.8	280	19.0	54.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05-02	333333.0	1046719.0	370.0	200	33.0	91.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05-03	333333.0	1046719.0	368.0	320	32.0	75.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05-04	333333.0	1046719.0	373.0	200	56.3	40.3	2019	RC	Kerr	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
18-05-05	333327.0	1046715.0	361.0	125	-80.2	317.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05-06	333320.0	1046714.9	360.9	215	-15.9	216.3	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05-07	333318.4	1046717.3	361.7	180	-16.1	241.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05-08	333322.0	1046710.0	361.0	200	-74.6	79.5	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05A-01	333311.1	1046727.5	361.9	210	0.0	245.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05A-04	333316.0	1046730.0	358.0	150	-42.4	227.2	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05A-06	333311.3	1046725.9	362.0	230	-0.7	235.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05E-01	333248.3	1046826.2	341.0	155	-65.3	241.5	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-05E-07	333248.0	1046825.7	341.0	290	-45.2	244.3	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-07-01	333214.0	1046931.0	335.0	160	-89.0	187.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-07-02	333214.0	1046931.0	335.0	160	-80.0	45.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-07-03	333214.0	1046931.0	335.0	150	-70.4	277.7	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08-01	333129.0	1046988.0	326.0	100	-88.5	44.2	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08-02	333129.0	1046988.0	326.0	155	-64.5	126.6	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08A-01	333090.0	1047017.0	323.0	145	-85.2	353.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08A-02	333086.6	1047015.4	324.5	130	-20.5	244.2	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08A-03	333086.9	1047015.6	323.0	120	-39.2	244.9	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08B-01	333051.0	1047068.0	320.0	125	-33.8	224.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08B-02	333057.0	1047071.0	317.0	125	-88.6	8.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08B-03	333062.0	1047075.0	317.0	245	-59.2	40.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-08B-04	333051.0	1047068.0	326.0	120	49.9	212.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-09-01	332910.0	1047233.0	294.0	120	0.0	0.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Excluded
18-09-01B	332910.0	1047233.0	294.0	120	0.0	0.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Excluded
18-09-02	332902.0	1047240.0	294.0	135	-49.6	250.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-09-03	332902.0	1047240.0	294.0	170	-30.3	252.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-10-03	332599.0	1047294.0	341.6	75	-63.0	276.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-10-05	332599.0	1047294.0	346.0	15	27.0	87.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-12A-01	332782.0	1047232.0	294.0	70	-84.7	281.3	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-12A-02	332773.9	1047233.5	295.1	150	-20.9	280.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-17A-01	332952.0	1047249.0	190.0	80	76.0	359.7	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-17A-04	332952.0	1047248.8	190.0	270	34.4	301.5	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-17B-01	332997.0	1047252.0	176.0	100	34.8	120.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-17B-02	332994.0	1047266.0	174.0	150	28.4	349.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-17B-03	332994.0	1047266.0	174.0	130	42.5	324.9	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-17B-04	332994.0	1047266.0	174.0	130	49.0	299.9	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-18-01	333227.0	1047337.0	152.0	80	-65.1	272.3	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-18-02	333227.0	1047337.0	152.0	60	-64.1	91.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-18A-01	333264.0	1047336.0	148.0	155	-26.9	166.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-18A-03	333264.0	1047336.0	148.0	35	-40.0	65.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-01	333169.9	1047565.0	196.0	225	-33.1	108.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-02	333148.9	1047555.8	196.0	175	-31.7	217.9	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-03	333154.9	1047561.0	193.6	145	-75.3	213.5	2019	RC	Kerr	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
