



AMARC RESOURCES LTD.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

| | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Date..... | 2 |
| 1.2 | Overview | 3 |
| 1.3 | Selected Annual Information | 25 |
| 1.4 | Summary of Quarterly Results | 25 |
| 1.5 | Results of Operations..... | 25 |
| 1.6 | Liquidity..... | 27 |
| 1.7 | Capital Resources..... | 28 |
| 1.8 | Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements | 28 |
| 1.9 | Transactions with Related Parties..... | 28 |
| 1.10 | Fourth Quarter..... | 29 |
| 1.11 | Proposed Transactions..... | 29 |
| 1.12 | Critical Accounting Estimates..... | 29 |
| 1.13 | Changes in Accounting Policies including Initial Adoption..... | 29 |
| 1.14 | Financial Instruments and Other Instruments..... | 30 |
| 1.15 | Other MD&A Requirements..... | 30 |
| 1.15.1 | Additional Disclosure for Venture Issuers without Significant Revenue | 30 |
| 1.15.2 | Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data..... | 30 |
| 1.15.3 | Disclosure Controls and Procedures..... | 30 |
| 1.15.4 | Internal Controls over Financial Reporting Procedures..... | 31 |
| 1.15.5 | Limitations of Controls and Procedures..... | 31 |
| 1.16 | Risk Factors..... | 32 |

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

1.1 DATE

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements (the "Financial Statements") of Amarc Resources Ltd. ("Amarc", or the "Company") for the year ended March 31, 2018, which are publicly available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. All monetary amounts herein are expressed in Canadian Dollars ("CAD") unless otherwise stated.

The Company reports in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the IFRS Interpretations Committee (together known as "IFRS"). The following disclosure and associated Financial Statements are presented in accordance with IFRS.

This MD&A is prepared as of July 26, 2018.

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Forward-looking Statements

This presentation includes certain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements". All such statements, other than statements of historical facts that address exploration drilling, exploitation activities and other related events or developments are forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Assumptions used by the Company to develop forward-looking statements include the following: Amarc's projects will obtain all required environmental and other permits and all land use and other licenses, studies and exploration of Amarc's projects will continue to be positive, and no geological or technical problems will occur. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements include market prices, potential environmental issues or liabilities associated with exploration, development and mining activities, exploitation and exploration successes, continuity of mineralization, uncertainties related to the ability to obtain necessary permits, licenses and tenure and delays due to third party opposition, changes in and the effect of government policies regarding mining and natural resource exploration and exploitation, the exploration and development of properties located within Aboriginal groups asserted territories may affect or be perceived to affect asserted aboriginal rights and title, which may cause permitting delays or opposition by Aboriginal groups, continued availability of capital and financing, and general economic, market or business conditions. Investors are cautioned that any such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. For more information on Amarc investors should review the Company's annual Form 20-F filing with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission at www.sec.gov and its home jurisdiction filings that are available at www.sedar.com.

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Inferred Resources:

This discussion uses the term "inferred resources". The Company advises investors that although this term is recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission does not recognize it. "Inferred resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of a mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of Inferred Mineral Resources may not form the basis of economic studies, except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

1.2 OVERVIEW

Amarc is a mineral exploration and development company with an experienced and successful management team focused on developing a new generation of British Columbia ("BC") copper mines. By combining strong projects and funding with successful management, Amarc has created a solid platform to now commence value creation.

Through its 2017 work programs Amarc has substantially advanced its 100% owned IKE, DUKE and JOY porphyry copper deposit districts located in southern, central and northern BC, respectively. Each of these copper districts have proximity to industrial infrastructure, power, rail and highways. The IKE, DUKE and JOY Projects have significant potential for the discovery of important scale, porphyry copper-gold and copper-molybdenum deposits.

LOCATION OF THE COMPANY'S IKE, DUKE AND JOY PROJECTS



The 462 km² IKE Project is located 33 km northwest of the historical mining community of Gold Bridge. Work at the IKE deposit discovery has now delineated a copper-molybdenum-silver mineralized system, measuring at least 3.5 km by 2 km, through combined induced polarization chargeability ("IP") surveys, talus geochemical sampling and the earlier drilling of 21 core holes within a portion of the mineralized system. The IKE porphyry has the geological earmarks of important copper-molybdenum-silver mines like Morenci and Sierrita in Arizona, and Valley in BC.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

In addition, at least five significant porphyry copper deposits targets have been established within 10 km of the IKE discovery that remain to be fully explored.

Amarc has partnered with Hudbay Minerals Inc. ("Hudbay") to efficiently advance the IKE Project. Under the terms of the agreement Hudbay can earn an initial 50% interest in the IKE Project by spending \$40 million of expenditures before December 31, 2021. Amarc is the current operator. Hudbay has confirmed 2018 funding of \$1.6 million, which is to be focused on wide-spaced drilling within the very extensive IKE mineralized system in order to start delineating its overall geometry and copper-molybdenum-silver grade distribution.

Amarc's DUKE deposit and an adjacent 288 km² porphyry copper district is located 80 km northeast of Smithers, BC and 30 km north of former mines (Bell and Granisle) operated by Noranda Mines. DUKE has been intermittently explored by prior operators with surface geochemical and geophysical surveys, as well as 30 shallow diamond drill holes. Many of the historical holes drilled intersected significant lengths of porphyry copper-molybdenum-silver-gold mineralization that remains open both laterally and to depth.

Seven of the eight holes drilled by Amarc late last year and in early 2018, successfully outlined porphyry copper-style mineralization over an area currently measuring approximately 400 m north-south by 600 m east-west, and open to expansion in all directions. The deposit lies below flat-lying glacial till, which varies from 4 m to 18 m thick in the holes drilled, with mineralization extending to the depth of drilling – over 360 m deep. A single hole drilled one km north of the seven other Amarc holes at DUKE in order to test a geophysical IP that extends north and south from the DUKE deposit discovery area. This hole intersected substantial lengths of moderate to low grade copper and molybdenum mineralization, indicating the extensive nature of the DUKE porphyry copper system. The IP anomaly associated with the DUKE discovery measures some 4 km north-south by 1 km east-west.

Amarc's 464 km² JOY mineral property lies 310 km north of Mackenzie in a region of BC considered to have high potential for the discovery of important scale, porphyry gold-copper deposits. The JOY claims are located 20 km north of the Kemess District, host to the former Kemess South Mine and the government-approved Kemess underground project. Recently, Centerra Gold Inc. purchased the Kemess District from AuRico Metals Inc. for \$310 million.

Amarc considers the extensive JOY project claim holdings to be significantly underexplored, and to represent the northern extension of the Kemess gold-copper district. Highly favourable geology, geochemical sampling and geophysical surveys, along with drilling in 136 holes by past operators, has resulted in substantially narrowing the exploration focus. Amarc has identified a new 20 km long northeast trending structural corridor at JOY, the Finlay Magnetic Corridor, which hosts more than 10 compelling gold-copper porphyry deposit targets.

Amarc has also partnered with Hudbay to advance the JOY Project. Under the terms of this agreement Hudbay can earn an initial 50% interest in the JOY Project by spending \$20 million of expenditures before December 31, 2021. Amarc is the current operator. The partners have agreed to include Amarc's recently acquired PINE claims into the JOY Project. Hudbay has confirmed 2018 funding of \$3.15 million of which \$1.15 million will be paid to Amarc in 2018 towards its PINE acquisition costs.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

Extensive field work is underway for 2018 including an airborne magnetics survey and systematic IP, geochemical and geological surveys over the more than 10 deposit-scale targets already identified within and adjacent to the Finlay Magnetic Corridor. Drilling is expected to follow, targeting sulphide systems delineated by the IP surveys for major gold-copper deposits.

Amarc is committed to working constructively with governments and stakeholders towards the responsible development of its projects, while contributing to the sustainable development of local communities. Work programs are planned to achieve high levels of environmental performance and local benefits, including providing opportunities for employment, contracting and training for local people. The Company is working hard to support government's consultation duties to assist with timely and fair decision making. Amarc is committed to meaningful and constructive engagement with First Nation communities and favours comprehensive and progressive agreements at the early discovery-stage of project development. The Company believes that the best outcome is always achieved in the atmosphere of openness, constructive discussions and mutual respect from all interested parties.

The IKE Project (comprising the IKE, Granite, Juno and Galore Properties)

Amarc has a 100% interest in the IKE, Granite, Juno and Galore Properties which make up the IKE Project.

The IKE deposit discovery, together with the surrounding district of additional prospective porphyry copper (\pm molybdenum \pm silver \pm gold) targets, have the potential to possess the grades and tonnages necessary to develop into an important new BC mining camp. In addition to the main IKE mineral property, Amarc has secured extensive mineral claims in the region to cover other compelling deposit targets, as well as potential infrastructure sites.

IKE Deposit

The IKE Project is located approximately 33 km northwest of the historical mining communities of Gold Bridge and Bralorne, in south-central BC. Core drilling of the IKE deposit is located above tree line within large and barren cirques. Although current access to the site is by helicopter, there is good infrastructure in the region. Mainline logging roads leading northwest from Gold Bridge extend to within 13 km of the southern extent of the IKE property. Power, railways and highways are all available in the area of Gold Bridge and the regional towns of Lillooet and Pemberton.

At IKE, limited historical drilling indicated the presence of a mineral system with characteristics that are favorable for the development of a viable porphyry copper-molybdenum-silver deposit. Three key historical drill holes (81-2, 11-1 and 11-2) spaced over 220 m apart intercepted long intervals of continuous, chalcopyrite and molybdenite mineralization with encouraging grades. These

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

intersections include: 116 m of 0.46% copper equivalent (CuEQ)¹ comprising 0.29% Cu and 0.043% Mo; 182 m of 0.41% CuEQ comprising 0.31% Cu, 0.022% Mo and 1.9 g/t Ag; and 64 m of 0.51% CuEQ, comprising 0.37% Cu, 0.024% Mo and 4.7 g/t Ag. All three of these historical holes ended in mineralization.