18-20-05	333147.4	1047555.5	198.2	200	3.9	240.7	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-06	333170.6	1047563.1	196.7	240	-31.5	90.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-08	333168.6	1047569.6	197.0	210	-33.9	71.5	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-09	333146.7	1047559.6	197.0	265	6.0	262.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-10	333148.2	1047557.9	195.7	175	-26.0	251.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-11	333148.7	1047553.3	195.8	280	-32.5	207.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-12	333161.9	1047564.4	193.2	250	-73.0	158.7	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-13	333166.2	1047565.0	193.2	245	-47.1	126.6	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-20-14	333152.2	1047559.2	196.1	235	-29.4	190.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-02	333083.4	1047777.4	222.7	190	-15.5	312.6	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-03	333083.9	1047776.9	220.1	170	-43.6	303.9	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-04	333084.7	1047776.1	220.1	310	-32.2	6.3	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-05	333084.0	1047776.8	223.1	290	-13.0	321.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-06	333083.8	1047777.0	220.1	270	-28.0	350.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-07	333084.3	1047776.5	222.5	280	-17.0	325.2	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-08	333084.6	1047776.3	220.1	85	-34.6	4.1	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-08A	333084.6	1047776.3	220.1	320	-34.7	12.7	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-09	333090.4	1047770.4	220.3	55	-80.0	100.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-09A	333090.4	1047770.4	220.3	150	-80.7	101.2	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-10	333083.9	1047777.0	221.5	250	-20.3	341.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-11	333082.8	1047776.0	222.5	265	-13.2	296.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21-13	333084.4	1047776.0	224.2	390	-3.5	345.2	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-21A-05	333102.1	1047686.0	215.0	160	-89.1	331.9	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-34-01	333009.0	1047123.0	307.0	100	-36.0	228.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-34-02	333016.0	1047129.0	305.0	110	-77.5	41.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-34-03	333016.0	1047129.0	305.0	135	-62.8	65.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-34-04	333016.0	1047129.0	305.0	200	-51.6	51.3	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-34-05	333009.0	1047123.0	307.0	75	-72.9	230.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-36-01	332730.4	1047647.3	259.2	120	56.3	1.4	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-36-02	332713.0	1047627.0	265.0	140	51.5	163.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-36-03	332713.0	1047627.0	265.0	140	47.5	185.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-36-04	332694.8	1047638.0	265.0	130	29.6	334.5	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-36-05	332693.8	1047638.1	265.0	160	55.9	315.2	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-36-06	332728.8	1047646.1	260.7	160	39.3	12.8	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-38-02	332570.0	1047700.0	254.0	180	29.7	27.7	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-38-03	332570.0	1047700.0	254.0	170	75.3	53.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-38A-01	332551.0	1047688.0	257.0	180	69.8	107.7	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-38A-02	332551.0	1047688.0	257.0	220	69.2	172.0	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
18-38A-03	332551.0	1047688.0	257.0	220	70.8	350.5	2019	RC	Kerr	Included
AZG-20-21-13	333105.3	1047784.5	232.8	350	40.0	30.8	2020	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20-21-15	333102.7	1047784.1	230.0	303	30.3	3.0	2020	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20-21-16	333094.7	1047781.3	222.2	410	-35.9	14.8	2020	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
AZG-20S-01	333905.8	1046851.0	879.7	1295	-78.4	218.6	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-02	334847.4	1046034.9	893.8	1200	-65.0	265.0	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-03	332715.3	1045699.5	873.6	750	-89.6	302.3	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-04	333225.9	1045328.9	782.7	850	-71.2	235.4	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-05	333889.5	1044787.0	612.1	605	-49.9	220.0	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-06	333878.4	1044784.8	612.1	625	-49.8	255.0	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-07	334859.8	1045246.5	799.4	765	-72.3	63.0	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-08	333441.0	1045865.0	483.0	700	-63.0	268.1	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-09	333437.3	1045911.8	481.0	800	-60.5	177.9	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-10	333000.6	1045246.2	888.6	745	-55.2	240.4	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-11	333030.4	1045876.0	725.0	750	-71.8	184.5	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-12	333030.1	1045933.9	723.8	820	-90.0	0.0	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-13	333026.3	1045933.2	724.0	725	-70.0	259.2	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-14	332970.3	1045368.2	881.1	850	-79.3	241.9	