Amarc has made a significant new porphyry copper-molybdenum-silver discovery at IKE. All 21 wide-spaced core holes drilled by Amarc at IKE (2014-9 holes; 2015-9 holes; 2016-3 holes for a total of 12,360 m) have intersected long intervals of chalcopyrite and molybdenite mineralization, with grades that compare favourably to the range of copper equivalent grades at operating BC porphyry copper mines. Copper, molybdenum and silver mineralization has been intersected over an increasingly broad area measuring 1.2 km m east-west by 1.0 km north-south, and 875 m vertically. The drilling indicates the potential for extensive resource volumes which remain open to expansion in all lateral directions and to depth. Notably the drilling completed to date has tested only a portion of the over plus 7 km² IKE hydrothermal system.

Highlights from the 2014, 2015 and 2016 drill programs include:

- 247 m of 0.42% CuEQ¹ @ 0.28% Cu, 0.030% Mo and 2.0 g/t Ag
- 123 m of 0.41% CuEQ @ 0.32% Cu, 0.017% Mo and 2.5 g/t Ag
- 92 m of 0.41% CuEQ @ 0.31% Cu, 0.020% Mo and 2.1 g/t Ag
- 194 m of 0.49% CuEQ @ 0.30% Cu, 0.046% Mo and 0.8 g/t Ag
- 308 m of 0.40% CuEQ @ 0.26% Cu, 0.032% Mo and 1.8 g/t Ag
- 97 m of 0.46% CuEQ @ 0.32% Cu, 0.030% Mo and 2.2 g/t Ag
- 124 m of 0.45% CuEQ @ 0.34% Cu, 0.022% Mo and 3.2 g/t Ag
- 214 m of 0.37% CuEQ @ 0.26% Cu, 0.023% Mo and 2.2 g/t Ag
- 592 m of 0.44% CuEQ @ 0.30% Cu, 0.032% Mo and 2.1 g/t Ag
- 86 m of 0.48% CuEQ @ 0.33% Cu, 0.032% Mo and 2.2 g/t Ag
- 111 m of 0.36% CuEQ @ 0.30% Cu, 0.010% Mo and 2.3 g/t Ag
- 148 m of 0.54% CuEQ @ 0.39% Cu, 0.030% Mo and 2.9 g/t Ag
- 287 m of 0.39% CuEQ @ 0.30% Cu, 0.017% Mo and 2.2 g/t Ag

¹Copper equivalent (CuEQ) calculations use metal prices: Cu US\$3.00/lb, Mo US\$12/lb and Ag US\$18/oz. Metallurgical recoveries and net smelter returns are assumed to be 100%.

Assay results from all of Amarc's 2014, 2015 and 2016 drill holes are summarized in the Management's Discussion and Analysis for the Nine Months Ended December 31, 2017 filed on www.sedar.com. In addition, a drill plan, cross sections and maps are presented in the corporate presentation on the Amarc website at www.amarcresources.com.

Notably, IP geophysical work undertaken in 2017 showed the IKE mineralized system to be much larger than originally thought and is now known to extend for at least 3.5 km east-west by 2 km north-south, as outlined by IP surveys and talus fines geochemical sampling. Central to this large

¹ Copper equivalent (CuEQ) calculations use metal prices: Cu US\$3.00/lb, Mo US\$12/lb and Ag US\$18/oz.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

sulphide-mineralized system are the 21 discovery core holes drilled by Amarc in 2014 through 2016 and as described above. Maps, cross sections and figures illustrating the newly expanded IKE mineralized system and its potential are presented in the corporate presentation on the Amarc website at www.amarcresources.com.

Hudbay, Amarc's partner at IKE, has confirmed 2018 funding of \$1.6 million, which is to be focused on wide-spaced drilling within the very extensive IKE mineralized system in order to start delineating its overall geometry and copper-molybdenum-silver grade distribution. Permits from the provincial government for the 2018 field season are in hand.

Like many major porphyry deposits, the IKE deposit formed in a very active, multi-stage hydrothermal system that was extensive and robust. Geological mapping and logging of diamond drill core at IKE indicate the deposit is hosted entirely by multi-phase intrusive rocks. Its overall geological setting is similar to that of many important porphyry belts along the Cordillera in North and South America.

At IKE, chalcopyrite and molybdenite mineralization occurs as fine to relatively coarse, mostly discrete grains, mainly as disseminations and less commonly in fractures and veins. Multi-element analyses have returned consistently and unusually low concentrations of metallurgically or environmentally deleterious elements. These characteristics, and the generally low concentrations of pyrite at IKE, suggest excellent potential to produce clean, good-grade copper and molybdenum concentrates by standard flotation processing.

IKE District Targets

Important-scale porphyry copper (\pm gold \pm molybdenum \pm silver) deposit targets proximal to the IKE discovery were indicated by Amarc's exploration in 2014, 2015 and 2016, and also in reports from sporadic historical exploration in the region by previous operators.

During the 2016 field season, these targets were evaluated by geochemical and geophysical surveys with the goal of establishing and prioritizing porphyry copper deposit targets for drilling. In addition, Amarc completed comprehensive regional geological mapping of the central 130 km² of the IKE district in order to fully comprehend the overall mineral potential of the region.

The 2016 district surveys defined a number of significant porphyry copper deposit targets and, in addition, potential precious metal epithermal deposit targets. Age dating of porphyry mineralization discovered within the IKE district has confirmed at least four separate porphyry mineralizing events, which occurred over an exceptionally long period of 46 million years. These deposit targets are located along, to a few km inboard of, the contact of the northeastern margin of the Coastal Plutonic Complex ("CPC") with older volcano-sedimentary rocks. In general, porphyry, porphyry-related and epithermal mineralization located closer to the CPC contact tends to be more gold-bearing whereas deposits such as IKE that lie inboard of the CPC contact are copper-molybdenum-silver dominated.

Results from Amarc's 2016 district-wide, geophysical, geochemical and geological surveys are summarized in the Management's Discussion and Analysis for the Nine Months Ended December 31, 2017 filed on www.sedar.com.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

In 2017 work in the IKE district focused on exploration on a select number of the regional porphyry copper deposit targets located within 10 km of the IKE deposit and as delineated in the prior field seasons. Field programs included 20 km² of detailed geological mapping, collection of 616 talus fines geochemical samples, completion of 82 line-km of IP surveys and exploration drilling of nine core holes totalling 2,796 m in three targets. At least five significant porphyry copper deposit targets have been established that require further exploration.

One hole was drilled at the Rowbottom porphyry copper deposit target located 4.5 km northwest of the IKE deposit. This hole intersected significant intervals of porphyry copper-molybdenum mineralization hosting elevated silver and gold values, which are cut by a number of post mineral dykes. This hole was drilled into an IP anomaly measuring 1.3 km by 1.0 km that remains open for further surveying. Additional drilling is required both laterally and to depth in order to determine the geometry and grade distribution of the Rowbottom deposit. Assay results from hole RB17001 are tabulated below.

| ROWBOTTOM 2017 Assay Results | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------|
| Hole ID | Dip (°) | Azim (°) | From (m) | To (m) | Int. ^{2,3,4} (m) | CuEQ ¹ (%) | Cu (%) | Mo (%) | Ag (g/t) | Au (g/t) |
| RB17001 | -50 | 90 | 63 | 129 | 66 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 0.006 | 4.1 | 0.08 |
| | | | 333 | 354 | 21 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 0.007 | 4.3 | 0.11 |

Eight, very wide-spaced wildcat exploration holes in the IKE district were also completed at the Mad Major and OMG targets, a 32 km² area which hosts pronounced anomalous copper, molybdenum and tungsten surface geochemical anomalies combined with extensive IP chargeability results. One hole (MM17005) in this extensive target returned copper and molybdenum values within an intrusive dyke-like body, as reported below. Further work is required to determine if a large, nearby, mineralized intrusive body could be the source of the well-mineralized dyke intersection.

| MAD MAJOR/OMG 2017 Assay Results | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|----------|
| Hole ID | Dip (°) | Azim (°) | From (m) | To (m) | Int. ^{2,3,4} (m) | CuEQ ¹ (%) | Cu (%) | Mo (%) | Ag (g/t) |
| MM17005 | -45 | 250 | 81 | 90 | 9 | 0.78 | 0.57 | 0.046 | 3.0 |

¹ Copper equivalent (CuEQ) calculations in both tables above use metal prices: Cu US\$3.00/lb, Mo US\$12/lb, Ag US\$18/oz and Au US\$1,300/oz (when reported). Metallurgical recoveries and net smelter returns are assumed to be 100%. Note that Au is included in the CuEQ calculations in respect to Rowbottom and DUKE but not for the IKE deposit or Mad Major.

² Widths reported are drill widths, such that the true thicknesses are unknown.

³ All assay intervals represent length weighted averages.

⁴ Some figures may not sum exactly due to rounding.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

IKE Project Agreements

The mineral claims comprising the Juno property were staked and are owned 100% by Amarc. The property acquisition agreements relating to the IKE, Galore and Granite properties, which together with the JUNO property comprise the IKE project, are outlined below.

The material terms of the former agreement with and the terms under which Thompson Creek Metals Inc. ("Thompson Creek") relinquished its option to earn up to a 50% interest in the IKE Project, and the three mineral property acquisition agreements relating to the IKE and district properties are set out below. The remaining royalties held by the respective vendors referenced have been capped or can be purchased by Amarc (in the \$2 million to \$4 million range).

IKE Project Agreement with Thompson Creek

On September 3, 2015 Amarc announced it entered into an agreement (the "Agreement") with Thompson Creek (now a wholly owned subsidiary of Centerra Gold Inc.) pursuant to which Thompson Creek could acquire, through a staged investment process within five years, a 30% ownership interest in mineral claims and crown grants covering the IKE copper-molybdenum-silver porphyry deposit and the surrounding district. Under the terms of the Agreement, Thompson Creek also received an option, after acquiring its 30% interest, to acquire an additional 20% interest in the IKE Project, subject to certain conditions, including the completion of a Feasibility Study.

Under the terms of the Agreement, Thompson Creek could earn an initial 30% interest in the IKE Project under a Stage 1 Option by funding \$15 million of expenditures before December 31, 2019, of which \$3 million for 2015 and \$2 million for 2016 were funded. For each \$5 million of project expenditures funded, Thompson Creek would incrementally earn a 10% ownership interest. As of July 14, 2016, Thompson Creek had funded \$5 million in project expenditures and as such had earned a 10% ownership interest in the IKE, Granite and Juno properties and the right to earn a 10% interest in the Galore Property (see below). Stage 1 Option expenditures could be accelerated by Thompson Creek at its discretion. Amarc remained as operator during the Stage 1 earn-in period.

If Thompson Creek fully exercised the Stage 1 Option, Thompson Creek would have a one-time right under a Stage 2 Option to elect to earn an additional 20% ownership interest in the IKE Project (for a total 50% ownership interest). To fulfill its obligations under the Stage 2 Option, Thompson Creek had to commit to fund and complete a Feasibility Study for the IKE Project that could serve as the basis for a decision by an internationally recognized financial institution to finance the development of a mining project. This Feasibility Study had to be completed within a two-year period, which could be extended to three years under certain conditions. While completing the Feasibility Study work under the Stage 2 Option, Thompson Creek would also be required to meet all other expenditures necessary to maintain and advance the IKE Project.

Thompson Creek would become operator upon initiation of the Stage 2 Option period, and would remain operator so long as it holds a 50% interest. When Thompson Creek had concluded its earn-in period, the parties expected to form a joint venture to further develop the IKE Project provided

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

that Thompson Creek earned a minimum 10% interest. Amarc would remain operator of the Project in the instance that Thompson Creek does not earn a 50% interest.

During both the Stage 1 and Stage 2 Option periods, Amarc retained a 'co-expenditure right', whereby it could fund at its discretion additional expenditures on the IKE Project. Thompson Creek may elect to pay its 30% or 50% share of these additional expenditures upon completion of its Stage 1 Option and Stage 2 Option periods as the case may be, failing which its ownership interest would be reduced. Under the 'co-expenditure right' provision of the Agreement, the maximum amount that Amarc could recover from Thompson Creek on completion of the Stage 1 Option is capped at \$6 million (i.e. 30% of \$20 million). The maximum amount that Amarc could recover from Thompson Creek on completion of the Stage 2 Option is capped at \$10 million (i.e. 50% of \$20 million).

On January 11, 2017 Amarc announced that Thompson Creek, having been acquired by gold-focused Centerra Gold Inc., relinquished its option to earn up to a 50% interest in the IKE Project. Thompson Creek having acquired a 10% participating interest in the IKE Project by investing \$6 million in exploration programs undertaken in 2015 and 2016, has elected to exchange its participating interest for a 1% Conversion Net Smelter Royalty from mine production; capped at a total of \$5 million. As a result, Amarc has re-acquired 100% interest in the IKE Project.

IKE Project Agreement with Hudbay

On July 6, 2017 Amarc announced it had entered into a Mineral Property Farm-In Agreement (the "Agreement") with Hudbay, pursuant to which Hudbay may acquire, through a staged investment process, up to a 60% ownership in the IKE Project.

Under the terms of the Agreement, Hudbay can earn an initial 49% ownership interest in the IKE Project under a Stage 1 Farm-in Right by funding \$25 million of expenditures before December 31, 2020, of which \$3.3 million was committed for 2017 and \$1.6 million is committed for 2018.

Provided its Stage 1 Farm-in Right is exercised, Hudbay can, pursuant to a Stage 2 Farm-in Right, elect to earn an additional 1% interest in the IKE Project (for a total 50% interest), by funding \$15 million of additional expenditures (for a total of \$40 million), also before December 31, 2020.

Stage 1 and Stage 2 Farm-in expenditures can be accelerated by Hudbay at its discretion. Amarc will be the operator during the Stage 1 and Stage 2 periods. A Joint Venture ("JV") will be formed when Hudbay has acquired a 49% interest in the IKE Project.

Provided that Hudbay has exercised its Stage 2 Farm-in Right and acquired a 50% interest in the IKE Project, it can then elect to go forward via one of two paths.

First, Hudbay can replace Amarc as operator of the JV after it funds all project expenditures and completes a Feasibility Study for the IKE Project by December 31, 2025. Having gained operatorship, Hudbay can then choose to either go forward with Amarc in a 50/50 participating JV, or can instead elect to continue with its Farm-in (the "Stage 3 Farm-in Right") to acquire an additional 10% interest in the IKE Project (for a total 60% interest). To exercise its Stage 3 Farm-in Right, Hudbay must fund all project expenditures required to submit a British Columbia Environment Assessment ("EA")

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

application for the IKE Project and, if applicable, a Canadian EA application, with the application(s) being accepted for review by December 31, 2026. In addition, Hudbay must also continue to fund all project expenditures until the necessary EA Certificate(s) are received. Following receipt of the EA Certificate(s), all IKE Project expenditures going forward will be shared by Hudbay and Amarc on a pro rata basis (Hudbay 60%/Amarc 40%) under the JV.

As a second alternative path, Hudbay can elect, after exercising its Stage 2 Farm-in Right, to proceed directly to the Stage 3 Farm-in Right, so immediately becoming the operator, and acquire a further 10% interest (for a total 60% interest) by, as above, submitting and having accepted for review a British Columbia EA application and, if applicable, a Canadian EA application, by December 31, 2026. Again in this instance, Hudbay must also fund all project expenditures until receipt of the necessary EA Certificate(s). As with the first path, following receipt of the EA Certificate(s), all IKE Project expenditures going forward will be shared by Hudbay and Amarc on a pro rata basis (Hudbay 60%/Amarc 40%) under the JV.

Hudbay has the right to defer either of its 2019 or 2020 expenditures, for a one-year period, subject to certain conditions. If this deferral occurs, Amarc will have a "co-expenditure right", whereby it can incur and fund approved additional expenditures on the IKE Project up to the amount of the deferred expenditures. Hudbay may elect to reimburse Amarc for these additional expenditures, thereby retaining its interest in the Project. Under either path, If Hudbay does not submit the EA application(s) by December 31, 2026, then Amarc will become operator again.

In January, 2018 the Company amended the Agreement with Hudbay to extend the Stage 1 Farm-in Right period by one year to December 31, 2021.

IKE Property Agreement with the Optionors

Amarc holds a 100% interest in the IKE property. In December 2013, the Company entered into an Option and Joint Venture Agreement (the "IKE Agreement") with Oxford Resources Inc. ("Oxford"), whereby the Company acquired the right to earn an 80% ownership interest in the IKE property by making cash payments totaling \$125,000, issuing 300,000 shares, and by incurring approximately \$1.86 million in exploration expenditures on or before November 30, 2015.

In July 2014 the IKE Agreement was amended and Oxford assigned all of its interest in the IKE property, and the underlying option agreement with respect to the IKE property, to Amarc and converted its ownership interest in the IKE property to a 1% Net Smelter Return ("NSR") royalty in consideration of a \$40,000 cash payment. The 1% NSR royalty can be purchased at any time for \$2 million (payable in cash or common shares of Amarc at the Company's sole election). The maximum aggregate amount payable under the NSR is \$2 million.

As a result of the foregoing, Amarc had the right to acquire a 100% ownership interest in the IKE property directly from two unrelated individuals (formerly the underlying owners and now the "Optionors") by making a cash payment of \$40,000 (completed), issuing 100,000 shares (completed), and by incurring approximately \$1.86 million in exploration expenditures (completed) on or before November 30, 2015.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

The Optionors retain a 2% NSR royalty. Amarc has the right to purchase half of the royalty (1%) for \$2 million (\$1 million of which is payable in cash, Amarc common shares, or any such combination, at Amarc's discretion) at any time prior to commercial production. In addition, Amarc has the right to purchase the other half of the royalty (1%) for \$2 million (\$1 million of which is payable in cash, Amarc common shares, or any such combination, at Amarc's discretion) prior to December 31, 2018. Minimum advance royalty payments of \$25,000 (payable in cash, Amarc common shares, or any such combination, at Amarc's discretion) to the Optionors annually commenced on December 31, 2015.

Amarc has agreed that upon completion of a positive feasibility study, Amarc will issue 500,000 common shares to the Optionors.

In May 2017, the Company amended the agreement with the Optionors whereby it has the right to purchase 1% of the above mentioned 2% NSR royalty originally purchasable for \$2 million prior to December 31, 2018, where Amarc now has the right to purchase that 1% for \$2 million (\$1 million of which is payable in cash, and the balance in Amarc common shares, or any such combination of cash and shares, at Amarc's discretion) at any time on or before a commercial mine production decision has been made in respect of the IKE Property. In consideration of this amendment, beginning on December 31, 2017 the Company will make an additional Annual Advanced Royalty payment of \$25,000 to the Optionors.

Granite Property Agreement

In August 2014, the Company entered into a purchase agreement with Great Quest Fertilizers Ltd. ("Great Quest"), whereby the Company can purchase a 100% ownership interest in the Granite property on or before November 30, 2014 by making staged cash payments totalling \$400,000 (completed).

Great Quest holds a 2% NSR royalty on the property which can be purchased for \$2 million, on or before commercial production (payable in cash, Amarc common shares, or any such combination, at Amarc's discretion). In addition, there is an underlying 2.5% NSR royalty on certain mineral claims, which can be purchased at any time for \$1.5 million less any amount of royalty already paid.

Galore Property Agreement

In July 2014, the Company entered into an option and joint venture agreement (the "Galore Option Agreement") with Galore Resources Inc. ("Galore"), whereby the Company acquired the right to earn an initial 51% ownership interest in the Galore property by incurring \$3 million in exploration expenditures within five years (\$1.5 million of which may be in recordable assessment credits not directly incurred on the property), and by making staged cash payments up to a maximum of \$450,000 (50% of which may be payable in Amarc common shares). Amarc may thereafter acquire an additional 19% ownership interest, for a total 70% ownership interest, by incurring \$2 million in exploration expenditures within two years. Upon exercise of the initial or additional option

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

(collectively, the “Galore Option”), Galore and Amarc have agreed to form either a 51/49 or a 70/30 joint venture, as the case may be.