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-15	334096.4	1046221.3	877.1	750	-55.4	237.6	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-16	334061.3	1046214.7	877.5	1000	-70.0	290.0	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-17	332872.3	1045542.0	879.3	700	-89.8	221.4	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-18	333507.5	1046990.0	874.7	950	-80.0	235.0	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-21	334199.7	1046164.8	878.4	700	-89.6	131.0	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-22	334775.3	1045111.3	808.4	480	-55.5	281.4	2020	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20S-23	334424.0	1045815.8	739.0	565	-65.6	301.0	2021	RC	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-30-30	332971.7	1046707.8	255.7	250	65.2	155.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-33-31	332902.0	1046964.1	258.9	250	44.7	74.8	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20-21-01	333096.3	1047782.2	222.3	460	-40.8	29.9	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20-21-02	333103.2	1047783.6	224.5	370	-25.3	350.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20-21-03	333093.4	1047781.9	221.8	375	-22.6	340.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-20-21-14	333087.4	1047779.3	224.2	395	-30.6	3.2	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-01-20	332812.3	1046826.4	315.8	223	76.2	282.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-01-21	332812.2	1046826.7	318.0	224	65.4	320.2	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-02-27	333050.3	1046169.0	414.9	600	-70.0	240.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-10-06	332592.9	1047329.6	347.2	281	-0.3	269.8	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-10-09	332609.2	1047331.5	354.9	203	26.9	87.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-10-17	332594.7	1047329.6	355.4	196.5	69.3	315.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-12-28	332682.7	1047803.0	223.6	250	2.2	32.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-12-29	332658.9	1047800.0	224.8	150	30.9	40.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-13-04	332664.5	1047408.7	288.4	90	-89.3	180.2	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-13-05	332662.8	1047405.3	290.2	146	-0.8	280.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-13-07	332674.5	1047409.1	301.3	225	50.7	253.9	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-13-08	332669.4	1047402.0	293.3	80	-35.5	226.3	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-13-10	332698.4	1047409.4	288.2	142.5	-64.6	80.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-13-11	332699.1	1047412.2	286.4	129	-39.7	136.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-13-12	332694.3	1047410.2	301.3	124	53.7	60.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included

Drillhole ID	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Elevation	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Year	Type	Company	Use in Model
AZG-21-13A-18	332682.8	1047479.8	288.3	224	73.3	268.6	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-13A-19	332681.9	1047478.2	288.4	389	47.5	254.9	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-21-22	333101.6	1047783.5	224.2	310	-33.4	349.8	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-21-23	333102.4	1047783.6	224.1	433	-36.2	358.6	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-21-24	333103.7	1047783.1	223.7	570	-33.1	1.1	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-37-25	332930.0	1046511.0	370.1	194	44.8	64.6	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-37-26	332913.9	1046510.8	357.4	209	-61.4	224.3	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Included
AZG-21-P2B-01C	333112.5	1047659.6	210.2	112	240.0	-13.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P2B-020	333112.0	1047659.2	212.5	79	240.0	-43.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P2C-03C	333082.9	1047709.1	222.0	94	240.0	-28.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P2C-04C	333082.9	1047709.1	222.0	80	240.0	-90.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P2D-05C	333105.2	1047782.5	223.0	95	320.0	-85.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P2F-06C	333035.7	1047758.1	219.8	65	240.0	-90.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P2G-07C	333018.1	1047743.2	219.4	40	240.0	-80.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P3A-01C	333309.2	1046732.9	356.9	80	240.0	-74.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P3A-02C	333322.2	1046737.9	357.5	103	60.0	-84.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P3B-03C	333283.8	1046770.7	348.8	75	240.0	-79.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P3B-04C	333294.2	1046777.2	350.0	110	60.0	-75.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P3C-05C	333258.4	1046804.8	343.4	55	240.0	-80.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded
AZG-21-P3C-06C	333268.5	1046816.4	342.2	105	60.0	-73.0	2021	DDH	Arizona Gold Corp.	Excluded