The Galore mineral tenure is comprised of five claim groups and is subject to five underlying option agreements, each of which provides the relevant underlying owner with a 1.5% NSR royalty (collectively, the “NSR Royalties”) each of which may be purchased for \$250,000 on or before December 31, 2024, and a 10% net profits interest royalty (collectively, the “NPI Royalties”) each of which may be purchased at any time until December 31, 2024 for \$400,000 less any amount of an NPI Royalty already paid.

In July 2016, the Company entered into a second option agreement (the “Second Option Agreement”) whereby the Company acquired the right, separate and apart from the Galore Option (the “Second Option”) to acquire 100% of Galore’s rights in and to the Galore property in consideration of the payment to Galore of \$550,000 on a staged basis on or before January 16, 2018. Under the terms of the Second Option Agreement, upon exercise of the Second Option and the Company acquiring 100% of the Galore property, the Galore Option Agreement will terminate and be of no further force and effect.

In addition, in July 2016, the Company also reached an agreement with the underlying owners of the Galore property whereby the Company obtained the right to acquire all of the underlying owners’ residual interest in and to the Galore property, including the five NSR Royalties and the five NPI Royalties, in consideration of the payment of \$100,000 (\$80,000 completed) on a staged basis on or before January 16, 2018, subject to the Company exercising the Second Option.

During the Second Option exercise period, all cash payment and exploration expenditure requirements set out in the Galore Option Agreement shall cease to apply, including with respect to all cash payments payable to the underlying owners.

In January 2017 Amarc announced that it had exercised the Second Option and had acquired a 100% interest in the Galore property from Galore, clear of any royalties to Galore, by making a final payment of \$280,000. This transaction marks the successful completion by Amarc of a series of property dealings to acquire 100% of mineral claims and crown grants making up the entire IKE Project, subject to the final payment of \$20,000 (completed January 16, 2018) to the underlying owners as noted above.

The DUKE Project

Amarc has secured a 100% interest in the DUKE mineral property as well as extensive adjacent mineral claims over nearby second-order exploration targets. DUKE is located 80 km northeast of Smithers BC, within the well-known Babine porphyry-copper district, 30 km north of former mines (Bell and Granisle) operated by Noranda Minerals Inc. between 1966 and 1992, and producing a total

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

of 1.1 billion pounds of copper, 634,000 ounces of gold and 3.5 million ounces of silver². DUKE is also just 10 km northeast of the Morrison Deposit, a 225 million tonne copper-gold-molybdenum porphyry deposit with a completed Feasibility Study³.

The property is accessible from Smithers by road and an industrial-scale barge crossing of Babine Lake from the town of Granisle. A longer, all-road commute is available from Fort St. James, 150 km to the southeast. Power extends to the former Bell mine.

DUKE was intermittently explored between 1965 and 2010 with geochemical, IP and magnetometer surveys and 30 shallow diamond drill holes. Extensive glacial cover precludes geological surveys and hinders geochemical survey interpretation, but most of the holes drilled intersected significant lengths of porphyry-style mineralization that remains open both laterally and to depth. For example, DDH-14, intersected 87 m of 0.40% Cu, 0.021% Mo, 2.2 g/t Ag and 0.05 g/t Au from 29 m to the end of the hole. Another hole, DDH-02, located 430 m southeast of DDH-14 intersected 107 m of 0.30% Cu, 0.011% Mo, 1.2 g/t Ag, and 0.06 g/t Au from 30 m. Porphyry mineralization was encountered by drill holes over an area of 800 m by 400 m which is open laterally in several directions. The average vertical depth of all holes drilled in this mineralized area is 90 m, with the deepest being only 124 m. Eighty percent of the holes drilled in this mineralized area bottomed in porphyry copper mineralization. Additionally, an historical IP survey results indicate a significant area of prospective ground has yet to be drilled.

Seven of the eight drill holes completed by Amarc in November 2017 and in early 2018, successfully outlined porphyry copper-style mineralization over an area currently measuring approximately 400 m north-south by 600 m east-west, and open to expansion in all directions. The deposit lies below flat-lying glacial till, which varies from 4 m to 18 m thick in the holes drilled, with mineralization extending to the depth of drilling – over 360 m deep. Mineralization is mainly hosted by biotite-feldspar-porphyry intrusions into volcanic rocks. These rock types also host the Bell, Morrison and Granisle porphyry copper deposits in the region.

A single hole drilled one km north of all other Amarc holes at DUKE in order to test the geophysical IP that extends north and south from the DUKE deposit discovery area. This hole intersected substantial lengths of moderate to low grade copper and molybdenum mineralization, indicating the extensive nature of the DUKE porphyry copper system. The IP anomaly associated with the DUKE discovery measures some 4 km north-south by 1 km east-west.

Assay results from the eight holes drilled by Amarc on the DUKE project are tabulated below. Drill-hole plans and cross sections are available on the Company's website at www.amarcresources.com. The results indicate that Amarc has discovered another important, near surface, porphyry copper-molybdenum-silver-gold deposit. Over the next few months, the Company will consider how best to

² MINFILE Number 093L 146 and 093M 001 MINFILE Production Detail Report, BC Geological Survey, Ministry of Energy and Mines, BC.

³ Pacific Booker Minerals Inc. news release February 27, 2009.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

undertake the extensive further drilling required to delineate the geometry and grade distribution of this promising discovery.

The surrounding DUKE district covered by Amarc mineral claims, also hosts multiple additional porphyry copper exploration targets for future assessment.

[This space is intentionally left blank.]

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

DUKE PROJECT
ASSAY RESULTS

| Drill Hole ID | Dip (°) | Azim (°) | EOH (m) | Incl. | Form (m) | To (m) | Int. ^{2,3,4} (m) | CuEQ ¹ (%) | Cu (%) | Mo (%) | Ag (g/t) | Au (g/t) |
|---------------|---------|----------|---------|------------------------------|----------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------|
| DK17001 | 59 | 266 | 519 | Incl. | 40 | 130 | 90 | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.016 | 1.1 | 0.06 |
| | | | | | 40 | 73 | 33 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.013 | 1.4 | 0.08 |
| | | | | | 210 | 243 | 33 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.026 | 1.2 | 0.04 |
| | | | | | 268 | 278 | 10 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.018 | 1.3 | 0.03 |
| | | | | | 317 | 347 | 30 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.030 | 1.1 | 0.04 |
| | | | | | 458 | 519 | 61 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.001 | 2.8 | 0.16 |
| | | | | | 458 | 479 | 21 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.001 | 3.3 | 0.04 |
| Incl. | 509 | 519 | 10 | 0.57 | 0.11 | 0.001 | 3.0 | 0.68 | | | | |
| DK17002 | 45 | 270 | 527 | Incl. | 17 | 130 | 113 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.014 | 1.4 | 0.07 |
| | | | | | 17 | 73 | 56 | 0.41 | 0.29 | 0.015 | 1.6 | 0.08 |
| | | | | | 238 | 268 | 30 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.019 | 1.9 | 0.07 |
| | | | | | 308 | 377 | 69 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.029 | 1.2 | 0.05 |
| | | | | | 477 | 523 | 47 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.025 | 1.4 | 0.04 |
| | | | | | Incl. | 477 | 498 | 22 | 0.51 | 0.31 | 0.040 | 1.6 |
| DK18003 | 50 | 267 | 529 | | 32 | 68 | 36 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.010 | 1.0 | 0.06 |
| | | | | | 142 | 164 | 22 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.018 | 1.5 | 0.06 |
| | | | | | 395 | 407 | 12 | 0.47 | 0.21 | 0.004 | 2.3 | 0.34 |
| DK18004 | 50 | 90 | 502 | Incl. | 88 | 181 | 93 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.012 | 1.0 | 0.04 |
| | | | | | 94 | 106 | 12 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.012 | 1.5 | 0.04 |
| DK18005 | 55 | 267 | 485 | Incl. and and Incl. | 14 | 344 | 331 | 0.34 | 0.22 | 0.019 | 1.0 | 0.04 |
| | | | | | 71 | 232 | 161 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.025 | 1.1 | 0.05 |
| | | | | | 107 | 232 | 125 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.029 | 1.2 | 0.05 |
| | | | | | 212 | 232 | 20 | 0.64 | 0.45 | 0.033 | 2.0 | 0.06 |
| | | | | | 308 | 344 | 36 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.020 | 1.3 | 0.07 |
| DK18006 | 50 | 267 | 500 | Incl. Incl. | 98 | 416 | 318 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.012 | 1.1 | 0.05 |
| | | | | | 227 | 293 | 66 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.016 | 1.2 | 0.07 |
| | | | | | 347 | 405 | 58 | 0.46 | 0.34 | 0.017 | 1.5 | 0.06 |
| DK18007 | 55 | 267 | 560 | Incl. Incl. Incl. | 373 | 544 | 171 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.010 | 1.0 | 0.06 |
| | | | | | 373 | 394 | 21 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.010 | 1.3 | 0.05 |
| | | | | | 406 | 424 | 18 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.011 | 1.2 | 0.08 |
| | | | | | 466 | 544 | 78 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.012 | 1.2 | 0.08 |
| DK18008 | 50 | 267 | 487 | Incl. | 21 | 158 | 137 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.011 | 0.8 | 0.06 |
| | | | | | 21 | 86 | 65 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.012 | 1.1 | 0.08 |
| | | | | | 447 | 464 | 17 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.010 | 1.4 | 0.07 |
| 71-02 | 45 | 90 | 163 | | 30 | 128 | 98 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.012 | 1.2 | 0.07 |
| 71-10 | 45 | 90 | 170 | Incl. | 18 | 165 | 147 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.017 | 1.8 | 0.07 |
| | | | | | 62 | 165 | 102 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.021 | 2.1 | 0.09 |
| 71-14 | 90 | 0 | 115 | | 29 | 115 | 87 | 0.53 | 0.40 | 0.021 | 2.2 | 0.05 |
| 71-19 | 90 | 0 | 90 | | 47 | 80 | 34 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.026 | 2.0 | 0.05 |

¹ Copper equivalent (CuEQ) calculations use metal prices: Cu US\$3.00/lb, Mo US\$12.00/lb, Ag US\$18.00/oz and Au US\$1300/oz.

Metallurgical recoveries and net smelter returns are assumed to be 100%.

² Widths reported are drill widths, such that the true thicknesses are unknown.

³ All assay intervals represent length weighted averages.

⁴ Some figures may not sum exactly due to rounding.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

DUKE Project Agreement

In November, 2016, the Company entered into a purchase agreement with a private company owned by director Robert A. Dickinson to purchase 100% of the DUKE property (16 mineral claims) at the vendor's direct acquisition costs of \$168,996.

There are no royalties associated with the DUKE property.

The JOY Project (comprising the JOY and PINE Properties and the Staked Claims)

The JOY Project comprises the JOY and PINE properties and also the Staked Claims acquired directly by Amarc. In 2016, the Company acquired 100% of the JOY property in the northern portion of the Project. In addition, Amarc concluded agreements with each of Gold Fields Toodoggone Exploration Corporation ("GFTEC") and Cascadero Copper Corporation ("Cascadero") in mid-2017 which provide that Amarc can purchase 100% of the PINE property. Further to the deal with GFTEC and Cascadero being concluded Hudbay and Amarc agreed to include both the PINE property and the Staked Claims into the JOY Project.

The JOY Project is located 310 km north-northwest of Mackenzie in an area of moderate topography in the Kemess District of north-central BC, which is one of BC's best areas for the discovery of precious metals-rich porphyry deposits. Seasonal roads cross the PINE property claims (southern part of the JOY Project), accessing the Pine deposit, and by road from the Brenda porphyry copper deposit, which come within approximately 0.5 km of the JOY Project. The JOY Project is also accessed by helicopter from staging, or from the Kemess mine site located 25 km due south. Power also extends to the Kemess mine site and its 300-person camp.

The Kemess District is well-known to Amarc's technical team, as Hunter Dickinson Inc. , with which Amarc is associated, is credited as the first company to recognize its true porphyry potential – acquiring both the early-stage Kemess South and Kemess North prospects into their operated company, El Condor Resources, and developing them into significant porphyry copper-gold deposits before that company was taken over. Northgate Minerals went on to produce 3 million ounces of gold and 780 million pounds of copper over a 12-year period to 2010⁴ (Kemess South). More recently in January 2018, Centerra Gold Inc. concluded the purchase of the Kemess Project from former owner Aurico Metals for \$310 million thereby acquiring the Kemess Underground Project, the Kemess East Deposit and the former Kemess South Mine infrastructure⁵ – news that only added to the impetus of the growing mineral exploration and discovery interest in this prodigious region. The advanced stage Kemess Underground Project has received its Environmental Assessment approval and concluded an IBA, in addition to which positive results from a Preliminary Economic Assessment were announced for Kemess East in 2017. Further, exploration drilling at the Kemess East Deposit returned intercepts such as 628 m grading 0.53 g/t Au and 0.41% Cu.

⁴ MINFILE Number 094E 094, MINFILE Production Detail Report, BC Geological Survey, Ministry of Energy and Mines, BC.

⁵ Centerra Gold Inc. news release January 8, 2018.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

Amarc considers the JOY Project to represent the northern extension to the prolific Kemess porphyry gold-copper district. Highly favorable geology, surface geochemical sampling, along with the drilling of 136 hole by past operators, has resulted in narrowing the exploration focus to a number of important-scale, gold-copper deposit targets. One prime target area, the PINE Deposit is the subject of historical resource estimates that are not categorized as prescribed by National Instrument 43-101.

The 2017 programs at JOY included 50 km² of geological mapping, collection of 638 surface geochemical samples, completion of 49 line-km of ground IP and 470 line-km of airborne geophysical surveys along with drilling of 1,527 m in three core holes focused on the JOY property. This drilling tested a coincident IP geophysical and geochemical target on the JOY property claims. The three exploration holes returned strongly anomalous results in gold and zinc over significant intervals, hosted mainly within highly altered quartz monzonite intrusive and volcanic rocks. These results are comparable to those from two historical holes drilled by a previous operator some 2 km to the east on the adjoining PINE property claims. Taken together these long intervals of highly altered and anomalous core may represent a classic rock alteration style typically found flanking porphyry copper-gold deposits.

As work progressed in 2017, Amarc recognized the high potential for major gold-copper deposit discoveries on the PINE property claims. Notably, over 10 incompletely tested or untested multiple magnetic, geochemical and IP compelling porphyry gold-copper deposit targets occur in a prominent, 20 km long, northeast trending structural belt located 1.5 km south of Amarc's 2017 drilling. Amarc has identified this compelling target trend as the Finlay Magnetic Corridor, which also hosts the historical Pine porphyry gold-copper deposit (see new corporate presentation at www.amarcresources.com). As such the Company successfully concluded option agreements with GFTEC and Cascadero to acquire the PINE property claims.

Hudbay, Amarc's partner at JOY, has confirmed 2018 funding of \$3.15 million of which \$1.15 million will be paid to Amarc towards its PINE property acquisition costs and \$2 million is to advance the JOY Project. Amarc will be the Operator. Extensive field work is underway for 2018 including an airborne magnetics survey and systematic IP, geochemical and geological surveys over the more than 10 deposit-scale targets already identified within and adjacent to the Finlay Magnetic Corridor. Drilling is expected to follow, targeting sulphide systems delineated by the IP surveys for major gold-copper deposits. Permits from the provincial government for the current 2018 exploration activities are in hand.

JOY Project Agreements

The mineral claims comprising the Staked Claims were staked and are owned 100% by Amarc. The property acquisition agreements relating to the JOY Project, and also the JOY and PINE property claims are outlined below.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

JOY Property Agreement

In November, 2016, the Company entered into a purchase agreement with a private company owned by director Robert A. Dickinson to purchase 100% of the JOY property claims (15 mineral claims) at the vendor's direct acquisition costs of \$335,299, which included required claim assessment work filings.

The property is subject to an underlying NSR royalty to a former owner from production which is capped at \$3.5 million.

JOY Project Agreement with Hudbay

On August 22, 2017 Amarc announced it had entered into a Mineral Property Farm-In Agreement (the "Agreement") with Hudbay, pursuant to which Hudbay may acquire, through a staged investment process, up to a 60% ownership in the JOY Project.

Under the terms of the Agreement Hudbay can earn an initial 49% interest in the JOY Project under a Stage 1 Farm-in Right by funding \$15 million of expenditures before December 31, 2020, of which \$1.9 million was committed for 2017 and \$2 million is committed for 2018.

When its Stage 1 Farm-in Right is exercised, Hudbay can, pursuant to a Stage 2 Farm-in Right, earn an additional 1% ownership interest in the JOY Project (for a total 50% ownership interest) by funding \$5 million of expenditures (for a total of \$20 million) also before December 31, 2020.

Stage 1 and Stage 2 Farm-in expenditures can be accelerated by Hudbay at its discretion. Amarc will be the operator during the Stage 1 and Stage 2 periods. A Joint Venture ("JV") will be formed when Hudbay has acquired a 49% interest in the JOY Project.

Provided that Hudbay has exercised the Stage 2 Farm-in Right and acquired a 50% interest, it can then elect to go forward via one of two paths.

First, Hudbay can replace Amarc as operator of the JV after it funds all project expenditures and completes a Feasibility Study for the JOY Project by December 31, 2025. Having gained operatorship, Hudbay can then choose to either go forward with Amarc in a 50/50 participating JV or Hudbay can instead elect to continue with its Farm-in (the "Stage 3 Farm-in Right") to acquire an additional 10% interest in the JOY Project (for a total 60% ownership interest). To exercise its Stage 3 Farm-in Right, Hudbay must fund all expenditures required to submit a British Columbia environmental assessment ("EA") application for the JOY Project and, if applicable, a Canadian EA application, with the application(s) being accepted for review by December 31, 2026. In addition, Hudbay must also continue to fund all approved project expenditures until all necessary EA Certificates are received. Following receipt of the EA Certificate(s), all approved JOY Project expenditures going forward will be shared by Hudbay and Amarc on a pro rata basis (Hudbay 60%/Amarc 40%) under the JV.

As a second alternative path, after exercising its Stage 2 Farm-in Right Hudbay can elect to proceed directly to the Stage 3 Farm-in Right, so immediately becoming the operator, and acquire a further 10% interest (for a total 60% ownership interest) by, like above, submitting a British Columbia EA application and, if applicable, a Canadian EA application by December 31, 2026. Again, in this instance, Hudbay must also fund all project expenditures until receipt of the necessary EA

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

Certificate(s). Following receipt of project approvals from government, all approved JOY Project expenditures going forward will be shared by Hudbay and Amarc on a pro rata basis (Hudbay 60%/Amarc 40%) under the JV.

Hudbay has a one-time right to defer either of its 2019 or 2020 expenditures in the Stage 1 or Stage 2 Farm-in periods, for a one-year period, subject to certain conditions. If this deferral occurs, Amarc will have a "co-expenditure right", whereby it can incur and fund approved additional expenditures on the JOY Project up to the amount of the deferred expenditures. Hudbay may elect to reimburse Amarc for these additional expenditures, thereby retaining its interest in the Project. Under either alternative path, if Hudbay does not submit the EA application(s) by December 31, 2026, then Amarc will become operator again.

In January, 2018 the Company amended the Agreement with Hudbay to extend the Stage 1 Farm-in Right period by one year to December 31, 2021. The partners have also agreed to include Amarc's recently acquired PINE claims and the Staked Claims into the JOY Project.

PINE Property Agreements

In August, 2017 Amarc announced that it had concluded option agreements with each of GFTEC and Cascadero which enable Amarc to purchase 100% of the 323 km² PINE property claims (the "Property").

Agreement with Gold Fields

Amarc's wholly-owned subsidiary ("Amarc Subco") has entered into an option agreement with GFTEC (the "GFTEC Agreement") pursuant to which Amarc Subco obtained the option (the "Option") to acquire all of GFTEC's 51% interest in the Property.

Amarc Subco can exercise the Option at any time within four years from the date of the GFTEC Agreement (the "Option Period") by completing the public listing of Amarc Subco on the TSX Venture Exchange and issuing to GFTEC securities in the capital of that Company so that GFTEC holds 15% of the shares and 15% of any warrants on issue (on a fully diluted basis) following completion of the listing. GFTEC has the right to maintain its 15% pro rata interest through participation in future fundraisings and other share issuances.

To maintain the right to exercise the Option at any time over up to the four-year Option Period, Amarc must conduct in stages, up to a total of \$2.75 million of exploration expenditures on the Project. But no expenditures are required after the Option to acquire GFTEC's 51% interest is exercised.

GFTEC will retain a 2.5% net profits interest royalty ("NPI") on mineral claims comprising about 96% of the Property which are subject to a net smelter return royalty payable to a former owner ("Underlying NSR") and a 1% net smelter returns royalty ("NSR") on the balance of the claims that are not subject to the Underlying NSR. The NPI can be reduced to 1.25% at any time through the payment to GFTEC of \$2.5 million in cash or shares. The NSR can be reduced to 0.50% through the payment to GFTEC of \$2.5 million in cash or shares. If Amarc Subco does not exercise the Option or terminates the GFTEC Agreement at any time during the four years Option Period, then Amarc Subco may be required to make a termination payment to GFTEC. The level of termination payment, if any,

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

varies with the year of termination and the amount of any exploration expenditures completed; varying over the four-year option from a low of no payment to an absolute maximum of \$1,375,000 in the event no exploration work was done by Amarc.

Agreement with Cascadero

Amarc has also entered into an option agreement (the "Cascadero Agreement") with Cascadero pursuant to which Amarc was granted an option (the "Cascadero Option") to acquire all of Cascadero's 49% interest in the Property. In order to exercise the Cascadero Option, Amarc is required to make staged cash payments to Cascadero in the aggregate amount of \$1 million before October 31, 2018, and issue on a staged basis common shares in its capital to Cascadero having an aggregate value equal to \$950,000 at a minimum per share value of 18 cents before October 31, 2018. In lieu of issuing any common shares, Amarc may elect to pay to Cascadero the value of the shares in cash.

The PINE property is subject to a 3% Underlying NSR royalty payable to a former owner. Amarc has reached an agreement with the former owner to cap the 3% NSR at \$5 million payable from production for consideration totaling \$100,000 and 300,000 Amarc shares, payable in stages through to January 31, 2019 (the "Capped Royalty Agreement").

The GFTEC Agreement, Cascadero Agreement and Capped Royalty Agreement were subject to TSX Venture Exchange approval which has been received.

Other Properties

Amarc's focus with respect to its Newton and Galileo projects is to work towards venturing them out to third parties to further advance exploration.

Galileo – Blackwater District Property

Amarc owns a 100% interest in the Galileo property, which is located within the Blackwater district, approximately 75 km southwest of Vanderhoof, BC, and 176 km southwest of northern BC's regional hub city of Prince George. The area is characterized by subdued topography and is well served by existing transportation and power infrastructure and a skilled workforce, which supports an active exploration and mining industry.

The Company has completed an approximately 5,120 line-km of helicopter-borne, magnetic and electromagnetic geophysical survey over certain properties in the Blackwater district, from which epithermal gold-silver and porphyry gold-copper-type targets were identified for ground evaluation. At Galileo the results of more than 230 line-km of IP ground geophysical surveys, combined with information from soil geochemical surveys and prospecting have identified four principle target areas with the potential to represent important sulphide systems for drill testing.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

The Galileo property is located approximately 35 kilometres from New Gold's Blackwater gold deposit (Proven and Probable Reserves of 344.4 million tonnes at an average grade of 0.74 g/t gold containing 8.2 million gold ounces, and 5.5 g/t silver containing 60.8 million silver ounces; New Gold news release December 12, 2013).

Amarc has undertaken consultation with local First Nations. All parties worked together in a diligent manner in order to develop a positive working relationship.

The Newton Property

Amarc made a drill discovery at its 100% owned Newton bulk-tonnage gold-silver project in late 2009 and subsequently conducted exploration and delineation drilling at the deposit until June 2012.

An initial mineral resource estimate announced in September 2012, based on 24,513 m of core drilling in 78 holes completed up to June 30, 2012, confirms that Newton is a significant bulk tonnage gold discovery that remains open to further expansion. At a 0.25 g/t gold cut-off, Inferred Mineral Resources comprise 111.5 million tonnes grading 0.44 g/t gold and 2.1 g/t silver, containing 1.6 million ounces of gold and 7.7 million ounces of silver.

Inferred Mineral Resources at various cut-off grades are summarized in the table below.

NEWTON GOLD PROJECT - INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES

| Cut-Off Grade (g/t Au) | Size Tonnage (000 t) | Grade | | Contained Metal | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | Gold (g/t) | Silver (g/t) | Gold (000 oz) | Silver (000 oz) |
| 0.20 | 147,069 | 0.38 | 1.9 | 1,818 | 8,833 |
| 0.25 | 111,460 | 0.44 | 2.1 | 1,571 | 7,694 |
| 0.30 | 85,239 | 0.49 | 2.4 | 1,334 | 6,495 |
| 0.35 | 65,384 | 0.54 | 2.7 | 1,130 | 5,635 |
| 0.40 | 49,502 | 0.59 | 2.9 | 938 | 4,596 |

Notes:

1. CIM definitions were followed for this mineral resource estimate. An "Inferred Mineral Resource" is that part of a Mineral Resource for which quantity and grade or quality can be estimated on the basis of geological evidence and limited sampling and reasonably assumed, but not verified, geological and grade continuity. The estimate is based on limited information and sampling gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes.
2. Inferred Mineral Resources were estimated using a long-term gold price of US\$1,750 per ounce, a long-term silver price of US\$25 per ounce, and a US\$/C\$ 1.00 exchange rate.
3. Bulk density is 2.71 tonnes per cubic metre.
4. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
5. The Effective Date of the Mineral Resource is July 4, 2012; the Effective Date being defined as the date when Roscoe Postle Associates Inc. was in receipt of full data which informed the resource.

The Newton Inferred Mineral Resources was prepared using geostatistical methods by technical staff at HDI and audited by geological and mining consultants at Roscoe Postle Associates Inc. under the direction of Reno Pressacco, P. Geo., an independent Qualified Person. Sample preparation and

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

analysis of drill core samples from Newton were completed at the ISO 9001:2008 accredited and ISO-IEC 17025:2005 accredited Acme Analytical Laboratories (Vancouver) Ltd. A technical report providing further details of the estimate has been filed on www.sedar.com.

The current Newton resource extends over an area of approximately 800 m by 800 m and to a depth of 560 m, and is open to expansion to the northwest, west and to depth. It is located within the southeast segment of an extensive seven square kilometre sulphide system that is characterized by widespread gold enrichment indicating good potential for the development of substantial additional resources. This large, fertile mineral system extends well beyond the limits of the current resource and is largely concealed under shallow cover.

Newton exhibits key characteristics that typify significant hydrothermal gold deposits. The deposit lies within a large, gold-enriched epithermal system that formed approximately 72 million years ago contemporaneously with felsic volcanic and intrusive rocks, which were emplaced into a structurally-active graben environment. Gold, silver and associated base metal mineralization was precipitated with extensive zones of strong quartz-sericite alteration. The alteration types, metal associations and geological setting at Newton are nearly identical to those which characterize several major intrusion-related epithermal gold deposits in BC – including the important Blackwater-Davidson, and Snowfields deposits.

Exploration and resource expansion potential are clearly indicated at Newton by the large scale of the hydrothermal system, the structurally- and magmatically-active nature of the geological setting at the time of mineralization, the intensity of the hydrothermal alteration and the strong, widespread metal anomalies that have been confirmed by widely-spaced wildcat drilling. In addition, the Newton deposit occupies only one portion of an extensive IP geophysics chargeability anomaly. It is important to note that, beyond the currently delineated Newton resource, anomalous concentrations of metals have been intersected in almost all exploration holes drilled on the property. Large portions of the system remain untested or have been tested only by widely-spaced reconnaissance drilling.

Amarc's Newton property is located some 100 km west of the City of Williams Lake, BC, in a region characterized by gently rolling hills and other characteristics favorable for project development. The district is well served by existing transportation and power infrastructure and a skilled workforce, which support a number of operating mines, as well as late-stage mineral development and exploration projects.

Amarc has undertaken significant consultation with local First Nations. All parties worked together in a diligent manner in order to develop a positive and respectful working relationship with respect to the Newton property

Newton Property Agreement

Amarc holds a 100% interest in the Newton Property. Newton Gold Corp. holds a 5% net profits interest royalty. In addition, the mineral claims defined in an underlying agreement are subject to a 2% NSR royalty, which royalty may be purchased by Amarc for \$2 million at any time. Advance NSR royalty payments of \$25,000 per annum commenced on January 1, 2011.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

Corporate Update

Subsequent to March 31, 2018, Jeffrey Mason resigned as a director of the Company.

Market Trends

Average annual prices for copper, molybdenum, gold and silver during last 5 years are shown in the following table:

| Calendar year | Average metal price (US\$) | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| | Copper | Molybdenum | Gold | Silver |
| 2013 | 3.34/lb | 10.40/lb | 1,397/oz | 23.82/oz |
| 2014 | 3.11/lb | 11.59/lb | 1,264/oz | 19.09/oz |
| 2015 | 2.50/lb | 6.73/lb | 1,160/oz | 15.69/oz |
| 2016 | 2.21/lb | 6.56/lb | 1,251/oz | 17.14/oz |
| 2017 | 2.88/lb | 7.26/lb | 1,275/oz | 17.01/oz |
| 2018 (to the date of this document) | 3.10/lb | 11.65/lb | 1,308/oz | 16.54/oz |

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

1.3 SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

The following information is derived from the Company's annual financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB effective for the respective reporting years of the Company and are expressed in Canadian Dollars. The Company's audited financial statements are publicly available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

| (\$ 000's, except loss per share) | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Total assets | \$ 3,625 | \$ 1,112 | \$ 1,096 |
| Non-current liabilities | \$ 764 | \$ 940 | \$ 235 |
| Net loss for the year | \$ 2,072 | \$ 1,043 | \$ 1,119 |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.01 |

1.4 SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

These amounts are expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, except per share amounts. Minor differences are due to rounding.

| (\$ 000's) | Fiscal Quarter Ended | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Mar 31, 2018 | Dec 31, 2017 | Sep 30, 2017 | Jun 30, 2017 | Mar 31, 2017 | Dec 31, 2016 | Sep 30, 2016 | Jun 30, 2016 |
| Net (income) loss | \$ 283 | \$ 1,068 | \$ (67) | \$ 788 | \$ 126 | \$ 651 | \$ 148 | \$ 118 |
| Basic and diluted (income) loss per share | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.00) | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |

The variations in net results over the fiscal quarters presented above were caused by the Company's mineral exploration and evaluation activities, which typically ramp-up in the summer during the 3rd calendar quarters. See the following section of the MD&A for additional discussions.

1.5 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company recorded an increase in net loss of \$1,029,000, from a net loss of \$1,043,000 during the year ended March 31, 2017 to a net loss of \$2,072,000 during the year ended March 31, 2018, due in large part to increased exploration and evaluation activities at the Company's properties.

The following table provides changes in expenditures and cost recoveries in the current periods presented compared to the prior periods:

| Expenditures/recoveries | Year ended March 31, 2018 | Year ended March 31, 2017 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Increase in exploration and evaluation expenditures | \$ 3,808,000 | \$ 766,000 |
| Increase (Decrease) in administrative expenditures | 320,000 | (556,000) |
| Increase in cost recoveries | 3,311,000 | 479,000 |

The above noted changes in the level of expenditures and cost recoveries in the current periods presented compared to the prior periods are commensurate with the increase in the Company's operating and financing activities as discussed herein.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

A breakdown of the Company's exploration and evaluation expenses for the year ended March 31, 2018 is as follows:

| | IKE | JOY | DUKE | Other | Total |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Assays and analysis | \$ 115,547 | \$ 101,521 | \$ 28,415 | \$ (21,250) | \$ 224,233 |
| Drilling | 538,475 | 215,024 | 446,429 | - | 1,199,928 |
| Equipment rental | 34,100 | 20,739 | 18,790 | - | 73,629 |
| Geological | 808,037 | 518,365 | 168,453 | 14,000 | 1,508,855 |
| Helicopter and fuel | 965,992 | 553,037 | - | - | 1,519,029 |
| Property costs and assessments | 71,729 | 1,427,000 | 5,672 | 49,200 | 1,553,601 |
| Site activities | 384,257 | 344,248 | 177,466 | - | 905,971 |
| Socioeconomic | 46,235 | 170,494 | 93,547 | 113 | 310,389 |
| Travel and accommodation | 51,227 | 17,324 | 17,730 | - | 86,281 |
| Total | \$ 3,015,599 | \$ 3,367,752 | \$ 956,502 | \$ 42,063 | \$ 7,381,916 |

Refer to [1.2 Overview](#) for inclusion of the PINE property into the JOY Project.

The Company's mineral exploration and evaluation activities presented above were concentrated in the second quarter of the year. During the year ended March 31, 2017, exploration and evaluation expenses primarily related to the Company's IKE project.

During the current year, the IKE Project and the JOY Project exploration and evaluation activities were funded primarily by Hudbay; accordingly, the Company recorded cost recoveries related to the IKE Project and the JOY Project of \$3,245,000 and \$2,952,000, respectively, representing such funding.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

A breakdown of the Company's exploration and evaluation expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2018 is as follows:

| | IKE | JOY | DUKE | Other | Total |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Assays and analysis | \$ 5,097 | \$ 34,948 | \$ 2,720 | \$ (6,250) | \$ 36,515 |
| Drilling | - | - | 268,921 | - | 268,921 |
| Equipment rental | 482 | 481 | 6,262 | - | 7,225 |
| Geological | 42,373 | 44,028 | 72,071 | 1,575 | 160,047 |
| Helicopter and fuel | - | - | - | - | - |
| Property costs and assessments | 21,531 | 627,000 | - | - | 648,531 |
| Site activities | 3,482 | 20,244 | 81,061 | - | 104,787 |
| Socioeconomic | 12,126 | 37,904 | 38,083 | - | 88,113 |
| Travel and accommodation | 56 | 125 | 6,433 | - | 6,614 |
| Total | \$ 85,147 | \$ 764,730 | \$ 475,551 | \$ (4,675) | \$ 1,320,753 |

During the fourth quarter of the prior year, the Company incurred \$471,000 in exploration and evaluation expenses primarily focused on the IKE Project.

The Financial Statements provide a breakdown of the Company's general and administration expenses for the year. The Company's general and administration expenses for the fourth quarter were as follows:

| General and Administration Expenses | Fourth Quarter ending March 31, | |
|--|--|-------------------|
| | 2018 | 2017 |
| Legal, accounting and audit | \$ 21,000 | \$ 1,000 |
| Office and administration ⁽ⁱ⁾ | 144,000 | 158,000 |
| Shareholder communication | 33,000 | 8,000 |
| Travel and accommodation | 11,000 | 11,000 |
| Trust and regulatory | 13,000 | 7,000 |
| Total | \$ 222,000 | \$ 185,000 |

(i) Office and administration expenses for the quarter include salaries and benefits of \$124,000 (fourth quarter of the prior year: \$144,000)

1.6 LIQUIDITY

Historically, the Company's sole source of funding has been provided from the issuance of equity securities for cash, primarily through private placements to sophisticated investors and institutions, and from director loans. The Company's access to financing is always uncertain. There can be no assurance of continued access to significant equity funding to finance the Company's ongoing

At March 31, 2018, the Company had a cash balance of \$3,308,000 and working capital of approximately \$1,836,000. The Company plans its cash spending based on availability of funds.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

In September 2017, the Company announced a private placement financing, issuing 13,045,500 Common Shares at a price of \$0.20 per Common Share for gross proceeds of \$2,609,100, and incurred share issuance costs of \$127,800 for net proceeds of \$2,481,300.

Further advancement and development of the Company's mineral property interests will require additional funding from a combination of the Company's shareholders, existing or potential new partners, and debt financing. As the Company is currently in the exploration stage, it does not have any revenues from operations. Therefore, the Company relies on funding from its partners for its continuing financial liquidity and the Company relies on the equity market and debt financing as sources of funding. The Company continues to focus on preserving its cash resources while maintaining its operational activities.

The Company does not have any material capital lease obligations, purchase obligations or any other long-term obligations.

1.7 CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company has no lines of credit or other sources of financing which have been arranged or utilized.

The Company has no "Purchase Obligations" defined as any agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable and legally binding on the Company that specifies all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction.

1.8 OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

None.

1.9 TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

The required quantitative disclosure is provided in the Financial Statements, which are publicly available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Hunter Dickinson Inc.

Hunter Dickinson Inc. ("HDI") and its wholly owned subsidiary Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. ("HDSI") are private companies established by a group of mining professionals engaged in advancing mineral properties for a number of publicly-listed exploration companies, one of which is the Company.

The Company has 2 directors in common with HDSI, namely: Robert Dickinson and Ronald Thiessen. Also, the Company's President and Director, Chief Financial Officer, and Corporate Secretary are employees of HDSI and work for the Company under an employee secondment arrangement between the Company and HDSI.

Pursuant to an agreement dated July 2, 2010, HDSI provides technical, geological, corporate communications, regulatory compliance, and administrative and management services to the

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

Company, on a non-exclusive basis as needed and as requested by the Company. As a result of this relationship, the Company has ready access to a range of diverse and specialized expertise on a regular basis, without having to engage or hire full-time employees or experts. The Company benefits from the economies of scale created by HDSI which itself serves several clients.

The Company is not obligated to acquire any minimum amount of services from HDSI. The monetary amount of the services received from HDSI in a given period of time is a function of annually set and agreed charge-out rates for and the time spent by each HDSI employee engaged by the Company.

HDSI also incurs third-party costs on behalf of the Company. Such third party costs include, for example, directors and officers insurance, travel, conferences, and communication services. Third-party costs are billed at cost, without markup.

There are no ongoing contractual or other commitments resulting from the Company's transactions with HDSI, other than the payment for services already rendered and billed. The agreement may be terminated upon 60 days' notice by either the Company or HDSI.

The details of transactions with HDSI and the balance due to HDSI as a result of such transactions are provided in the Financial Statements, along with the details of borrowings from Mr. Dickinson.

United Mineral Services Ltd.

United Mineral Services Ltd. ("UMS") is a privately held company wholly-owned by one of the Company's directors. UMS is engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral property interests. UMS incur third party expenses on behalf of the Company.

Details of transactions with UMS and the balance due to UMS as a result of such transactions are provided in the Financial Statements.

1.10 FOURTH QUARTER

See [1.5 Results of Operations](#) above.

1.11 PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS

Except as discussed in this MD&A, there are no proposed transactions requiring disclosure under this section.

1.12 CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Not required. The Company is a venture issuer.

1.13 CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES INCLUDING INITIAL ADOPTION

There were no changes in accounting policies or new accounting policies adopted during the period covered by this MD&A.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

1.14 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts of cash, amounts receivable, available-for-sale marketable securities, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, balance due to a related party, and loan payable to director approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature.

1.15 OTHER MD&A REQUIREMENTS

Additional information relating to the Company is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

1.15.1 ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE FOR VENTURE ISSUERS WITHOUT SIGNIFICANT REVENUE

- | | | |
|-----|---|--|
| (a) | capitalized or expensed exploration and development costs | See 1.5 Results of Operations above. |
| (b) | expensed research and development costs | Not applicable. |
| (c) | deferred development costs | Not applicable. |
| (d) | general and administration expenses | See 1.5 Results of Operations above. |
| (e) | any material costs, whether capitalized, deferred or expensed, not referred to in (a) through (d) | None. |

1.15.2 DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

The following table details the share capital structure as of the date of this MD&A:

| | Number |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Common shares | 168,786,227 |
| Share purchase warrants | 5,000,000 |

1.15.3 DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company has disclosure controls and procedures in place to provide reasonable assurance that any information required to be disclosed by the Company under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the appropriate time periods and that required information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, so that decisions can be made about the timely disclosure of that information.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

1.15.4 INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING PROCEDURES

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

There has been no change in the design of the Company's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2018. In making the assessment, it used the criteria set forth in the Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on their assessment, management has concluded that, as at March 31, 2018, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

1.15.5 LIMITATIONS OF CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, believe that any system of disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Furthermore, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override of controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

1.16 RISK FACTORS

The risk factors associated with the principal business of the Company are discussed below. Briefly, these include the highly speculative nature of the mining industry characterized by the requirement for large capital investment from an early stage and a very small probability of finding economic mineral deposits. In addition to the general risks of mining, there are country-specific risks associated with operations, including political, social, and legal risk.

Due to the nature of the Company's business and the present stage of exploration and development of its projects, the Company may be subject to significant risks. Readers should carefully consider all such risks set out in the discussion below. The Company's actual exploration and operating results may be very different from those expected as at the date of this MD&A.

Exploration and Mining Risks

Resource exploration, development, and operations are highly speculative, characterized by a number of significant risks, which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate, including, among other things, unprofitable efforts resulting not only from the failure to discover mineral deposits but from finding mineral deposits which, though present, are insufficient in quantity and quality to return a profit from production. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Unusual or unexpected formations, formation pressures, fires, power outages, labour disruptions, flooding, explosions, cave-ins, landslides and the inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment or labour are other risks involved in the operation of mines and the conduct of exploration programs. The Company will rely on consultants and others for exploration, development, construction and operating expertise. Substantial expenditures are required to establish mineral resources and mineral reserves through drilling, to develop metallurgical processes to extract the metal from mineral resources, and in the case of new properties, to develop the mining and processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mining.

No assurance can be given that minerals will be discovered in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations or that funds required for development can be obtained on a timely basis. Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are:

- the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure;
- metal prices, which may be volatile, and are highly cyclical; and
- government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals, and environmental protection.

The exact effect of these factors cannot accurately be predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

The Company will carefully evaluate the political and economic environment in considering any properties for acquisition. There can be no assurance that additional significant restrictions will not be placed on the Company's projects and any other properties the Company may acquire, or its operations. Such restrictions may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operation.

First Nations

Our properties are located within First Nations asserted traditional territories, and the exploration and development of these properties may affect, or be perceived to affect, asserted aboriginal rights and title, which has the potential to manifest permitting delays or opposition by First Nations communities.

The Company is working to establish positive relationships with First Nations. As part of this process the Company may enter into agreements commensurate with the stage of activity, with First Nations in relation to current and future exploration and any potential future production. This could reduce expected earnings.

Future Profits/Losses and Production Revenues/Expenses

The Company has no history of operations and expects that its losses will continue for the foreseeable future. No deposit that has been shown to be economic has yet been found on the Company's projects. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to acquire any additional properties. There can be no assurance that the Company will be profitable in the future. The Company's operating expenses and capital expenditures may increase in subsequent years as needed consultants, personnel and equipment associated with advancing exploration, development and commercial production of the Company's projects and any other properties the Company may acquire, are added. The amounts and timing of expenditures will depend on:

- the progress of ongoing exploration and development;
- the results of consultants' analyses and recommendations;
- the rate at which operating losses are incurred;
- the execution of any joint venture agreements with strategic partners; and
- the acquisition of additional properties and other factors, many of which are beyond the Company's control.

The Company does not expect to receive revenues from operations in the foreseeable future, if at all. The Company expects to incur losses unless and until such time as the projects the Company advances, or any other properties the Company may acquire, enter into commercial production and generate sufficient revenues to fund its continuing operations.

The development of mineral properties will require the commitment of substantial resources to conduct the time-consuming exploration and development of the properties. There can be no assurance that the Company will generate any revenues or achieve profitability. There can be no assurance that the underlying assumed levels of expenses will prove to be accurate.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

Additional Funding Requirements

The Company has limited working capital as at the current reporting date.

Further exploration on, and development of, the Company's projects will require additional resources and funding. The Company currently does not have sufficient funds to fully develop these projects.

In addition, a positive production decision, if achieved, would require significant funding for project engineering and construction. Accordingly, the continuing development of the Company's properties will depend upon the Company's ability to obtain financing through debt financing, equity financing, the joint venturing of projects, or other means.

There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining the required financing for these or other purposes, including for general working capital.

Competitors in the Mining Industry

The mining industry is competitive in all of its phases, including financing, technical resources, personnel and property acquisition. It requires significant capital, technical resources, personnel and operational experience to effectively compete in the mining industry. Because of the high costs associated with exploration, the expertise required to analyze a project's potential and the capital required to develop a mine, larger companies with significant resources may have a competitive advantage over Amarc. Amarc faces strong competition from other mining companies, some with greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than those that Amarc possesses. As a result of this competition, Amarc may be unable to maintain or acquire financing, personnel, technical resources or attractive mining properties on terms Amarc considers acceptable or at all.

Risks That Are Not Insurable

Hazards such as unusual or unexpected geological formations and other conditions are involved in mineral exploration and development. Amarc may become subject to liability for pollution, cave-ins or hazards against which it cannot insure. The payment of such liabilities could result in increases in Amarc's operating expenses which could, in turn, have a material adverse effect on Amarc's financial position and its results of operations. Although Amarc maintains liability insurance in an amount which it considers adequate, the nature of these risks is such that the liabilities might exceed policy limits, the liabilities and hazards might not be insurable against, or Amarc might elect not to insure itself against such liabilities due to high premium costs or other reasons. In these events, Amarc could incur significant liabilities and costs that could materially increase Amarc's operating expenses.

Environmental Matters

All of the Company's operations will be subject to environmental regulations, which can make operations more expensive or potentially prohibit them altogether.

The Company may be subject to the risks and liabilities associated with potential pollution of the environment and the disposal of waste products that could occur as a result of its activities.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

To the extent the Company is subject to environmental liabilities, the payment of such liabilities or the costs that it may incur to remedy environmental pollution would reduce funds otherwise available to it and could have a material adverse effect on the Company. If the Company is unable to fully remedy an environmental problem, it might be required to suspend operations or enter into interim compliance measures pending completion of the required remedy. The potential exposure may be significant and could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

All of the Company's activities are or will be subject to regulation under one or more environmental laws and regulations. Many of the regulations require the Company to obtain permits for its activities. The Company must update and review its permits from time to time, and is subject to environmental impact analyses and public review processes prior to approval of the additional activities. It is possible that future changes in applicable laws, regulations and permits or changes in their enforcement or regulatory interpretation could have a significant impact on some portion of the Company's business, causing those activities to become economically unattractive at that time.

Market for Securities and Volatility of Share Price

There can be no assurance that an active trading market in the Company's securities will be established or sustained. The market price for the Company's securities is subject to wide fluctuations. Factors such as announcements of exploration results, as well as market conditions in the industry, may have a significant adverse impact on the market price of the securities of the Company. Shares of the Company are suitable only for those who can afford to lose their entire investment. The stock market has from time to time experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations, which have often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies.

Conflicts of Interest

Certain of the Company's directors and officers may serve as directors or officers of other companies or companies providing services to the Company or they may have significant shareholdings in other companies. Situations may arise where these directors and/or officers of the Company may be in competition with the Company. Any conflicts of interest will be subject to and governed by the law applicable to directors' and officers' conflicts of interest. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the Company's directors, a director who has such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against the approval of such participation or such terms. In accordance with applicable laws, the directors of the Company are required to act honestly, in good faith and in the best interests of the Company.

Payment of Dividends Unlikely

There is no assurance that the Company will pay dividends on its shares in the near future. The Company will likely require all its funds to further the development of its business.

AMARC RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

Lack of Revenues; History of Operating Losses

The Company does not have any operational history or earnings and has incurred net losses and negative cash flow from its operations since incorporation. Although the Company will hope to eventually generate revenues, significant operating losses are to be anticipated for at least the next several years and possibly longer. To the extent that such expenses do not result in the creation of appropriate revenues, the Company's business may be materially adversely affected. It is not possible to forecast how the business of the Company will develop.

General Economic Conditions

Market conditions and unexpected volatility or illiquidity in financial markets may adversely affect the prospects of the Company and the value of its shares.

Reliance on Key Personnel

The Company will be dependent on the continued services of its senior management team, and its ability to retain other key personnel. The loss of such key personnel could have a material adverse effect on the Company. There can be no assurance that any of the Company's employees will remain with the Company or that, in the future, the employees will not organize competitive businesses or accept employment with companies competitive with the Company.

Furthermore, as part of the Company's growth strategy, it must continue to hire highly qualified individuals. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to attract, assimilate or retain qualified personnel in the future, which would adversely affect its business.

Changes in Federal and Provincial Government Rules, Regulations or Agreements, or Their Application, May Negatively Affect the Company's Ownership Rights, Its Access to or Its Ability to Advance the Exploration and Development of its Mineral Properties

The federal and provincial governments currently have in place or may in the future implement laws, regulations, policies or agreements that may negatively affect the Company's ownership rights with respect to its mineral properties or its access to the properties. These may restrain or block the Company's ability to advance the exploration and development of its mineral properties or significantly increase the costs and timeframe to advance the properties.